Protests Revolutions Debate and Free Speech

Author: Jonathan Pearson

Version: 0

Date Started: 26/10/2024 Date First Version: 3/11/2024 Date Updated: 3/11/2024

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Framework	
Population	1
Questions	2
Initial Conditions	2
Self reference	2
Preamble	2
Introduction	2
Understanding Anthony Albanese's Levels of Corruption	5
Public Service and Corruption	8
Dissenters	10
John Wilkes - Seditious Libel - the Riot Act - Free Speech	13
Taking the Bad with the Good	17
Where Do I Put God in Government?	17
First Pass at Combining Things	19
The Benefit of Hindsight - The Wisdom Literature	19
Conformists Versus Dissenters	19
Black Embassy in Canberra	21
Crimes or Free Speech	22
Black Activists and Kill All Men	23
How not to be a Meme	24
Recent Investigations	24
Recent People	24
References	28

Framework

Humans, Groups

Population

Humans, Groups, Individuals

Questions

- What is Free Speech?
- How do concepts like protest, free speech, debate, demonstration, mobs and revolution relate to each other?

Initial Conditions

Individual, Group

Self reference

Individual, Group

Preamble

The Sick Greens/Labour types, elites and usual suspects are out of control - and corruption is getting worse. I never thought that I would have to explain to people simple concepts like:

"Why Corruption is a Bad Idea"

"Why debate and discussion is a Good idea"

Things have become extremely corrupt in the West but in Australia in particular - the whole society continues to decay and collapse. Not only rampant crime in the streets - but the elites - those with wealth and access to power - whether it be in the unions, corporations, media, public service, courts, police, politics, universities, charities - all parts of society corrupted by those people who see themselves as "Elites" and describe themselves as "Special". This specialness and entitled narrative has been entrenched to the extent that they operate as criminals, associate with criminals and protect criminals from prosecution or even fair comment and debate. Free speech is cancelled - those who call things out are attacked and vilified by a cabal or "elites" who use their power to keep their criminal activities hidden from public scrutiny. Anthony Albanese threatens Newspapers who dare to criticize him or ask him uncomfortable questions about his corruption.

Most of this corruption and elitism has been driven by the Drama Triangle - Heroes, Victims and Perpetrators - where the Hero/Victim classes (binary extremists) have spent their entire lives habitually demonizing someone as the "Perpetrator" forming into gangs and mobs to attack and tear down the "Perpetrator" while rewarding themselves with the spoils of war and advancing their personal causes. No level of crime is too bad for these people - they race to the bottom as fast as they can - taking bribes, gifts and "donations" from "friends" - corrupting themselves, the public service and completely destroying trust and respect of the public in any institution they belong to. These are the same people as the soldiers who destroyed Magdeburg in 1631 - going into houses, terrorizing people, stealing things, threatening, attacking those who did not submit, raping people with Gay abandon, burning things down and then gleefully claiming smug self righteous virtue for the cause. Very much like the muslims, khans and other barbarians. See 1600s Summary https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/1600s-Summary.pdf

USA is running an election based entirely on the Drama triangle where binary extremists - dominated by women and blacks - are fully tribal and willing to lie, steal, cheat and corrupt everything they can so they can claim power to continue to corrupt and destroy society. The

Greens/Labour politicians in Australia - not only federally - but also at state and local levels are fully corrupt and run abusive tribal agendas - on race, religion, gender, sexual preferences, Gay, Trans, Lesbian - binary extremist agendas for their own personal causes - wealth and tribal enhancement.

Most corporations are also corrupt - not only the ABC - but all around Australia we see rampant corporate corruption - not only the normal criminal bribes and corruption - but also on "progressive" tribal narratives designed to stoke tribal mobs of hysterical women, tribal blacks and so called "Entitled Victims". The United Nations is fully corrupt and has been brought it its knees by successive ex-politicians, staffers and other low IQ grifters willing to destroy everything for tribal causes or handouts and bags of cash.

Meanwhile men who call this out are attacked and demonized by the media and generally ignorant mobs (Women and Blacks - on average and weak minded men, religious fanatics, causists and other binary extremists) - stoked on by human scum like Malcolm Turnbill, the ABC board management and staff, The guardian, Universities and thinktanks dominated by women and tribal agendas around the "Entitled Victim Narrative".

Anthony Albanese - corrupt, tyrant, liar, idiot, etc - refuses to accept that accepting free upgrades for himself and his family on Qantas airplanes is corruption. Even on private holiday trips. Establishing "Personal Relationships" with people who do him personal favours is a "Good Idea" and standard practice for the Greens/Labour types - Aldi bags of Cash? Proceeds of Crime? Union and Criminal donations - What is this corruption when you can grift a few thousand dollars here and there?

https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14007877/Anthony-Albanese-Qantas-upgrades.html - he does this as a kind of moral relativism - "No matter how corrupt I am - I am no worse that some of the other corrupt people around me" - Therefore I am a "Good" person. This is his constant narcissistic delusion - while in reality he is a pig-ignorant buffoon destroying everything he touches and bringing the country to his knees. Rich people are friends of politicians - politicians do not like talking to people who are **not** rich, have power and influence. For example I could be the most intelligent person with the most interesting ideas on the planet - but that means nothing to a politician unless I can donate a lot of money to their cause or spend a lot of money to get a seat at their table at a very expensive political fund raiser.

All Greens/Labour politicians are like this (Chris Bowen, Tanya Plibersek, Mark Dreyfuss, Katy Gallagher, Andrew Leigh, Penny Wong, Jason Claire, Tony Burke, Jim Chalmers, Claire O Neill, etc) - corrupt, deluded, ignorant and entirely self interested while lying constantly about working on things for "the good of the people". The political class is corrupt to their core. They never plan to tell the truth about anything. Many Liberals and others have gone along with this corruption and joined the gravy train and thus lowered themselves to the same standard as pig-ignorant scum which dominate Greens/Labour.

The level of corruption in politics, media and the public service is out of control. The Elite scum class - is running Amok and gaslighting the people - including politicians spending millions of dollars advertising themselves and bribing voters https://www.smh.com.au/politics/federal/a-disgrace-independents-seek-investigation-into-174m-advertising-cost-blow-out-20190409-p51ce1.html - media, universities and corporate elites swanning around in Qantas lounges and chortling at the Plebs. The ABC is running its own agenda and tribal hatreds. The entire economy is in debt up to its

eyeballs paying handouts to the "Elites" and designated "Victims"- there is no productivity and women and black tribal victim grifters sit around all day doing nothing or "working from home" or as "influencers".

But Anthony Albanese and his tribe are all fuckwits - Albo says to a rally of hysterical women on the lawns in parliament house "Well, I am Prime Minister" as he demands the microphone be handed to him - not a skerrick of human decency in this narcissistic tyrant. Like his supporter Mark Dreyfuss - the buffoon destroying every law he touches - making tribal laws for Blacks and Women, destroying the presumption of innocence, destroying the family, making secret deals with women to give them taxpayer funds - what scum these tyrants and criminals are.

Meanwhile the women, black and religious tribes are roaming the streets, media, government, schools, universities, libraries - tearing everything down and destroying the place.

Introduction

It is with the concepts of free speech, debate and corruption I approach looking at the history from the 1700s. Right now society is crumbling before my eyes but in the 1700s there were many major fights for things we take for granted as representing the best ideas of "The West".

There were multiple revolutions and changes round the world. In places like England these changes were cumulative - in that it was mainly iterative but sometimes major changes were made - the idea (a sustainable idea) being not to destroy everything but keep the ideas, laws and practices that seemed to work or had some utility. I do not yet have a deep understanding of the monarchs of England in this time but they may have played a part in developing the western style democracies.

https://englishhistory.net/kings-and-queens-of-england/#:~:text=King%20George%20I%201714%20%E2%80%93%201727%20King%20George,and%20The%20Windsors%20%281901%20-1910%29%20%281910%20%E2%80%93%20Today%29

- William III 1688 1702 and Queen Mary II 1688 1694
- Queen Anne 1702 1714

Both William and Anne were involved in War - some of the battles seemed quite harsh **but I do not** have enough detail yet to see what is going on.

The House of Hanover

- King George I 1714 1727
- King George II 1727 1760
- King George III 1760 1820
- King George IV 1820 1830
- King William IV 1830 1837
- Queen Victoria 1837 1901

There is a widespread ignorance of history of the world as taught in Australia - which has been supplanted with causist agendas running for more than 50 years -women, blacks and entitled victims with a hatred of men, families and the ideas of the west. Demonization and destruction of men and

ideas of the West in general are controlled and legislated in the Discrimination Act, Family Law, Domestic Violence Law, Mabo Legislation, State Treaties, State Black Laws, "The Voice", "The Truth", Environmental Laws, Land Council Laws and grants and many other parts of Legislation and Public service - all designed to give women and blacks more money and control and demonize men. This corruption is entrenched now to the extent that most institutions have highly **un**educated tribal women and blacks running a permanent corrupt nepotism for their tribal groups and pushing out dissenters or those who question. Corrupt men and other sycophants join this **corruption gravy train** and go along with the corruption rather than debate it or call it out. As a result only weak minded and corrupt men - like Greens/Labour types - Anthony Albanese, Chris Bowen, Mark Dreyfuss, Andrew Barr, Richard Marles, Andrew Leigh, Bill Shorten, Jim Chalmers, etc) - are left in any organization and people like Robert Cook and the Act Magistrates court - not only support women's causes but actively bully and attack men who dare question it. Cook does this with great personal joy and self-indulgence - like many of the **virtue wankers** in Greens/Labour as they delude themselves about some fantasy world where they are "Heroes" vanquishing the "perpetrator".

Understanding Anthony Albanese's Levels of Corruption

The main thing to note is that all of Greens/Labour is corrupt and they aim to be corrupt - to promote themselves and get as much money out of taxpayers for themselves and their in-group as they can. This is because most of them are extremely dim-witted and untalented - they cannot run a business or make their way in the world without being in politics and being gifted jobs by their political friends. Their corrupt tribe.

Their main aim in life is to get as much money as they can. So they lie - everything they do or say is a calculated deception and lie to either gain votes or deflect criticism and attack the opposition. They are fully corrupt and self interested - which they represent as virtue signaling to the cause (the drama triangle) and demonizing perpetrators - this is the oppressor/oppressed narrative and the Marxist communist mindset of binary dim-wit extremists who dominate Greens/Labour.

See the historical travel records of people in the 1500's taking the silk road. The Muslims - grafters, thieves, liars, etc are described in detail and behaved very much like Greens/Labour do now.

See

- 15 Humanism Corruption Nation Choice Virtue, Version 1, date 03/04/2020
 https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/15-Humanism-Corruption-Nation-Choice-Virtue.pdf
- **Debates Human Difficulties** https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Debates-Human-Difficulties.pdf
- Corruption Extremists. https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Extremists-Feedback.pdf
- Corruption Binary Thinking Prove You are Not A Criminal
 https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Corruption-Binary-Thinking-Prove-You-are-Not-A-Criminal.pdf
- Women Lawyers Corruption Feminism Debate https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf

Binary Groupthink – Overcoming https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Binary-Groupthink-Overcoming-1.pdf

"Aborigines, Girls and Boys The mobthink in Australia and it's supporting systems has brought every child into the world and told them repeatedly (propaganda) that they are Aboriginal and they specially entitled victims, girls and they are specially entitled victims and boys and you are specially to blame unless you play our victim narrative. It is hard to think of a more cruel, controlling, insane and anti humanity child abuse policy which could be designed by anyone " (me then)

Albanese always lies and never intends to tell the truth. He has been doing this for decades now so he shows no reaction or awareness of whether he is lying or telling the truth. The truth or being honest means nothing to him. He wants to keep his job and privileges no matter what the cost - he will do anything to keep his job - he will never resign on principle - unless he is offered another job later as payment for his resignation - when the public have stopped noticing. This is how the Greens/Labour tribe works. Katy Gallagher supports him because she is at least as corrupt as he is and Albo would not sack her for lying and being corrupt. They all support each other in their corruption and lies. They stand in "Solidarity" not only with muslims and Palestinians but all corrupt tribes playing the victim narrative and doing "Whatever it takes" to "Save the planet" from "Perpetrators".

QANTAS Chairman's Lounge.

This is run by the Chairman of Qantas as a personal corruption to hand out membership to friends and family of his choice. Why should any **public company** allow this corruption? Because it has become so common that the elites in all parts of society are used to running things their own way. In China, Russia, North Korea and Iran this is common - the elites do whatever they want with the people's money.

Anthony Albanese, his friends and family are given chairman lounge membership by his friends - who is the chairman of Qantas.

Rich people, senior public servants and celebrities are given chairman's lounge membership.

People who have chairman's lounge membership are also automatically given upgrades to business and first class and Qantas flights on a routine basis as part of the "privilege" of belonging to the Chairman's lounge and can ring Qantas direct to organize things. It is important that their friendship with the chairman of Qantas is maintained so they can continue to get upgrades and privileges - despite all the taxpayer money they receive already and to ensure that all flights are booked with Qantas using government money to keep their profits up and the salary of the chairman - including bonuses high - they are all effectively paying themselves and rewarding themselves using taxpayers money - to the detriment of consumers and taxpayers.

So the richest most privileged people - and those travelling on taxpayers funds - are automatically upgraded to business class while those poorer people who want to travel business class have to pay using their own money. This makes business class more expensive - but not for the elites who never have to pay for it.

Anthony Albanese books a private holiday in economy class for himself and his family and then expects to be upgraded. This happens and he accepts the upgrade and thinks nothing of it. Everyone (Politicians and Elites) does it and in his race to the bottom mentality this makes him no worse than anyone else and in his moral relativism - he says "At least I a not Hitler" - Therefore I am on the "Right Side of History" and a "Good Person" "Black Lives Matter" "More Money to Women".

It is - after all - a "Modest" corruption for the "Greater Good" of keeping me in power.

Albanese is a corrupt lying narcissist - he would not have survived the French Revolution.

But is it all his fault? He is surrounded by feminist man hating binary tribalists, dim witted tribal extremists and weak minded men who are in it for as much as they can get out of the taxpayers as well, - the staffers and other grifters - sycophants - all stoking his self stimulation of virtue wanking so they can profit as well - "The Swamp" - the scum. NO ONE DARES TO CALL HIM OUT - "Wake up to yourself you stupid idiot - stop deluding yourself" - they all keep doubling down on the corruption - like, the ABC, Universities, "Celebrities", The Elites, etc.

See **Time to Wake up Not Woke Up** https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Time-to-Wake-Up-not-Woke-Up.pdf

https://www.news.com.au/national/politics/anthony-albaneses-new-secret-qantas-perk-revealed/news-story/07e82938ee18b4debebe10b4d214e099 If he booked an economy flight overseas he would likely be granted an upgrade. This is a lesson he learned as far back as 20 years ago.

Then he lies about it and hides the truth. And then he declares that he had a upgrade but it is not a problem.

In other words - in no part of Anthony Albanese's narcissistic deluded lying mind does he either accept that he is corrupt or that there is anything wrong with his or anyone else's corruption and misuse of his public position. He is happy to accept as many gifts and freebies as anyone is prepared to give him or any member of his family. He is specially entitled in his mind. He is above the people he serves.

Hi thinks this is how public servants should behave.

Many of the media and other politicians believe this as well - including Stephen Conroy, Mark Dreyfuss, Tanya Plibersek, Penny Wong, "Richo" (Labour power broker) and the rest. Corruption, bribes, nepotism, gifts, bags of cash - is central to how Greens/Labour operate - they are like Mafia crime gangs but with less honour.

Many in the Liberal and National parties should be questioning their behaviour including Peter Dutton and Bridget McKenzie on the matter of entitlements and gifts - including gun club memberships and other things. Maybe they can raise the standard because Greens/Labour cannot.

All of the Greens/Labour politicians and corrupt and self- serving - they all appoint themselves to highly paid public service jobs at the end of their elected terms and expected to be paid constantly and given gifts for the rest of their corrupt life.

Public Service and Corruption

The idea that anyone should profit from their public service job is a corruption of western ideals. You get a decent wage and support in due regard for your integrity and honesty. You are not expected to make profits from your job or misuse your position in any way. You have to act with the highest level of integrity.

You have to be impartial - the public service job is NOT ABOUT YOU as an individual - it is ABOUT THE JOB - not the person, their identity or whether they are nice person and friendly with black tribes. For example, Women really struggle with doing any public service job because it is all about them "as a women" they struggle to remove their identity from the Job. Margaret Thatcher got it - but MOST WOMEN DO NOT. Some fuckwit women magistrate got a black criminal to do a welcome to country ceremony in court to her the other day. All women lawyers and magistrates are completely self-possessed - the job means nothing to them - their identity means everything. Nearly all women use their jobs for their identity and their own tribal identity causes - they cannot be trusted in public service jobs. See Women Lawyers - Corruption - Feminism - Debate https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf

Let me explain it to you.

Corruption is a bad thing.

There is no evidence that corruption is a good thing for any society.

The more corrupt the elites are - it sets a bad example - the more corruption takes place in society until all of society breaks down.

Imagine Judges - like the judges in Victoria under Dan Andrews accepting payments, jobs or bribes for favours and turning a blind eye to crime by politicians and the elites.

Imagine Police officers accepting bribes and gifts from criminals and doing favours for politicians when they have car crashes.

Imagine Jail officers accepting bribes and gifts.

Imagine Media accepting gifts and bribes from criminals and wealthy influencers.

Imagine the building industry being run by the Mafia or other unionized criminal gangs.

Imagine Public service - schools, universities, courts, organizations, ABC - being run by Women and Blacks for the benefits of women and blacks and their friends and family.

Imagine the Wharfs and shipping industry being run by criminal gangs and corrupt mobs.

Imagine Health Regulators in Victoria putting worms and dirt on factory floors to cancel and ruin businesses for the benefits of selected politicians.

Imagine Casino Operators in Sydney and Melbourne flying in high class Chinese gamblers involved in money laundering, drug trade and other criminal activity and accepting gifts and bribes.

What have I missed?

Imagine AEC and Voting officials in the states interfering with voting.

Imagine state premiers giving land, tax payer money and promises to blacks and secret deals to exclude the rest of society from access to public land.

Imagine Public Prosecutors and Victim support units protecting women from being involved in any due process of law or court system - removing the law of perjury or crimes of making false allegations.

Imagine politicians accepting Aldi Bags of cash.

Imagine politicians giving taxpayer money, grants, contracts, jobs and gifts to Donors, Blacks, Muslims and other voting blocs.

Imagine immigration, Customs and Airport officials accepting bribes and gifts from criminals and drug smugglers entering the country.

Imagine a legal system which encourages women to lie and make false allegations against men with no consequences for women for lying.

Imagine an Attorney General who secretly gives million of dollars of taxpayer's funds as a reward to a women to defame and attack the government of the day.

Imagine politicians who give highly paid public service jobs to their friends and political tribe in reward for keeping secrets and maintaining the corruption.

Imagine criminals who use standover tactics and threats against anyone who does not pay their extortion and burns down their businesses if they fail to pay.

Imagine police and courts who pander to hysterical lying women rather than solving crimes.

Imagine whole court systems in the Family court, CSA, Social services, Police and other places all designed to demonize and attack men and profit women.

Imagine NT Police commissioners apologizing to blacks for them committing crimes and being arrested.

Imagine Blacks wanting to be treated as adults yet signing contracts and taking stuff which they can never afford and constantly being bailed out by the taxpayer because they are **victims of their own stupidity**.

Imagine Isis Brides, Muslims, Blacks and women given free housing and income support while homeless demonized men die in the streets.

Imagine a government funded Media organization - the ABC - where everyone runs their own private tribal agendas and they deliberately demonize and ignore those who they are not personal friends with - ignoring and attacking Conservatives, Men, Bettina Arndt or Augusto Zimmermann.

Dissenters

The progression of debate and free speech.

Governments in England had tried to legislate religious beliefs as part of government. This is what Muslim Countries do. Mandate and legislate religion and kill people for religious crimes. Cut off heads, hands, etc. They incorporate religion at the top of the government layers. China, North Korea, Iran, Iraq - totalitarian tyrannies.

Many different belief systems were developed throughout Europe during the 1500 to 1600s - this had the effect of making the whole **belief debate** more complex than what had previous been a much smaller set of named beliefs - Muslim, Catholic, Jew, Lutheran, Calvanist, Buddhist, etc. The Pantheon of Gods in Roman times had become not only of "Gods" but of gods, buildings, books, ideas, Pamphlets, debates and groups on a much wider scale.

Many were generally based around a proscribed book of wisdom and the various interpretations of it. As time passed new groups emerged with new interpretations and each group could argue with great conviction about single details of the proscribed texts and what words meant.

In other words - it was far more difficult to exactly identify what everyone was in disagreement about.

If you could not mandate everything - then there was a freedom to have a slightly different view of things. Muslims and other draconian authoritarian regimes have great difficulty with this concept because belief and words are all mandated by authority - in fact - this was the great claim of superiority of muslims - that it was the final "Truth" above all others. In other words the most determinedly pig-ignorant and uneducated humans claims to have absolutely certainty of real truth. They believe this. We see this with many ignorant people - a certainty of belief and lack of education or question. China - for example - places it certainty on the power of the Chinese tribe - its tribal strength - on basic administration processes - the power of authoritarian administration. A Mob of administrators and Warriors for the tribe.

So if you were in disagreement about something then you needed to explain and communicate exactly what you trying to disagree with. You needed to communicate and share the ideas of exactly what you were trying to describe and agree - at least - on the definition of the disagreement.

In other words

How can you Dissent from **Some thing** unless you know what that **Some thing** is and what your dissenting view is?

This is a very basic idea that most demonstrators and mobs seem to have the most trouble understanding.

This is a major idea of the west - it is the fundamental principle of education and development.

For example people who hate Jews burn down Greek flags instead of Jewish flags because the flags look similar. Many women, blacks and other tribal dimwits are too Fat, Dumb and Lazy to spend the time to understand any debate or exactly what the issues are. We see this in the hysterical Women, Black, religious zealots, weak minded men type binary tribal zealot types who our smugly and virtuously proud of their pig-ignorance and lack of ability to talk or debate anything. The same smug violence comes from those who are most certain and smug about their beliefs - Chinese, muslims, etc.

Barbarians don't debate - they kill.

Then there was also the "New Prophets" - each new prophet claimed (like Jesuits) to have seen God and know the real truth and then developed cults and followings and believers - with large amounts of money and power attached - very much like most religions. Hundreds of thousands of "Prophets" have appeared over the years - each claiming to know the words of god and each seeking followers and supporters. There were many temples and women involved managing temples in Greek and Roman times who profited by people believing in their prophecies and conversations with "God".

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Temple of Artemis https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muggletonianism https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Wesley https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English Dissenters English Dissenters or English Separatists were Protestants who separated from the Church of England in the 17th and 18th centuries.[1] A dissenter (from the Latin dissentire, "to disagree") is one who disagrees in opinion, belief and other matters. English Dissenters opposed state interference in religious matters and founded their own churches, educational establishments[2] and communities. They tended to see the established church as too Catholic, but did not agree on what should be done about it

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ranters The origin for the term "Ranter" seems to come from an anonymous pamphlet titled "A Justification of the Mad Crew", where the word rant was used in reference to the enemies of those espousing this particular view, equating ranting with hypocrisy. The anonymous author calls those who would eventually be deemed Ranters "the Mad Crew" instead. There is also a confluence between the term "Ranter" with the verb rent, as in to be rent away from God. Most written evidence points to the use of "Ranter" as an insult by the enemies of the sect and not a self-designated moniker.[3] By the 1660s, the term became attached to any group that promoted theological deviance but since most of the literary evidence we have was created by those opposed to Ranters in general, it is difficult to determine their exact creed.[4]

There are few primary sources actually written by Ranters, but those that do exist give us a clearer picture of what they believed. The most famous English member, Laurence Clarkson or Claxton, joined the Ranters after encountering them in 1649.[5] Although he does not mention them directly, his 1650 tract called A Single Eye is widely believed to have been inspired by this dissenting group and directly reflects their views. Other less well known members of the Ranter cohort included Abiezer Coppe and Joseph Salmon.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Act_of_Uniformity_1662 Act of Uniformity 1662 (14 Cha. 2. c. 4) is an Act of the Parliament of England. (It was formerly cited as 13 & 14 Cha. 2. c. 4, by reference to the

regnal year when it was passed on 19 May 1662.) It prescribed the form of public prayers, administration of sacraments, and other rites of the Established Church of England, according to the rites and ceremonies prescribed in the 1662 Book of Common Prayer. Adherence to this was required in order to hold any office in government or the church, although the new version of the Book of Common Prayer prescribed by the Act was so new that most people had never even seen a copy. The Act also required that the Book of Common Prayer "be truly and exactly Translated into the British or Welsh Tongue". It also explicitly required episcopal ordination for all ministers, i.e. deacons, priests and bishops, which had to be reintroduced since the Puritans had abolished many features of the Church during the Civil War. The act did not explicitly encompass the Isle of Man.[3]

A few sections of this Act were still in force in the United Kingdom at the end of 2010

So - the Act of Uniformity is exactly what the Greens/Labour do now - only those who conform with their view can be employed, earn a living or be given highly paid government jobs. Those who do not conform are ignored by the ABC, expelled from universities, not given public service jobs - all the nepotism and corruption comes with tribal conformity.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great Ejection Great Ejection followed the Act of Uniformity 1662 in England. Several thousand Puritan ministers were forced out of their positions in the Church of England following the Restoration of Charles II.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nonconformist (Protestantism) Use of the term **Nonconformist** in England and Wales was precipitated after the Restoration of the Stuart monarchy in 1660, when the Act of Uniformity 1662 renewed opposition to reforms within the established church

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Puritans The **Puritans** were English Protestants in the 16th and 17th centuries who sought to rid the Church of England of what they considered to be Roman Catholic practices, maintaining that the Church of England had not been fully reformed and should become more Protestant.[1] Puritanism played a significant role in English and early American history, especially during the Protectorate.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_Priestley_Joseph Priestley_24 March 1733 – 6 February 1804 was an English chemist, Unitarian, natural philosopher, separatist theologian, grammarian, multisubject educator and classical liberal political theorist.[4] He published over 150 works, and conducted experiments in several areas of science

Priestley, who strongly believed in the free and open exchange of ideas, advocated toleration and equal rights for religious Dissenters, which also led him to help found Unitarianism in England. The controversial nature of Priestley's publications, combined with his outspoken support of the American Revolution and later the French Revolution,[12][13] aroused public and governmental contempt; eventually forcing him to flee in 1791, first to London and then to the United States, after a mob burned down his Birmingham home and church. He spent his last ten years in Northumberland County, Pennsylvania.

I notice that this concept of **Unitarianism** was an attempt to unify the **dissenters** under one set of basic beliefs - a simple basic premise that everyone could agree one. To some extent - this attempt to **unify the tribes** - is very much like the current concept of **tribal unification** called "**Diversity**" and "**Inclusion**" - where as long as you agree to be a certain tribe (have certain tribal beliefs and identity)

- then you are included in the diversity - White men are excluded by law (see Australia's Discrimination Act) .

https://www.dss.gov.au/women-programs-services-ending-violence/keeping-women-safe-in-their-homes Keeping Women Safe in their Homes https://www.dss.gov.au/ending-violence The National Plan to End Violence against Women and Children 2022-2032 https://www.pmc.gov.au/office-women Office for Women The Office for Women works to advance the Government's commitment to achieve gender equality and improve the lives of people in Australia. (selected gender tribes -women)

The Australian Public Service is 60% women up from 40% in several decades via mandated "positive" gender discrimination - this represents "Gender Equality"

All public service and public appointments now are based on Gender or tribal affiliations.

See Humanism Issue – Nation Law – Exceptions – Religion https://humanism-Issue-Nation-Law-Exceptions-Religion.rtf Equality Diversity Proportion Value Trust https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Equality-Diversity-Proportion-Value-Trust.pdf and I Like Chefs but I Hate Some Food https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/I-Like-Chefs-but-I-Hate-Some-Food.pdf

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priestley Riots The Priestley Riots (also known as the Birmingham Riots of 1791) took place from 14 July to 17 July 1791 in Birmingham, England; the rioters' main targets were religious dissenters, most notably the politically and theologically controversial Joseph Priestley. Both local and national issues stirred the passions of the rioters, from disagreements over public library book purchases, to controversies over Dissenters' attempts to gain full civil rights and their support of the French Revolution

John Wilkes - Seditious Libel - the Riot Act - Free Speech

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Act of Settlement 1701 The Act of Settlement (12 & 13 Will. 3. c. 2) is an act of the Parliament of England that settled the succession to the English and Irish crowns to only Protestants, which passed in 1701.[b] More specifically, anyone who became a Roman Catholic, or who married one, became disqualified to inherit the throne. This had the effect of deposing the remaining descendants of Charles I, other than his Protestant granddaughter Anne, as the next Protestant in line to the throne was Sophia of Hanover. Born into the House of Wittelsbach, she was a granddaughter of James VI and I from his most junior surviving line,[c] with the crowns descending only to her non-Catholic heirs. Sophia died less than two months before Queen Anne, and Sophia's son succeeded to the throne as King George I, starting the Hanoverian dynasty in Britain.

Once again we see the problem of inheritance of monarchy and land being at the center of wars - but this is also combined with religion and belief. It may take some time for counties to have leaders who do NOT have to declare a religion. E.g. USA is highly religious and invoke "God" as much as muslim clerics in Iran and Iraq - with no real idea of the consequences of imbedding Religion at high levels of government.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tories (British political party) The Tories were a loosely organised political faction and later a political party, in the Parliaments of England, Scotland, Ireland, Great

Britain and the United Kingdom. They first emerged during the **1679 Exclusion Crisis**, when they opposed **Whig efforts** to exclude James, Duke of York from the succession on the grounds of his Catholicism. **Despite their fervent opposition to state-sponsored Catholicism, Tories opposed his exclusion** because of their belief that inheritance based on birth was the foundation of a stable society.

Anthony Albanese has declared that *he hates Tories*. In other words - he supports the idea of Catholics being excluded from inheritance - he supports violent tribalism in all its forms.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seditious libel Under the common law of England, Wales and Northern Ireland, a statement was **seditious** under the common law if it brought into "**hatred or contempt**" either the King or his heirs, the government and constitution, either House of Parliament, or the administration of justice; or if it incited people to attempt to change any matter of Church or state established by law (except by lawful means); or if it promoted **discontent** among or **hostility** between British subjects.

Me, calling Anthony Albanese and the Greens/Labour politicians corrupt lying stupid fucking idiots is not sedition. It is opinion and my assessment of the reality and debatable. For example I don't hate stupid people - but stupid people exist. I don't hate corrupt people - but corrupt people exist and I argue that it creates problem for societies. It is not contempt - it is a discussion and **debate of reality** or at least a sharing of opinions. Reality can cause **discontent** amongst the zombie brain and deluded weak minded people. "Leave me alone in my cave of certainty and stop asking questions". **Inviting debate** is NOT an invitation to become **hostile** form into tribal mobs and attack people and things.

Kings can be mad, foolish, wrong, corrupt, insane and inbred - and many other things.

I have no contempt for Greens/Labour - or I show much less contempt than they do to me and debating reality.

https://www.parliament.uk/about/living-heritage/transformingsociety/electionsvoting/newport-rising/1839-newp-ris/riot-act-1714/ 1714 Riot Act

The early 1700s was a time of unrest in Britain with riots occurring in 1710, 1714 and 1715. The preamble of the Riot Act refers to these 'rebellious riots and tumults' and states that the existing laws were insufficient. The Act allowed local officials to read a proclamation ordering illegally assembled groups of more than twelve people to disperse. Refusal to disperse was a felony offence which carried the death penalty. It is from this we get the phrase 'reading the Riot Act'. Contemporary accounts disagree as to whether the Riot Act was successfully read at the 1839 Newport Rising. Some claim that the mayor read the full proclamation, others claim that he was shot and injured while trying to read the Act from the window of the Westgate Hotel. Interestingly, the leaders of the Newport Rising were charged with treason rather than being charged under the Riot Act. The Riot Act was repealed in 1973.

<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sacheverell_riots</u>
Sacheverell riots were a series of outbreaks of public disorder, which spread across England during the spring, summer and autumn of 1710 in which supporters of the Tories attacked the homes and meeting-houses of Dissenters, particularly those of Presbyterians, whose congregations tended to support the Whigs. (Further violence, again targeting Presbyterian chapels, occurred in the Coronation riots of 1714 and the Rebellion riots of

1715.)[1] The Sacheverell and Rebellion riots are regarded as the **most serious instances of public** disorder of the eighteenth century, until, perhaps, the anti-Catholic protests of 1780

<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_riots</u> coronation riots of October 1714 were a series of riots in southern and western <u>England</u> in protest against the coronation of the first <u>Hanoverian</u> king of Great Britain, George I.

But the religious zealots were still keen to exert their influence in the affairs of the control and become "the High Church" or the most devout and "Right" kind of religious people. The latitudes of tolerance were too much to cope with. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High_church

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1715 England riots In the spring and summer of 1715 a series of riots occurred in England in which High Church mobs attacked over forty Dissenting meeting-houses. [1][2] The rioters also protested against the first Hanoverian king of Britain, George I and his new Whig government (the Whigs were associated with the Dissenters). [3] The riots occurred on symbolic days: 28 May was George I's birthday, 29 May was the anniversary of Charles II's Restoration and 10 June was the birthday of the Jacobite Pretender, James Francis Edward Stuart.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Treaty of Paris (1763) The Treaty of Paris, also known as the Treaty of 1763, was signed on 10 February 1763 by the kingdoms of Great Britain, France and Spain, with Portugal in agreement, following Great Britain and Prussia's victory over France and Spain during the Seven Years' War...When Lord Bute became the British prime minister in 1762, he pushed for a resolution to the war with France and Spain since he feared that Great Britain could not govern all of its newly acquired territories. In what Winston Churchill would later term a policy of "appeasement", Bute returned some colonies to Spain and France in the negotiations.[24]

Despite a desire for peace, many in the British Parliament opposed the return of any gains made during the war. Notable among the opposition was former prime minister **William Pitt, the Elder**, who **warned that the terms of the treaty would lead to further conflicts** once France and Spain had time to rebuild and later said, "The peace was insecure because it restored the enemy to her former greatness. The peace was inadequate, because the places gained were no equivalent for the places surrendered."[25] The treaty passed by 319 votes to 65

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Wilkes John Wilkes began his parliamentary career as a follower of William Pitt the Elder and enthusiastically supported Britain's involvement in the Seven Years War of 1756–1763. When the Scottish John Stuart, 3rd Earl of Bute, came to head the government in 1762, Wilkes started a radical weekly publication, The North Briton, to attack him, using an anti-Scots tone. Typical of Wilkes, the title made satirical reference to the pro-government newspaper, The Briton, with "North Briton" referring to Scotland. Wilkes became particularly incensed by what he regarded as Bute's betrayal in agreeing to overly generous peace terms with France to end the war.[7]

On 5 October 1762, Wilkes fought a duel with **William Talbot, 1st Earl Talbot**. Talbot was the Lord Steward and a follower of Bute; he challenged Wilkes to a pistol duel after being ridiculed in issue 12 of The North Briton.[12] The encounter took place at Bagshot – at night to avoid attracting judicial attention. At a range of eight yards, Talbot and Wilkes both fired their pistols but neither was hit. Somewhat reconciled, they then went to a nearby inn and shared a bottle of claret. When the affair

later became widely known, some viewed it as comical, and a satirical print made fun of the duelists. Some commentators even denounced the duel as a stunt, stage-managed to enhance the reputations of both men.[13]

Wilkes faced a charge of seditious libel over attacks on George III's speech endorsing the Paris Peace Treaty of 1763 at the opening of Parliament on 23 April 1763. Wilkes was highly critical of the King's speech, which was recognised as having been written by Bute [citation needed]. He attacked it in an article of issue 45 of The North Briton.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John Wilkes John Wilkes (17 October 1725 – 26 December 1797) was an English <u>radical</u> journalist and politician, as well as a magistrate, essayist and soldier. He was first elected a Member of Parliament in 1757. In the <u>Middlesex election dispute</u>, he fought for the right of his voters – rather than the <u>House of Commons</u> – to determine their representatives. In 1768, angry protests of his supporters were suppressed in the <u>Massacre of St George's Fields</u>. In 1771, he was instrumental in obliging the government to concede the <u>right of printers to publish verbatim</u> accounts of parliamentary debates. In 1776, he introduced the first <u>bill</u> for parliamentary reform in the <u>British Parliament</u>.

https://blog.nationalarchives.gov.uk/the-scandalous-case-of-the-north-briton-number-45/
Parliament resumed in November and the government wasted no time in using it to attack Wilkes and his defence against the charge. Previous, offensive works of his were read out and condemned in the House of Lords on 15 November 1763. The same day the Commons resolved that no. 45 was seditious libel. Wilkes ended up duelling another MP the day after this, leaving him badly injured. Then on 24 November the Commons further resolved that Parliamentary Privilege did not extend to protection against charges of seditious libel. The Lords followed suit on 29 November, stating that Privilege could not 'be allowed to obstruct the ordinary Course of the Laws in the speedy and effectual Prosecution of so serious and dangerous an offence' (footnote 4).

Desperate, Wilkes fled to Paris on Christmas Day 1763. He would remain in Europe for four years. In the meantime, on 1 November 1764, he was found guilty and declared an outlaw.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Massacre_of_St_George%27s_Fields

Massacre of St George's Fields occurred on 10 May 1768 when government soldiers opened fire on demonstrators that had gathered at St George's Fields, Southwark, in south London. The protest was against the imprisonment of the radical Member of Parliament **John Wilkes** for **writing an article that severely criticised King George III.**

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gordon Riots Gordon Riots of 1780 were several days of rioting in London motivated by anti-Catholic sentiment. They began with a large and orderly protest against the Papists Act 1778, which was intended to reduce official discrimination against British Catholics enacted by the Popery Act 1698. Lord George Gordon, head of the Protestant Association, argued that the law would enable Catholics to join the British Army and plot treason. The protest led to widespread rioting and looting, including attacks on Newgate Prison and the Bank of England and was the most destructive in the history of London

So while religious wars were still taking place - they had become debate, riots, protests against laws and court cases in England - rather than full scale wars. They were dealt with by parliament, politicians, media, courts and police.

Mobs were controlled.

Courts pursued criminals.

https://www.historic-uk.com/HistoryUK/HistoryofBritain/Bow-Street-Runners/ With London growing rapidly and crime accompanying this growth, Henry Fielding, the chief magistrate at Bow Street Court decided to conduct and write a report about the crime rates in the capital and published his findings in 1751. The report found that the increase in crime could be attributed to a number of factors, including a great number of people moving to London expecting an easy life, inherent corruption within the government, people choosing crime over hard work and the ineffectual constables. With these findings published, Fielding sought to bring about some necessary changes to law enforcement.

Henry Fielding, along with his half-brother John who was also a magistrate, founded the **Bow Street Runners**, a paid police force with the intention of preventing and fighting crime. Henry was known for his motto of 'quick notice and sudden pursuit'. He was keen to use the general public to help, somewhat similarly as before, by using adverts and pamphlets asking for assistance.

The force he set up included six paid constables to patrol the streets of London. The name the **Bow Street Runners** referred simply to their location, whilst the term 'runners' referred to their pursuit of criminals, although it was not a name that was particularly well-received by the constables themselves

John Wilkes lived - despite writing in detail his objection and thoughts about the King and his actions. It took the crowds of people to support him - but they were supporting the very idea of debate, discussion, free thought and opinion.

Taking the Bad with the Good

What is the cost of over indulging in chocolate?

Why are Aborigines so desperate to return to a tribal nomadic existence in the desert ? Revenge? Against who - for what purpose?


Where Do I Put God in Government?

Where is the proper place for believers to put their "God" of certainty (Chinese, Muslims, Iraq, Iran, North Korea, tyrannies, United Nations). The rampant prophets of certainty want their God at the top - but then we learned how to ameliorate those excesses.


Henry 8 of England did not create the English Church - at least that is NOT the way to view what happened. What Happened is that the King of England REJECTED the POPE and all the trappings of the Holy Roman Empire with its corruptions and power. He freed the people of England from the excesses and control of the pious money grubbing religious zealots. Many people supported him.

But of course the Catholics did not. He took over all the lands and churches which then became property of king, lords and eventually the people. The services to the homeless, poor and destitute - which the catholics had been providing - became the job of private individuals, wealthy merchants and eventually the state. Henry put monarchy and eventually government ABOVE the "GOD" industry - of course there was resentment from Catholics in Ireland (aligned with Spain) and Scotland but there was also a scramble for religious domination by the Lutherans, Calvinists and others. Followed by the idea of the most devout and correct God believers the "High Church".

I am sure this fight with the places of the "gods of certainty" was going on in all ancient and tribal cultures - Egypt, Assyrian, Sumerian, Elam, Incas, etc.



First Pass at Combining Things



The Benefit of Hindsight - The Wisdom Literature

I don't want to rain on your parade - I want to encourage you to debate and experiment.

But here are some things you might want to explore and consider.

I Know that as a child you will have strong feelings. This is not your fault - nor is it everything.

We make mistake and learn - that is part of the human condition - the ability to reflect, observe, notice and communicate.

So here I am, now. I can share information which might help you because I have seen things, learned and made mistakes. I have read things, travelled and engaged with many things - there is always much more which can be done.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Father and Son (song) https://genius.com/Cat-stevens-father-and-son-lyrics

Conformists Versus Dissenters

The tribal wars shifted a little in the 1700s. Wars of Religion, Wars of Inheritance, wars of greed and power but now we have **Wars of CONFORMITY**. This is a kind of **Rebellion war** - where the rebels are fighting the conformists. Upsetting the "Apple cart". You could argue that the religious wars fit into a **Conformist/Dissenter** kind of **rebellion framework** as well - a rebellion against the dogma - a rebellion against the orthodoxy. A rebellion against the draconian legislation.

But it is not the Dissenters or Questioners who always start the wars - it is the conformists - like we see now with Marxists and Greens/Labour, Muslims and tribal zealots - it is the groupthink mobs - the tribal binary extremists - those who are most driven by tribal allegiances - women, blacks, religious zealots - weak minded men - these are the "conformists" who are the violent mobs. The conformists are the most corrupt, the most ignorant and "the ends justifies the means" types - they use violence instead of debate - which is why it is difficult to find out what the violent mobs were thinking - because most of the time - they were not thinking or able to express themselves in non-violent ways.

The Birmingham riots were complicated but we get some insight into tribal mob warfare.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priestley_Riots While the riots were not initiated by Prime Minister William Pitt's administration, the national government was slow to respond to the Dissenters' pleas for help. Local officials seem to have been involved in the planning of the riots, and were later reluctant to prosecute ringleaders. Industrialist James Watt wrote that the riots "divided [Birmingham] into two parties who hate one another mortally".[3] Those who had been attacked gradually left, leaving Birmingham a more conservative city than previously.

Over the course of the eighteenth century, **Birmingham became notorious for its riots**, which were sparked by a number of causes. In 1714 and 1715, the townspeople, as part of a "Church-and-King" mob, attacked Dissenters (Protestants who **did not adhere to the Church of England** or follow its practices) in the Sacheverell riots during the London trial of Henry Sacheverell, and in 1751 and 1759 Quakers and Methodists were assaulted. During the anti-Catholic Gordon Riots in 1780, large crowds assembled in Birmingham. In 1766, 1782, 1795, and 1800 mobs protested about high food prices.[4] One contemporary described Birmingham rioters as the "bunting, beggarly, brass-making, brazenfaced, brazen-hearted, blackguard, bustling, booby **Birmingham mob**"

.. After the riots, however, scientist and clergyman **Joseph Priestley** argued in his An Appeal to the Public on the Subject of the Birmingham Riots (1791) that this cooperation had not in fact been as amicable as generally believed. Priestley revealed that disputes over the local library, Sunday Schools, and church attendance had divided Dissenters from Anglicans.[7] In his Narrative of the Riots in Birmingham (1816), stationer and Birmingham historian William Hutton agreed, arguing that five events stoked the fires of religious friction: disagreements over inclusion of Priestley's books in the local public library; concerns over Dissenters' attempts to repeal the Test and Corporation Acts; religious controversy (particularly involving Priestley); an "inflammatory hand-bill"; and a dinner celebrating the outbreak of the French Revolution

See

- What Were you Thinking 1500s Part2 https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/What-Were-you-Thinking-1500s-part2.pdf
- What Were you Thinking 1500s Part3 https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/12/What-Were-you-Thinking-1500s-part3.pdf

We saw wars of conformity in the Covid Lockdowns - The Mob attacked individuals who were non-conformists - dissenters from the mandates. The Media "Vaccine deniers", Politicians (Bill Shorten - far right nut jobs) all attacked those who did not conform the groupthink - the mob - the tribal

narratives. We see similar slurs in USA against people who are individuals they are called "Deplorables" by Hillary Clinton and "Garbage" by Joe Bided" and "Brothers" (here - smoke some more dope and take some free money) by Barack Obama (Black tribal cunt).

Yet in Victoria Black Lives Matter were celebrated and cheered on by the virtue signalers with Victorian police proudly taking the knee to the Black tribes as a sign of their **personal** virtue, Yet Victorian police attacked and shot rubber bullets at those who dissented from the Dan Andrews mandates and repressive regime. This violence against individuals was one of the **worst examples of political tyranny and corruption** we have seen in the history of Australia - a "massacre" of democracy. Liars, grafters and other scumbags (including judiciary and media (ABC, etc.)) all gathered like sycophants around Dan Andrews to run a protection racket and grift their share of the corruption.

See Creeping Tyrannical Totalitarianism – The Destruction of the Individual

https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Creeping-Tyrannical-Totalitarinism-The-Destruction-of-the-Individual.pdf "Power corrupts and tyranny grows but the **tyrant hangs on to power too long** - all their lives - all their descendents lives - a permanent tyranny - no debate, no discussion, no educated exploration in a cooperative way - only binary certainty, power and abuse." ..." The rule of law becomes the rule of iron fist. Everyone becomes a policeman for the state. For anyone to be safe we must all be "safe". "They used the wrong words the wrong pronouns - he used hate speech - Kill all Wrong-speakers!'. Everyone Rush to outrage!"

Black Embassy in Canberra

What an embarrassment - we cannot stop black tribes from taking over Australia how can we expect to stop the Chinese and Muslim tribes? Illegal immigrants can set up anywhere they like. Albo will let you in - he is a "Good" man on the "Right Side of History"

- You cannot trespass on public or private land it is a really basic rule of law and nations.
 Ownership commonwealth, public beaches and parks that kind of thing.
- Issue a notice to vacate otherwise everyone can set up on any land they like (blacks, women, tribe, victims)
- Uphold the rule of law and national sovereignty
- Deal with sovereign Risk
- Give them time to pack up and leave.
- Arrest people
- Try them in court
- Put them in Jail
- Dismantle the illegal invasion of the Nation or continue to let the blacks, Chinese and Muslims take over the place.

The argument goes something like this.

Blacks want all Australians to leave. Linda Burney and many other blacks said "You can go now" - using taxpayer funded advertising. So - fuck you Linda Burney. Black tribes do not own Australia - Australians are not going to leave. It is not YOUR country it is OUR Country - all of us. Now get off

your fucking backsides and do some work for a change - get a job, earn some money, buy your own place to live - or go to jail for breaking the law.

Crimes or Free Speech

Graffitti is not free speech - it is vandalism.

Blocking roads is not free speech - it is a crime and people's businesses and lives are affected - people die as a result.

Invading someone's home or work place is not free speech - it is trespass and a crime which threatens and intimidates people causes distress to children and others and is inciting violence.

Painting things on public or private property is not free speech - it is a crime.

Destroying statues is not free speech - it is a crime of theft and destruction of public property.

Damaging art work is not free speech - it is a crime of vandalism and malicious damage.

Going on to farmers lands to damage property or release animals - is not free speech - it's a crime of trespass and property damage.

Invading people's homes is not free speech - it is trespass.

Blockading restaurants and yelling abuse at diners is not free speech - it is a crime of disturbing the peace.

Making false allegations on websites against people or businesses is not free speech - it is lying. Lying is not a crime in public but is a crime in courts and the court system so if you want to continue lying to the extent of deliberately causing damage to someone else then you might find civil action taken against you in court. Or you can retract your lies and say sorry - being aware that lying is not a good thing or "Free Speech"

Slashing tyres of people visiting so called "Black Only" public places is a crime and property damage.

Threatening people and taking money for "Welcome to Country" ceremonies on **public land** is blackmail and extortion. These are crimes. https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14032633/yamba-surf-club-charged-welcome-country.html "The Yamba Surf Club, on NSW's far north coast, had made a request with the Yaegl Traditional Owners Aboriginal Corporation to use the town's main beach to hold four events, including a nippers carnival and a fundraising ocean swim over summer. The club was told it would have to pay a \$500 service fee for each event for the corporation to perform Welcome to Country ceremonies."

Making false allegations against men in public, Media and courts and swearing false affidavits is a crime - it is a crime of perjury - just because you declare yourself "the victim" it does not mean you can commit crimes of damaging other people.

Invading parliament house, screaming at people, shouting and yelling in universities, stopping jews attending university, forming into mobs and shouting tribal violent chants is not free speech - it is a crime.

ABC concentrating on their own private agenda - stirring up mobs and mob violence based on victim narratives - is a crime and a misuse of public media - ignoring men's issue is a crime if you are paid to look at **all issues**. Promoting stories of people who lie (Climate Change, Vested Interests, Fake blacks - Bruce Pasco) or want to destroy things "Lets tear this place down - who is with me" and "Kill all Men" is a crime of sedition. Selected free speech is not free speech.

Black Activists and Kill All Men

Tribal types - women and blacks (on average - entitled victim narrative) are very dim witted binary extremists - like muslims and Chinese tribal types - they lack education or the ability to operate as individuals in society - they collect into tribes and mobs - which makes them feel powerful i.e. they never mount a serious debate as an individual - they cannot be an individual human being who is able to express any kind of debatable and discussible idea.

For example many women support the idea that was promoted by an individual in Australia of "Kill All Men". This idea was spoken by a woman and taken up by many others. The ABC and people in Melbourne offered her a job and she was given money and influence for promoting the idea of "Kill All Men". Most women in Australia - in the media and politics - completely ignored this and many publically supported the idea - some secretly. Many women claimed that this was a sign of "Free Speech" and a healthy democracy.

But is it?

Is it free speech to shout and promote the idea of "Kill all men" - is that free speech?

It is at least "*Seditious libel*" and tribal hatred isn't it? It is designed not only to offend (18c - except it does not apply to white men) but also to encourage violence and death. **Sedition** - not blasphemy, heresy, lies, slander, truth - but **tribal mob warfare**.

You do understand that - don't you? - except than most women in the media - the ABC, Sky and politicians - do not even react to that statement or see that it might be wrong or illegal. But most women are tribal and act as a tribe for women - many weak minded men are also tribal and treat women as tribes and themselves as "Heroes".

See **Overcoming Tribalism – an Idea of the West** https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Overcoming-Tribalism-an-Idea-of-the-West.pdf

So here - let me help you - try this statement - see what reaction you have -

"Kill all Fucking women cunts now - they do not deserve to live - kill as many women as you can now - keep some for slaves and breeding but kill the rest"

How do you react to that statement? It is not hatred is it? - there is no statement of hatred. It is not a lie is it - there is no statement of truth or anything which can be debated - so it is not slander. Does it seem like free speech to you or is something else? If you are a Muslim or an Ottoman - does it appeal to you - the idea of women slaves and breeders? - do you like that kind of statement?

What about -

"Kill all Jews - from the river to the sea - Palestine will be free"

Is that free speech as well?

So do any of you stupid tribal hate filled fucking cunts get the idea or not?

So - here - let me help all the binary extremist tribal types. Your argument is Kill all white men and all people should leave Australia and leave everything to the blacks because blacks are victims - the blacks own everything - and the bad people are white men and anyone who is not a designated member - authorized - by the black tribes. Blacks own everything in Australia - so everyone else must go now (Linda Burney, High court (Mabo) and other judges)

So the answer to your request is No. A simple No - now get on with your lives. If you want to start a tribal war then GO FOR IT - you want to see what happens when people become tribal?? You know nothing of history! You want a tribal war you blacks, you women and tribal mobs - then let us see who wipes out who first - you hate filled scum bags. Cronulla Riots, St Kilda - anyone? Thousands of years of tribal tit for tat?

Or maybe instead the Chinese can put all you blacks and other tribal types into a "Re-education Camp" where you can be gainfully employed in making solar panels. All organ donations gratefully received. Thanks for contributing to Chinese tribal supremacy.

So if any of you STUPID TRIBAL MOBS - have an argument to make - them MAKE IT! Use words - explain yourself and then see where the debate goes. Instead of dragging around your fucking temper and resentment like some surly teenager complaining about everything while fantasizing about some delusional life of fairy princesses and heroic knights and harems full of obsequious women.

'I respect you too much not to have this argument' - Author(Jonathan Pearson) Year[2019] Age(61) Keyword(Development Agree Individual)

How not to be a Meme

Picasso was himself. No-one else can ever be Picasso.

I takes many years to learn how to be yourself and not a copy of a meme.

I cannot tell you how to do it - but as can you see from what I have written - WHO in their right mind would want to be the same as me or anyone else?

Recent Investigations

Early 1700s. Some thinking and modeling.

Recent People

Daniel Bernoulli - Lifespan[1700 to 1782] Born_Loc(Groningen, Dutch Republic) Rank(10) Keyword(Maths, Statistics, Probability, Fluid Mechanics, Flow, Pressure, Physics, Biology, Medicine, Astronomy), Wikidata(Q122366) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daniel_Bernoulli https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Bernoulli Daniel/

https://www.britannica.com/biography/Daniel-Bernoulli https://www.hetwebsite.net/het/essays/uncert/bernoulhyp.htm

Elizabeth of Russia/Elizaveta Petrovna - Lifespan[1709 to 1762] Born_Loc(Kolomenskoye, Moscow, Tsardom of Russia) Rank(40) Keyword(Education, Queen, Architecture, Civil Service, Government, Diplomacy), Wikidata(Q130752) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elizabeth_of_Russia <a

Anders Celsius - Lifespan[1701 to 1744] Born_Loc(Uppsala, Sweden) Rank(80) Keyword(Astronomy, Maths, Physicist), Wikidata(Q81130) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anders_Celsius https://en.wiki/Anders_Celsius https://en.wiki/Anders_Celsius/ https://en.wiki/Anders_Celsius/ https://en.wiki/Anders_Celsius/ https://en.wiki/Anders_Celsius/ https://en.wiki/Anders_Celsius

Samuel Johnson - Lifespan[1709 to 1784] Born_Loc(Lichfield, England) Rank(80) Keyword(Poetry, Drama, Dictionary, Essays, Biographer, Critic), Wikidata(Q183266) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samuel_Johnson https://www.britannica.com/biography/Samuel-Johnson https://www.poetryfoundation.org/poets/samuel-johnson

Mikhail Lomonosov/Mikhail Vasilyevich Lomonosov - Lifespan[1711 to 1765]

Born_Loc(Mishaninskaya, Archangelgorod Governorate, Tsardom of Russia) Rank(80)

Keyword(Geology, Science, chemistry, physics, mineralogy, history, art, philology, optical devices, Transit of Venus), Wikidata(Q58720) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mikhail_Lomonosov

https://www.britannica.com/biography/Mikhail-Vasilyevich-Lomonosov
https://www.lindahall.org/about/news/scientist-of-the-day/mikhail-lomonosov/

Maria Gaetana Agnesi - Lifespan[1718 to 1799] Born_Loc(Milan, Duchy of Milan) Rank(80) Keyword(mathematician, philosopher, theologian, humanism), Wikidata(Q200392) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maria_Gaetana_Agnesi https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Witch_of_Agnesi

Joshua Reynolds - Lifespan[1723 to 1792] Born_Loc(Plympton, Devon, England) Rank(80) Keyword(Art, Painting, Portraits), Wikidata(Q194402) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joshua_Reynolds https://www.wikiart.org/en/joshua-reynolds

William Hogarth - Lifespan[1697 to 1764] Born_Loc(London, England) Rank(80) Keyword(Art, Painting, Humour, Satire, Comic, Critic, Engravver, Printing), Wikidata(Q171344) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Hogarth https://www.britannica.com/biography/William-Hogarth https://www.wikiart.org/en/william-hogarth

Joseph Black - Lifespan[1728 to 1799] Born_Loc(Bordeaux, France) Rank(80) Keyword(Science, Chemistry, Latent Heat, Education, Professor), Wikidata(Q272512) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_Black https://www.britannica.com/biography/Joseph-Black https://www.lindahall.org/about/news/scientist-of-the-day/joseph-black/

Catherine the Great/Sophie Anhalt-Zerbst - Lifespan[1729 to 1796] Born_Loc(Stettin, Kingdom of Prussia, Holy Roman Empire) Rank(80) Keyword(Politics, Queen, Government), Wikidata(Q36450) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catherine_the_Great https://www.britannica.com/biography/Catherine-the-Great https://www.saint-petersburg.com/royal-family/catherine-the-great/

Joseph Priestley - Lifespan[1733 to 1804] Born_Loc(Birstall, Yorkshire, England) Rank(80) Keyword(Chemistry, Experimentation, Hypothesis, Tolerance, Religion, Education, Learning, Grammer, Unitarianism), Wikidata(Q159636) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph_Priestley https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priestley Riots https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English Dissenters

David Hartley - Lifespan[1705 to 1757] Born_Loc(Halifax, England) Rank(40) Keyword(Philosophy, Mind, Thinking, Experiences, neuro-physiology, psychologists, Associationist , Human Development), Wikidata(Q379902) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David Hartley (philosopher)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Observations on Man https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Observations on Man (6th edition)

James Watt - Lifespan[1736 to 1819] Born_Loc(Greenock, Renfrewshire, Scotland) Rank(30) Keyword(Science, Math, Chemistry, Physics, inventor, mechanical engineer, Steam Engine), Wikidata(Q9041) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/James_Watt https://www.egr.msu.edu/~lira/supp/steam/wattbio.html https://www.britannica.com/biography/James-Watt/Later-years

Luigi Galvani - Lifespan[1737 to 1798] Born_Loc(Bologna, Papal States) Rank(80) Keyword(Science, Physician, Biology, bioelectricity, Philosophy, Animal Electricity), Wikidata(Q1589) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Luigi_Galvani https://en.wiki/Luigi_Galvani https://en.wiki/Luigi_Galvani https://en.wiki/Luigi_Galvani https://en.wiki/Luigi_Galvani <a href="https://en.wiki/Luigi_Galva

Carl Wilhelm Scheele - Lifespan[1742 to 1786] Born_Loc(Stralsund, Swedish Pomerania) Rank(80) Keyword(Science, Chemistry, Oxygen, Pharmacist, Medecine, Experimentation), Wikidata(Q70538) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl Wilhelm Scheele https://www.britannica.com/biography/Carl-Wilhelm-Scheele https://www.beautifulchemistry.net/scheele

Thomas Jefferson - Lifespan[1743 to 1826] Born_Loc(Shadwell Plantation, Goochland (now in Albemarle County) Virginia Colony) Rank(80) Keyword(Politician, Statesman, planter, diplomat, lawyer, architect, philosopher, Librarian, Book Collector), Wikidata(Q11812) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thomas_Jefferson https://www.britannica.com/biography/Thomas-Jefferson https://www.whitehousehistory.org/bios/thomas-jefferson

Antoine-Laurent de Lavoisier - Lifespan[1743 to 1794] Born_Loc(Paris, France) Rank(60) Keyword(Chemistry, Science, Sanitation), Wikidata(Q39607) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antoine_Lavoisier https://www.britannica.com/biography/Antoine-Lavoisier https://www.acs.org/education/whatischemistry/landmarks/lavoisier.html

Jean-Paul Marat - Lifespan[1743 to 1793] Born_Loc(Boudry, Principality of Neuchatel) Rank(80) Keyword(Politics, Physics, Science, Journalism, Revolution), Wikidata(Q122290)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean-Paul_Marat https://www.britannica.com/biography/Jean-Paul-Marat https://www.marxists.org/history/france/revolution/marat/index.htm

Jean-Baptiste Lamarck/Jean-Baptiste Pierre Antoine de Monet, chevalier de Lamarck Lifespan[1744 to 1829] Born_Loc(Bazentin, Picardy, France) Rank(80) Keyword(Naturalist, Biologist,
Academic, Soldier, Medicine, Zoology, Taxonomy), Wikidata(Q82122)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean-Baptiste_Lamarck
https://www.britannica.com/biography/Jean-Baptiste-Lamarck
https://www.lindahall.org/about/news/scientist-of-the-day/jean-baptiste-lamarck/

Johann Gottfried Herder/Johann Gottfried von Herder - Lifespan[1744 to 1803]

Born_Loc(Mohrungen, Kingdom of Prussia) Rank(80) Keyword(philosopher, theologian, pastor, poet, literary critic, Teacher, Linguistics, Folk Music, Humanism), Wikidata(Q155547)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Johann_Gottfried_Herder

https://www.britannica.com/summary/Johann-Gottfried-von-Herder

Alessandro Volta/Alessandro Giuseppe Antonio Anastasio Volta - Lifespan[1745 to 1827]
Born_Loc(Como, Lombardy-Venetia, Austrian Empire) Rank(80) Keyword(Electricty, Battery, Science, Chemistry, Physicist, Experiments), Wikidata(Q680) <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alessandro Voltahttps://www.britannica.com/biography/Alessandro-Voltahttps://

Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi - Lifespan[1746 to 1827] Born_Loc(Zürich, Switzerland) Rank(80) Keyword(Universal Education, Literacy, Law, Reform, Social Contract, Humanism, Helvetic Society) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Johann_Heinrich_Pestalozzi https://www.britannica.com/biography/Johann-Heinrich-Pestalozzi https://jhpestalozzi.org/learnmore/keyideas/

Jacques-Louis David - Lifespan[1748 to 1825] Born_Loc(Paris, Kingdom of France) Rank(80) Keyword(Art, Painting, Politics, Revolution), Wikidata(Q83155) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jacques-Louis_David https://www.britannica.com/biography/Jacques-Louis-David-French-painter

https://www.wikiart.org/en/jacques-louis-david

Edward Jenner - Lifespan[1749 to 1823] Born_Loc(Berkeley, Gloucestershire) Rank(10) Keyword(Medicine, Doctor, Surgeon, Science, Cowpox, Smallpox, Vaccine, Vaccination, Zoology), Wikidata(Q40852) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edward_Jenner
https://www.britannica.com/biography/Edward-Jenner
https://www.jenner.ac.uk/about/edward-jenner

Nicolas Appert - Lifespan[1749 to 1841] Born_Loc(Châlons-sur-Marne, Kingdom of France) Rank(50) Keyword(Confectionary, Food Storage, Bottling, Preserving Food, Canning), Wikidata(Q39637) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nicolas_Appert https://www.britannica.com/biography/Nicolas_Appert https://www.history.com/news/what-it-says-on-the-tin-a-brief-history-of-canned-food

John Wilkes - Lifespan[1725 to 1797] Born_Loc(Clerkenwell, London, Great Britain) Rank(60) Keyword(Politics, Publisher, Critic, Revolutionary, Journalist, Activist, Free Speech Advocate, The North Briton Newspaper), Wikidata(Q333127) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Wilkes

https://www.britannica.com/biography/John-Wilkes https://blog.nationalarchives.gov.uk/the-scandalous-case-of-the-north-briton-number-45/

References

All extracts are public domain and/or for research, fair use, education, not for profit, public good, in good faith, my emphasis for discussion, no malice or injurious intent.

- Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Founder_of_Wikipedia Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0
- 2. Many Universities, government, museum, library and public websites
- 3. Internet Archive Internet Archive Founder, Brewster Kahle https://archive.org/
- 4. **Project Gutenberg** Michael Hart, founder of Project Gutenberg, invented eBooks in 1971 and his memory continues to inspire the creation of eBooks and related content today. https://www.qutenberg.org/ public domain
- 5. Michael Stern Hart (March 8, 1947 September 6, 2011)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael S. Hart by Marcello GDFL 1.2

- 6. https://pantheon.world/ people wiki data extract
- 7. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41597-022-01369-4 A cross-verified database of notable people, 3500BC-2018AD
- 8. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Galeote_Pereira
- 9. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zhu Wan
- 10. <a href="https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-asian-studies/article/abs/south-china-in-the-sixteenth-century-being-the-narratives-of-galeote-pereira-fr-gaspar-da-cruz-o-p-and-fr-martin-de-rada-0-e-s-a-ed-c-r-boxer-hakluyt-society-publications-2nd-ser-no-cvi-london-hakluyt-society-1953-xci-388-illustrations-sketch-maps-appendices-glossary-bibliography-index/EF8C544F399EB3B5C597D08A980E4C87
- 11. https://www.hetwebsite.net/het/essays/uncert/bernoulhyp.htm expected utility paradox
- 12. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carlo Goldoni
- 13. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nicolas-Louis de Lacaille
- 14. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christoph Willibald Gluck
- 15. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Witch of Agnesi
- 16. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles Messier
- 17. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joseph Haydn
- 18. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English Dissenters
- 19. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henry Nicholis As a boy he was subject to visions, and at the age of twenty-seven charges of heresy led to his imprisonment. About 1530 he moved with his family to Amsterdam, where he was imprisoned on a charge of complicity in the Münster Rebellion of 1534-1535.[2] About 1539 he experienced a call to found his "Family of Love". In 1540 he moved to Emden, where he prospered in business for twenty years, though he traveled to the Netherlands, England and elsewhere with commercial and missionary objectives. The date of his sojourn in England has been placed as early as 1552 and as late as

- 1569.[2] His activities in England contributed to the Puritan controversies that formed the backdrop of Queen Elizabeth I's reign.
- 20. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maria Theresa
- 21. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles-Augustin de Coulomb
- 22. https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14008541/Anthony-Albanese-Qantas-Nathan-lounge.html
- 23. https://quadrant.org.au/magazine/defence/fatal-distraction-unready-for-war/
- 24. https://www.crikey.com.au/2024/10/24/gravy-train-post-parliament-careers-advisers-consultants/
- 25. https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/16247/pg16247-images.html FAMOUS STORIES Every Child Should Know EDITED BY Hamilton Wright Mabie
- 26. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frame_of_Government_of_Pennsylvania William Penn, an English Quaker, sought to construct a new type of community with religious toleration and a great deal of political freedom. It is believed that Penn's political philosophy is embodied in the West Jersey Concessions and Agreements of 1677, which is an earlier practical experience of government constitution prior to the establishment of Pennsylvania. Although his authorship of the Concession is questioned, it is believed that he gave his full consent to it as the trustee of that colony. In the concession, all legislative power was granted to an assembly selected by the "inhabitants, freeholders and proprietors" of the colony. A commission was to be appointed by the assembly as its executive. The government structure in West Jersey demonstrated the enlightened constitutional theory that Penn had been promoting. Also under the influence of republican political theory and humanism, the first version of the Frame of Government of Pennsylvania (now known as the Frame of 1682) incorporated a few pioneering ideas, which were later developed into important elements of modern constitutionalism.
- 27. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/17th_century/pa06.asp Frame of Government of Pennsylvania 1696 The Frame of Government of the Province of Pennsylvania, and the territories thereunto belonging; passed by Governor Markham, November 1, 1696
- 28. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/pa07.asp Charter of Privileges Granted by William Penn, esq. to the Inhabitants of Pennsylvania and Territories, October 28, 1701 "I do hereby grant and declare, That no Person or Persons, inhabiting in this Province or Territories, who shall confess and acknowledge One almighty God, the Creator, Upholder and Ruler of the World; and profess him or themselves obliged to live quietly under the Civil Government, shall be in any Case molested or prejudiced, in his or their Person or Estate, because of his or their conscientious Persuasion or Practice, nor be compelled to frequent or maintain any religious Worship, Place or Ministry, contrary to his or their Mind, or to do or super any other Act or Thing, contrary to their religious Persuasion."
- 29. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/default.asp Yale Law School the Avalon Project will mount digital documents relevant to the fields of Law, History, Economics, Politics, Diplomacy and Government. We do not intend to mount only static text but rather to add value to the text by linking to supporting documents expressly referred to in the body of the text.
- 30. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frederick I of Prussia becoming the **first King in Prussia** (1701–1713). From 1707 he was in personal union the sovereign prince of the Principality of Neuchâtel.
- 31. https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/9929/pg9929-images.html

- 32. https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/30186/pg30186-images.html
- 33. https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14013551/PETER-VAN-ONSELEN-Anthony-Albanese-sack-now.html
- 34. https://humanrights.gov.au/our-work/rights-and-freedoms/freedom-information-opinion-and-expression
- 35. https://www.ag.gov.au/rights-and-protections/human-rights-and-anti-discrimination/human-rights-scrutiny/public-sector-guidance-sheets/right-freedom-opinion-and-expression
- 36. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Giuseppe Piazzi
- 37. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antonio_Salieri
- 38. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/James Madison
- 39. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samuel Hahnemann
- 40. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00947679.2017.12059164 Conforti, M. (2017). John Wilkes, the Wilkite Movement and a Free Press in America. Journalism History, 43(1), 32–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/00947679.2017.12059164
- 41. https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/arts/history/students/modules/archive/hi254/timetable/radical/
- **42.** https://www.news.com.au/national/politics/senior-nacc-leadership-all-have-been-gifted-gantas-chairmans-lounge-access/news-story/a1e3aee5ef632f298981ed9c25272081
- 43. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muggletonianism
- 44. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Savoy_Conference
- 45. https://citynews.com.au/2024/labor-coalition-ensnared-in-flight-upgrade-furore/
- **46.** <u>https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14018435/Joe-Aston-Anthony-Albanese-gantas.html</u>
- **47.** <u>https://www.news.com.au/travel/ask-albo-staggering-labor-admission/news-story/e16449bb0510b0fa2afad60cba2ca605</u>
- 48. https://quadrant.org.au/news-opinions/qed/being-albo-its-just-the-ticket/