

Creeping Tyrannical Totalitarianism - The Destruction of the Individual

Author: Jonathan Pearson

Version: 0

Date Started: 03/11/2022

Date First Version: 6/12/2022

Date Updated: 6/12/2022

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Preamble	2
People Forget - The Journey West - the Philosophy of Searching For Wisdom	7
Framework	8
Population	9
Questions	9
Initial Conditions	9
Self reference	9
Introduction	9
Mary Wollstonecraft	10
The Passive Aggressive Binary Switch Technique	12
Complacency - Self Hypnosis - Dream State - Zombie Brain - Delusion - Psychopathy - I Share My Dreams	22
Privacy	24
Vigilante Scumbags and the Media	25
Digital Identity	25
State Controlled Corruption	26
Austrac - Data Matching	29
Data Matching Technology	34
Statute of Limitations	34
Legislating For Simpletons	35
The Mass Delusion of Certain Good	38
Public Virtue Signaling - The Messiah Complex	43
Binary Switch Mob Brain - Destroying the Individual From Within	44
Forgiveness and Gratitude - the Human Condition	48
Why do you think it is a Good idea?	48
Socrates - The Art of the Question	49
Sophistry	50

A New Archetype	57
Recent Investigations.....	57
Recent People	57
References	61

Preamble

'Remember your humanity, and forget the rest.' - Author(**Bertrand Russell**) Year[1955]

Source_Document(Russell–Einstein Manifesto) Keyword(Humanism Choice Individual)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russell%E2%80%93Einstein_Manifesto

<https://pugwash.org/1955/07/09/statement-manifesto/>

How can you remember humanity when you don't know what it is?

Sophia - Wisdom, has disappeared as an idea - many people are now **sophists** (as a derogatory expression and ironic joke) - those who pretend to be wise - a performance - when all they are doing is bullying and attacking those they disagree with or who threaten their power. Wisdom requires discussion and debate - not attack and mob rule.

You see the ABC, media, princess celebrity victims and abusers who represent "Australian of the Year" how they abuse, sneer, attack, demean individuals around them?

You have seen Penny Wong, Chris Bowen, Tanya Plibersek and all the Greens/Labour feminists and weak men grunt (grunt like a mob of pigs as the food is delivered to devour - snouts in the trough), chortle, lie, steal, abuse, corrupt, attack and destroy everything and everyone individual they choose in their smug mob, tribal, divisive hate filled way?

Rampant Cronyism, rewards and revenge payback delivered in a measured, manipulative, divisive and abusive ways - childish corrupt games by resentment and hate filled tribal elites - tyrants, bullies and smug scumbags - lying, poncing, prancing and preening - glorifying in the very public and un-edifying corruption of everything to do with government, society and humanity.

Resentment and corruption filled cronies like Julia Gillard - reforming education to teach women and blacks how to be more tribal and **entitled victims** and demonize, attack and **destroy men and boys**

for daring to be individuals who refuse to be grouped as "white men" or "racists" or "colonizers". Stroking and replacing individuals with **sentient pig-ignorant male eunuch pets** to the Tribal Cause like Andrew Leigh, Andrew Barr and the weak minded others in media, courts, politics and the public service

You bastards and human scum who destroy everything you touch in your pig-ignorant tribal smug idiotological way.

You have seen the Lying Cows in courts, media, human rights, public service and universities - the pathological liars - the sadistic psychopaths playing the victim/hero so they can attack and abuse people?

All the animals in George Orwell's animal farm? Pigs on two legs, Clucking chickens (Chris Bowen in full flight) - you would not let the farmyard animals into your house would you? They would destroy and ruin everything wouldn't they?

You would not let a lying Cow into your house would you? You would leave them out in the field chewing on their cud of resentment belching forth their toxic noisy gases across the fields for those other dim-wits to join in the "conversation" of pathological lying cows. Fields full of lying cows being serviced by their heroic eunuch Bulls - everyone wallowing in bullshit.

It is a mistake to look into the eyes of a cow and expect to find humanity - two eyed creatures have been around a lot longer than humanity has. Wolves have two eyes.

Once the electricity stops and the energy runs out and the machinery stops and transport fails - you will see a rapid breakdown of humanity far exceeding anything happening in outback aboriginal towns and the behavior of the Greens/Labour types in the Australian Parliament.

Consistent with human history.

State mandated farm practices in the Netherlands, Sri Lanka, Germany and the rest of the world will have the same success as the Soviet farming mandates in the Ukraine (1932 - 1933) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holodomor> **but because we know about history - those who mandate this can be tried for GENOCIDE.**

But who will remember?

The destruction of the individual. **"No one is safe unless we are all safe"** - these are the mottos of Mobs and Tyrants the binary types - the tribal ones. They lack nuance. **"The Mob United, Will never be defeated"**, **"We are the victims here - kill all perpetrators"**, **"You must follow the mandates"**

Widespread narcissism and **passive aggressive attacks** from pig-ignorant smuglifunts like Andrew Barr, Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Dan Andrews and all the major politicians who are determinedly pig-ignorant and abusive of anyone who dares to question. Like all the abusers in the Australian Senate who abused Bettina Arndt for daring to repeat a question. Like Magistrate Cook (and the rest of the feminists) did to me in the ACT Magistrates Court. Like Andrew Leigh did to me in public when I questioned him.

"Don't you know who I am" says the deluded narcissistic tyrant - all public servants - all paid by me and the rest of the public - "Take the Knee, Boy" - how dare you question! **Passive aggressive binary positioning games** - Dan Andrews, etc - like Penny Wong **"So you're the victim here?"** **"How dare you"** says the 15 year old girls who know how to manage the planet - the "Child Messiah". **"You did nothing so, now it is my turn to fix your problems"** says the Feminist Green Labour dimwit.

Widespread ignorance and tribalism is awarded with Australia Day awards delivered by Smug idiots (Politicians) and tribal haters of humanity - "look at me - I am Black" "Gay Black", "Disabled Jewish Gay Black" - **"I'm a victim"** their greatest achievement in life while hard working humans toiling on farms, working in small business, doing their best to help the community survive look on horror and dismay. "Take the Knee everyone to my wonderfulness" says Andrew Barr **"I was never more proud than when I forced my Gayness on everyone else by dogmatically mandating Gay Marriage to**

celebrate in an "I am very specially diverse" kind of way" - "Look at me - I am Gay" (and therefore Diverse and Special and a HERO)

The Gay Tribes, the Female Tribes, the Black Tribes, the Zealot tribes attacking anyone who questions their special tribalness.

All political parties are fully tribal now. Binary pig-ignorance dominates. *"Save Women", "Save Blacks", "Free houses for women and blacks". "Women use your super to buy houses", The Office of Women, the Constitution for Blacks, etc.* This is replayed by the media full of man hating feminists and black activists (the permanent victim narrative of the drama triangle (binary)) - lead by the fully corrupt ABC and the Guardian and parroted (Cheep, Cheep, Oink, Oink) daily by feminists, women (on average - quotas, targets) and weak minded "heroic" (*"I promise not to hurt women - take the pledge, boy"*) men.

The grand delusions - goodness, intelligence, being "right", being "popular", being "loved" by the people, justice, etc. The smug tyrant is fully deluded and has little connection with any reality - hence the psychopathic, deluded, narcissistic, tyrant becomes normalized - supported by greedy weak minded sycophants (legal scumbags, etc) as they bully, abuse and attack anyone who threatens their delusions in a "Kill the Volcano", "Kill all Evil" (not me of course) , "Kill uncertainty", "Kill Chaos", "Kill all Tribes" (not us of course), "Kill all men", etc kind of way.

Rampant widespread obvious bias and corruption supported by violent abusive mobs who double down on their abuse and attacks - supported by smug, highly paid, corrupt lawyers/legal types and other corrupters in society (police, courts, "experts", etc) - grafting and grifting from human misery and the corruption of the elites while attacking, abusing, jailing (Witness X), smashing heads, shooting and demonizing individuals in courts, media - anyone who threatens to interrupt the gravy train of corruption.

No-one will try to get rid of the corruption - to remove the heads and try to rid the places of corruption. Heads are rolling but it is the heads of the population - individuals in the community - suicides, jailed in secret, distrust, tribalism, mobthink and anger spilling over into the streets. Drug taking legalized and supported by politicians in America, Canberra. Lying, Pretending, Avoiding reality for delusions, Stealing, Shitting, Fucking, Mob attacks rampant in the streets across *progressive* San Francisco California USA - soon to be here in Canberra under the narcissist Andrew Barr. Rampant corruption at all levels - courts, police, public prosecutors, universities, media, politicians, government and business. Not *Fake news* - **wholly fake lives** of deluded "good" doers - binary tribal zealots dividing and destroying everything in the name of "virtue".

Power corrupts and tyranny grows but the tyrant hangs on to power too long - all their lives - all their descendents lives - a permanent tyranny - no debate, no discussion, no educated exploration in a cooperative way - only binary certainty, power and abuse.

As I witness this continued breakdown - like many others in the past - I notice the completely determined pig-ignorance of the corrupt and the lack of courage by those who maintain their power to reform, remove and deal with the rampant corruption they find themselves beneficiaries of. Not only women (on average - quotas, victims, etc) and uneducated dim-wits but also weak minded men

playing “White Knight” in a binary certain drama triangle. Petty tyrants and corrupt sycophants everywhere working their way up to the big time.

The rule of law becomes the rule of iron fist. Everyone becomes a policeman for the state. *For anyone to be safe we must all be “safe”.* “They used the wrong words the wrong pronouns - he used hate speech - Kill all Wrong-speakers!”. Everyone Rush to outrage!

Welcome to my (tribal) country. I am “Big Brother” and **I watch everyone** - my customers, my parents, my neighbors, my work colleagues, anyone in society, my teachers, *Hunt for the Wilderpeople* (“he is a molester - he molested me” - declares the upset child), I was “offended” (18c) - **anyone who might be a perpetrator** so I can report them to the Mob, ABC, Melbourne police make daily requests for more psychopathic liars to come forward to the police - to make allegations, encourage the witch trials and gleefully see some burning at the stake - with the other binary tribal hated filled crowds - the devil, united, will never be defeated.

When most people **understand the law** and the general rules - **without needing to pay a lawyer** - they can operate their business and lives without fear of being hounded by Austrac, Office of Women, Police, Courts, Discrimination Commissioners, Health departments and “inspectors”, the Voice, the ABC and other weapons of an authoritarian and Totalitarian regime. Citizens **learn how to cooperate** in a generally law abiding way without having the government **tell them every step along the journey of life** what is binary certain “Good” and what is binary certain “Bad”. So instead of the ABC becoming a propaganda machine for the Virtue signaling drama queens and other psychopaths - the town hall becomes the place of discussion and debate. Ideas are presented and discussed. Different ideas and respectful **debates are encouraged** at schools and universities - not rampant tribalism and mob attacks based on delusions of “virtue” and “evil”. The **permanent victim narrative is discouraged and replaced with opportunities to learn and be educated** about all parts of life - to escape permanent hate-filled resentment and discover many other things in life. Take a walk with Zarathustra.

So instead of the law and all of its structures acting as a constant repressive deterrent and an ever present omnipotent and un-yeilding **guilty until proven innocent** kind of “Big Brother” messaging and attacking you all the time - we could have **less regressive** regimes of enlightenment and trust. Where trust and respect in others is naturally encouraged by the **individual interactions** we see around us. The **Joy of exploring life itself**.

Trust is rewarded and recognized at all levels of society. Distrust is discouraged. Discussion, exploration and debate is encouraged. Is not not not that a Good Idea?

Individuals are dealt with as need be by the various justice systems. **This climate of fear and law and repression** - especially when the women (on average - drama queens, entitled victims, binary, quotas, etc), hysterical anxious types and other **binary zealot types get into power** - encourages the drama triangle of perpetrator, hero and victim as a constant over-arching frame of interaction. For example courts who find men guilty without trial (as they did to me in ACT Magistrates court based on woman’s lies “he killed me 4 times and kept me hostage for 6 years”) and the ABC does every day in encouraging tribalism, lies, personal attack and propaganda. Blatant vigilante justice systems in parliament when the Senate - lead by the cat-calls of Penny Wong and other Greens/Labour senators attacked Bettina Arndt and called out the mob on her for daring to question anything. This


tyrannical heckling, abusing, sneering, smarmy snarking, jeering, chortling, preening, puffing-up, pimping, strutting, etc I call smuglifuntism. A deluded belief that they are “better” (morally superior) than those they attack hence any amount of violence and abuse is justified. I see this in the clubs I visit when I ask questions about privacy or the club’s constitution or the rules of the club and most of the time I get the ignorant and sometimes I get the full-on tyrant. I get this too from the politicians and the ACT Government - Andrew Barr, Andrews Leigh - all the Canberra court Magistrates - especially the women but also the dim-wit “heroic” men who are ready to pounce on anyone who dares to question. I see this too in all the ABC staff, board and management.

Trust is gone - politicians lie and are fully self-centered and corrupt. The legal systems and courts are corrupt and dominated by man-hating feminists, virtue signalers and other tribal binary zealot types, the media panders to intellectually dim-witted and hate filled tribes. Schools are controlled by feminists and tribal types. Tyranny is encouraged and rewarded with promotion and those who dare to question are cancelled, ridiculed, locked-up without charge or trial, ignored, shot with bullets, have their heads smashed into the road, locked up secretly in jails, held down and stomped on by the mob (we now see girls doing this because there are no consequences for females for anything) sprayed with poisons and gas, injected with vaccines and drugs against their will, have their bank accounts frozen, have money stolen from them, have their freedoms to walk down the street removed, denied the right to speak publicly on public platforms, have their requests for bank loans declined, are ignored by those who are supposed to help them.

The culture of personal attack and abuse based on the entitled victim narrative is strong in Australia - Welcome to country. Always was - always will be - fully tribal, The oldest “civilization”

The cabal - the oligarchy of corruption and abuse - the tyrants and their greedy mindless abusive sycophants, grafters, grafters (legal scum, feminists, grievance warriors, ambulance chasers, blacks, etc) double down on their tyranny. Feminists and weak minded-men remove the presumption of innocence - Andrew Barr removed it in ACT Law - Personal violence and Domestic Violence and then he and all the court staff double down on their abuse and demonize those who dare to question. Family law and Child Support law - all removed - all due legal processes removed and replaced with female/victim narratives and “kill all men” type groupthink. Women are now saying and cheering on - not only “**Believe all women**” but “**Having to answer questions about my insane allegations is Too upsetting for me - it will drive me mad - How dare you - don’t you have any sympathy for the designated victim??**”. We have entrenched tribalism, violence and abuse from entitled female/black victims who attack and abuse anyone who dares to question. Cheered on by tribal hate filled mobs of feminists, women(on average quotas, wages, victim) and weak minded vigilante hero type drama queen men and legal scum grafters and grafters and others dirt-bags and animals on the farm.

The **Group Telos** is under constant attack and is crumbling.



Now they have destroyed everything which makes up the Human group Telos - the only idea left is **“Save The Planet”** because it is **too late** to **“Save Humanity”**

Tyrants never remove themselves from power. The most greedy and corrupt in society seem to like a Tyrant in control of things and help prop them up. The Oligarchy - the police State - fascism, totalitarianism, etc. The Blue and Green tribes in the time of the 12 Roman Emperors made a killing.

We have seen this in many places and times in history. Just stop it. Stop it now.

Young Russian men are being conscripted to invade Ukraine and fight in war they neither believe in nor are trained for. This is done on the whim of a tyrannical dictator who orders the state to shoot deserters and kill anyone who dares to try to escape fighting his war declared against Ukraine. These young Russian men no longer exist as an individual - purely a weapon of the state - cannon fodder. So when fuckwits like Malcolm Turnbull and Hillary Clinton declare that *“women are the greatest victims of war”* what they doing is repeating the feminist entitled victim narrative of *“women are the biggest victims of everything”* **daily tribal propaganda** regardless of any reality of facts or truth (average life expectancy for example, disability, etc).

Tyrannies, power, wealth - all violently collected by corrupt dictatorial psychopaths surrounded by their cronies and henchmen. Heads were removed with swords - whole family dynasties were destroyed internally - Khans, etc. The biggest threat to your power was your sister or brother who wanted it all for themselves - secret caves of Gold in Samarkand, Genoese banks, Disgruntled Prince and Princess *“victims”*, etc - until finally the population had had enough.

“For who the head rolls?”

People Forget - The Journey West - the Philosophy of Searching For Wisdom

Old Egyptian rock carvings say **“People forget”** (-3000 BCE). Messages of rocks (-10000 BCE) showing pictures of things - so people can be reminded of things. The **Journey West** (400 ACE) by the many Chinese Buddhists - not looking for trade - but **looking for wisdom** - and **dying on the road**. The Greeks had their philosophers (-800 BCE) but the Vedas around northern Pakistan, Afghanistan (-3000 BCE) morphed into many ideas Brahman, Bon, Janism, Hinduism, Zoaroastianism, Buddhism). The Chinese had ideas Confucius, Tao (-500 BCE).

The **idea of wisdom** had been held in esteem for some time in many places. The pig-ignorant Greeks tyrants who sentenced Socrates to death for asking questions and **teaching the youth to ask**

questions - had no idea of wisdom. The pig-ignorant tyrant bully boy soldier who killed Archimedes as he was working on a problem - had no idea of wisdom - he just wanted to assert himself- his authority - his ability to kill people - like any “good” barbarian and tribal **binary certain** type we see around us now.

Between the East and the West is a place called the middle east - it may also be called the middle west - in the middle between the extremes. From Persia, India, The “sub-continent”, Nepal to the hills in what is now called southern China and Northern parts of the silk road. It was in the middle that the **people**, tribes, traders and **ideas raged back and forth for thousands of years** - the **constant movement** of people as groups and individuals on journeys. People who wanted to settle down and people who wanted to raid and steal as a mob.

People started trying to answer the question - why?

This **ideas of the middle** spread widely.

The **idea of searching for wisdom** was valued. This ideas has spread widely but very commonly amongst Buddhists. Many other religions teach the idea of dogma of **belief in dogma** rather than the idea of the **belief in searching for wisdom**.

The book the **Journey to the West** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Journey_to_the_West and the story of the Chinese Buddhist Xuanzang/Chen Hui/Chen Yi/Hiuen Tsang/Hiuen TsiangYuan Chwang/**Yuan Chuang** - Lifespan[602 to 664] Born_Loc(Chenliu/Kaifeng municipality, Henan) Rank(80) Keyword(Explorer, Religion, Monk, Translator, Chinese) **searching for wisdom** is a popular motif in China. **Yuan Chuang** seemed to have a didactic memory, educated, was a physically impressive human and robust enough to survive long journeys on horseback and foot through some of the toughest areas on the planet. This was not a “*stroll in the park*”. **Not everyone** would have the **constitution strong enough** to take on this journey - but the people he met along the way understood, valued and appreciated those who did. Hundreds of named Chinese journeymen died on the road to wisdom - many more unnamed journeymen died on that journey and **because of the journey**.

His **knowledge was tested** everywhere he went - the wisest in the community would come and meet to talk and discuss ideas and the written words. Wisdom was recognized - as was the need to keep learning - because there was an idea of “higher” knowledge. This idea of a “higher learning” was an idea of the middle (not the east or the west) but seemed to be embraced strongly by “the east”.

His **ability to discuss and debate was tested** everywhere he went. The **idea of discussion and debate was valued** - especially as the **new visitor** to the area may have ideas and stories to help you and your tribe on your search for wisdom and the new visitor was also keen to learn from you and observe your world - a **shared learning** experience. Much of this was based on **learning how to question things**.

Framework

Humans, Development

Population

Humans, Groups, Individuals

Questions

1. What is an individual?
2. What is privacy?

Initial Conditions

Group, Individual

Self reference

Individual, Group

Introduction

The Gay Science (Rank:20) :Author(**Friedrich Wilhelm Nietzsche**) :Year[1882] Age(38)
:Keyword(Group Development Philosophy) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Gay_Science
<https://archive.org/details/completenietasch10nietuoft>
<https://theanarchistlibrary.org/library/friedrich-nietzsche-the-gay-science>

Perhaps more than one preface would be necessary for this book; and after all it might still be doubtful whether any one could be brought nearer to the experiences in it by means of prefaces, without having himself experienced something similar. It seems to be written in the language of the thawing-wind: there is wantonness, restlessness, contradiction and April-weather in it; so that one is as constantly reminded of the proximity of winter as of the victory over it: the victory which is coming, which must come, which has perhaps already come.... Gratitude continually flows forth, as if the most unexpected thing had happened, the gratitude of a convalescent—for convalescence was this most unexpected thing. "Joyful Wisdom": that implies the Saturnalia of a spirit which has patiently withstood a long, frightful pressure—patiently, strenuously, impassionately, without submitting, but without hope—and which is now suddenly o'erpowered with hope, the hope of health, the intoxication of convalescence. What wonder that much that is unreasonable and foolish thereby comes to light: much wanton tenderness expended even on problems which have a prickly hide, and are not therefore fit to be fondled and allured. The whole book is really nothing but a revel after long privation and impotence: the frolicking of returning energy, of newly awakened belief in a to-morrow and after-to-morrow; of sudden sentience and prescience of a future, of near adventures, of seas open once more, and aims once more permitted and believed in. And what was now all behind me! This track of desert, exhaustion, unbelief, and frigidity in the midst of youth, this advent of grey hairs at the wrong time, this tyranny of pain, surpassed, however, by the tyranny of pride which repudiated the consequences of pain—and consequences are comforts,—this radical isolation, as defence against the contempt of mankind become morbidly clairvoyant, this restriction upon principle to all that is bitter, sharp, and painful in knowledge, as prescribed by the disgust which had gradually resulted from imprudent spiritual diet and pampering—it is called Romanticism,—oh, who could realise all those feelings of mine! He, however, who could do so would certainly forgive me everything, and more than a little folly, boisterousness and "Joyful Wisdom"—for example, the handful of songs which are given along with the book on this occasion,—songs in which a poet makes merry over all poets in a way not easily pardoned.—Alas, it is not only on the poets and their fine

"lyrical sentiments" that this reconvalescent must vent his malignity: who knows what kind of victim he seeks, what kind of monster of material for parody will allure him ere long? Incipit tragœdia, it is said at the conclusion of this seriously frivolous book; let people be on their guard! Something or other extraordinarily bad and wicked announces itself: incipit parodia, there is no doubt....

Mary Wollstonecraft

I had briefly examined Mary some years ago but dismissed her because the feminists had claimed her as a feminist. A bit like how some others have demonized individuals as "Nazis". It is difficult to categorize people after their life - to dismiss them and define them using our conceptual frame and words from our time. It is better to read their work and see what you can [learn for yourself](#) from it.

<https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/64275/pg64275-images.html> *"..Jane Fretful was an only child. Her fond, weak mother would not allow her to be contradicted on any occasion. The child had some tenderness of heart; but so accustomed was she to see every thing give way to her humour, that she imagined the world was only made for her. If any of her playfellows had toys, that struck her capricious, sickly fancy, she would cry for them; and substitutes were in vain offered to quiet her, she must have the identical ones, or fly into the most violent passion. When she was an infant, if she fell down, her nurse made her beat the floor. She continued the practice afterwards, and when she was angry would kick the chairs and tables, or any senseless piece of furniture, if they came in her way. I have seen her throw her cap into the fire, because some of her acquaintance had a prettier."*

<https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/134/pg134-images.html> *"I shudder with horror, when I recollect the treatment I had now to endure. Not only under the lash of my **task-mistress**, but the drudge of the maid, apprentices and children, I never had a taste of human kindness to soften the rigour of perpetual labour. I had been introduced as an object of abhorrence into the family; as a creature of whom my step-mother, though she had been kind enough to let me live in the house with her own child, could make nothing. I was described as a wretch, whose nose must be kept to the grinding stone—and it was held there with an iron grasp. It seemed indeed the privilege of their superior nature to kick me about, like the dog or cat. If I were attentive, I was called fawning, if refractory, an obstinate mule, and like a mule I received their censure on my loaded back. Often has my mistress, for some instance of forgetfulness, thrown me from one side of the kitchen to the other, knocked my head against the wall, spit in my face, with various refinements on barbarity that I forbear to enumerate, though they were all acted over again by the servant, with additional insults, to which the appellation of bastard, was commonly added, with taunts or sneers. But I will not attempt to give you an adequate idea of my situation, lest you, who probably have never been **drenched with the dregs of human misery**, should think I exaggerate."*

<https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/36507/pg36507-images.html> *"These conversations and tales are accommodated to the **present state of society**; which obliges the author to **attempt to cure those faults by reason**, which might never to have taken root in the infant mind. Good habits, imperceptibly fixed, are far preferable to the precepts of reason; but, as this task requires more judgment than generally falls to the lot of parents, substitutes must be sought for, and medicines given, when regimen would have answered the purpose much better. I believe those who examine their own minds, will readily agree with me, that reason, with difficulty, conquers settled habits, even*

when it is arrived at some degree of maturity: why then do we suffer children to be bound with fetters, which their half-formed faculties cannot break.

In writing the following work, I aim at perspicuity and simplicity of style; and try to avoid those unmeaning compliments, which slip from the tongue, but have not the least connexion with the affections that should warm the heart, and animate the conduct. By this **false politeness, sincerity is sacrificed, and truth violated**; and thus artificial manners are necessarily taught. For true politeness is a polish, not a varnish; and should rather be acquired by observation than admonition. And we may remark, by way of illustration, that men do not attempt to polish precious stones, till age and air have given them that degree of solidity, which will enable them to bear the necessary friction, without destroying the main substance."

<https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/3420/pg3420-images.html>

"It is a melancholy truth; yet such is the blessed effects of civilization! the most respectable women are the most oppressed; and, unless they have understandings far superiour to the common run of understandings, taking in both sexes, they must, from being treated like contemptible beings, become contemptible. How many women thus waste life away, the **prey of discontent**, who might have practised as physicians, regulated a farm, managed a shop, and stood erect, supported by their own industry, instead of hanging their heads surcharged with the dew of sensibility, that consumes the beauty to which it at first gave lustre; nay, I doubt whether pity and love are so near a-kin as poets feign, for I have **seldom seen much compassion excited by the helplessness of females, unless they were fair**; then, perhaps, pity was the soft handmaid of love, or the harbinger of lust.

How much more respectable is the woman who earns her own bread by fulfilling any duty, than the most accomplished beauty! beauty did I say? so sensible am I of the beauty of moral loveliness, or the harmonious propriety that attunes the passions of a well-regulated mind, that I blush at making the comparison; **yet I sigh to think how few women aim at attaining this respectability, by withdrawing from the giddy whirl of pleasure, or the indolent calm that stupifies the good sort of women it sucks in.**

Proud of their weakness, however, they must always be protected, guarded from care, and all the rough toils that dignify the mind. If this be the fiat of fate, if they will make themselves insignificant and contemptible, sweetly to waste "life away," let them not expect to be valued when their beauty fades, for it is the fate of the fairest flowers to be admired and pulled to pieces by the careless hand that plucked them. In how many ways do I wish, from the purest benevolence, to impress this truth on my sex; yet **I fear that they will not listen to a truth, that dear-bought experience has brought home to many an agitated bosom, nor willingly resign the privileges of rank and sex for the privileges of humanity, to which those have no claim who do not discharge its duties.**"

Mary is not a feminist railing at men and claiming to be the victim of men - she is **examining humanity** and sees much wrong with the women around her (resentment - *discontent*) as well as the men of manners, morals and virtues failing to treat women equally (virtue signalers) - and women not wanting to take on the responsibility of learning and humanity (passive aggressive victim narrative) - the role play and performances of binary groupthink. As a human who had seen the reality of life and was involved in education - show did her best to explain, **by reason** - what was happening around her. None of her ideas were mandates, quotas or measured equality - only

opportunity by education, voting and the normal day to day interactions between people. The Feminist binary zealots call Mary a feminist because they are too stupid to understand humanity like most binary zealots, communists, fascist, religious zealots, women (on average - quotas, mandates, victim narratives, wages, etc) and weak-minded men (heroes - virtue signalers).

The Passive Aggressive Binary Switch Technique


I have tried to explain this technique of the hate filled binary mobs many times. The **games of the psychopath and manipulator (Bad Actor and Binary Extremists)** - playing with you and your emotions - pressing your buttons. Women (on average - quotas, targets, victim narratives), Children and weak minded men get sucked into this technique.

The meta models for this are well understood.

Good/Bad and the Drama Triangle **Hero/Victim/Perpetrator**.

See **09 Humanism – Bad and Good, Version 1, date 02/04/2019** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/09-Humanism-Bad-and-Good.pdf> and

What Am I Missing to 1300 Continued <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/What-Am-I-Missing-to-1300-continued.pdf>



Questions arise in life and while we know that Death is “Bad”, everything else (infinities) is avoidance of death rather more correctly described as “**Not Certain Bad**”. Inside the box are all the individuals who might have different ideas and answers to “My Opinion”.


That is the first binary switch technique - to swap **NOT** of **Certain Bad** (Death) with a new concept we invented called “The answer” - which starts out as only “Good” but then becomes “**Certain Good**” (in a god-like way) in the same way that Death is Certain Bad. **Binary certainty Bad/Good**.

This is done to **avoid the infinity of things** which are not “**Certain bad**” - the **ingrained habit** avoiding infinity and not knowing where your infinities are until it becomes an unnoticed binary habit. (*The way we do things around here*)

The first major **delusions** are that there is such a thing as **certainty** and there is such a thing as **Certain Good**. No case for absolute certainty can be proved - certain truth - certain - wrong, etc. This


leads to a collapse in some human minds - to nihilism. Hence the idea of Hypothesize and Belief/Faith. I hypothesize there is some certainty. I **believe** some things are certain. **It is not a fact that some things are certain. It is a fact that some people believe in certain things. (me now)**

My opinion then becomes **grouped with others** in a mob like popularity way and alternatives are removed - there is now only Certain Good, My Opinion (the Mob - The Tribe) and the Other individuals. This is called **Popularism** - if your Opinion is declared "Popular" then mobs form around that opinion as a "Certain Good" idea. This is based around the idea of "A little bit of knowledge and learning can be dangerous" - you might think you know something and rather than testing it with the scientific method - you test it with how popular it is - how many people think like you do.



Pythagoras was popular and developed a cult around himself and pretended to know things, a **Popular cult**, pontificating on everything, fooling people with magic tricks, etc - until he and his followers were hounded out by people sick of his lies and pretense. Many people claim this Popularism technique - even scientists say they are "Popular" - everyone agrees with me and my opinions therefore my Mob/Tribe are "Right" and "Good". So rather than say "I have an idea , it seems to work, I may be wrong" or test your hypothesis for falsifiability you **declare it as certain** "Good". See **Karl Popper** - Lifespan[1902 to 1994] Rank(10) Keyword(Philosophy Maths Science) <https://fs.blog/2016/01/karl-popper-on-science-pseudoscience/> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Falsifiability> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karl_Popper

The other part of this is the Corruption model - where the individual has overcome some of the corruptions (Ignorance, Fear, capability) but then fails at **hypocrisy** - which is a threat to their sunk cost investment in "their opinion" and then comes the adoption of a popular mob like good/bad model of **Virtue Signaling to the Mob** to be **popular**. This later morphs into total **Self interest** (extreme narcissist) and **Bad Acting**.



These techniques of grouping your opinions with others is part of the **grouping things** of same/different and is the basis and habitual binary sorting technique for form hierarchical schemas - the binary tree of thinking. In "Topics" Aristotle call grouping things *Genus* or *Classification* - we make links with *property* or *attribute* (e.g. **white** tree) and the *definition* is the thing defined (**recursive** - which he fails to understand). Aristotle fails to see or highlight the link between *Universal* and *Particular* (as a continuum rather than binary) - which in my models is the plurality curve between **group** and **individual** - **although he mentions plurality several times**. Aristotle also makes a distinction between *properties/attributes* and *accident*. This is a continuum of **relevance** - where he also fails to grasp the continuum - .i.e. they are two extremes of a binary comparison *attributes/accident*. *The Apple was upside down, red, liked, smelly, cut in pieces, etc*. Different

properties are more **accidental** than **essential** in its *definition*. In the middle somewhere like a plurality curve. For example the **amount of light emitted** by an apple is conceptually relevant to an apple - but makes very little difference to its definition - yet for a star - it is an **essential attribute** rather than an **accidental attribute**.

I imagine Aristotle would have observed the sophists lecturing about things and describing things associated with many irrelevancies - in a humorous, provocative or even ignorant way. *The black coal was sweet to the taste and invoked a wild imagination in me*. Whereas *black coal burns and produces heat* - seems more "essential" and have less "accidental" properties. None of my criticism or evaluation of his work makes it any less important and useful. We all should have something to argue against - even if it is only our parents.

See my **Meta Frames** <https://humanistman.com/home/frames/meta-frames/> This Binary, Hierarchical thinking is basically a level 2 kind of thinking and Lawyers, judges and other binary dim-wits tend to gravitate to this level of habitual thinking and fail to develop any further. It is useful in soldiers and others jobs to **reduce people** to habitual binary behavior. It is the basis for the production line mentality in many of its earlier forms but companies like Harley Davidson (motorcycles) turned its fortunes around by changing that binary assembly line model to make it more of a **whole job experience** - **responsibility, accountability, learning** - and a - **shared investment in work to be proud of** - rather than simple binary tasks for human workers.

Fat, Dumb, Lazy - Habitual grouping, avoidance of infinity and an avoidance of exploring difference. I estimate roughly 70% of the population fail to get beyond level 2/3 type thinking based on my understanding of the work of many people including - **Jean Piaget** - Lifespan[1896 to 1980] Rank(20) Keyword(Education) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean_Piaget <https://archivespiaget.ch/en/>
Michael Lampport Commons - Lifespan[1939 to] Rank(60) Keyword(Learning) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael_Commons
<https://connects.catalyst.harvard.edu/Profiles/display/Person/39332>
<https://freedomfromundueinfluence.org/> **Robert Kegan** - Lifespan[1946 to] Rank(50) Keyword(Education) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Kegan
http://www.shiftingthinking.org/?page_id=449
<https://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674272316> (Robert's 5 levels are similar to mine but based on behaviors not capabilities)


So rather than test your opinion for **usefulness, utility** **John Stuart Mill** - Lifespan[1806 to 1873] Rank(10) Keyword(Philosophy) <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/mill/>
<https://www.utilitarianism.com/jsmill.htm> <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/34901> or **falsifiability** (**Karl Popper**) you do everything to assert its "Popularity" and it's and your "Virtue". This is part of the **Sunk Cost bias** and the **Confirmation Bias** because Humans are generally **Fat, Dumb** and **Lazy**. The mental effort to process your idea was a significant cost and as you get older you are less likely to modify or develop your ideas. Children are much better at letting go Bad ideas - they learn their lessons. Lately though, in our society, schools are encouraging children to not learn anything themselves but to be told what "Certain Good" is - in a **Didactic** way - and be indoctrinated to do only "Certain Good". Historically there are many examples but an early example of this may be the **ancient Greek** teachings of **Sparta** (the permanent soldier of Good) compared with the teachings of Athens and the Plato's Apology. This **Certain Good teaching** is the basis for Marxism, Communist

China many dim-wit tribal countries (binary) and totalitarian regimes. The draconian dogma of “**Certain Good**”. No longer will only be “Popular” but also **mandated** as “Popular” - “**You will enjoy our dogmatic definition of Certain Good - take the knee**”.

The next major step of the binaries is to use the standard **grouping habit** and **avoidance of infinities** habit to make everyone and everything a **defined certain group - binary certain**. They hate infinities, uncertainties and complexity.

Individuals are removed and every individual is allocated to a Group/tribe by the binary tribal types - you belong to “that tribe”. This has been observed and described as groupthink, mobthink and many similar terms by many thinkers over the years. See **Ammianus Marcellinus** - Lifespan[330 to 400] Born_Loc(Antioch, Turkey) Rank(40) Keyword(Soldier, Historian) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ammianus_Marcellinus <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/28587> <https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/Ammian/home.html> (The Blue/Green tribes of the Roman Empire) and **George Orwell** - Lifespan[1903 to 1950] Rank(1) Keyword(Humanism) <http://gutenberg.net.au/pages/orwell.html> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rY5Ste5xRAA> <https://www.george-orwell.org/>

Certain Good gets dogmatically bounded and constrained by the Popular Mob in the same way that “My opinion” was dogmatically bounded and constrained by Mob popularity - the Tribe - Tribalism. So the **individual has been removed** and everything is tightly bounded, constrained and dogmatically defined in a Draconian way by corrupt lawyer types and other “My opinion” types.



The “Others” become simply a **multiple group of tribes** as defined by the tyrant psychopath “**Diverse**” overlapping tribes. This they will call certain “Diversity” - diversity of tribes/mobs/groups/identity. See **Equality Diversity Proportion Value Trust** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Equality-Diversity-Proportion-Value-Trust.pdf>

The next step for the binaries - having established a binary framework of Good/Bad and Groups/Tribes - is they then frame everyone and everything into this model. Everything is **is declared** - by them - to be Good or Bad in very simplistic fat, dumb, lazy groupthink kind of ways. This is Penny Wong/ Tanya Plibersek - all the Greens/Labour politicians and many others - Russian Dictators, Chinese dictators - all the tyrannical totalitarian psychopaths - the legal community, communists, fascists, feminists, women (on average quotas, etc), etc. See **An Architecture of Ideas** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/An-Architecture-of-Ideas.pdf>

The main point to note at this stage is that you are **ALWAYS BEING FRAMED BY THE BINARY EXTREMIST. They always use group terms. The individual disappears unless singled out for personal attack. Like a pack of hunters will single out animals from a herd for the kill.**

That is all that goes on in their head. **You are the declared perpetrator** if you do not support their declarations of certain good or certain bad. You are *“either with us or against us”* says the binary mob - i.e. with us (the tribe) in our declarations of certain Good or with us in our declarations of certain Bad.

- We all want to be “Good” don’t we????
- We all don’t want to be “Bad” Don’t we?????

Let that sink into your brain - 70% of the population of world **habitually adopt this framing strategy.**

We know that mobs/tribes/binaries can be aggressive - we see that in politicians, ABC feminists, board, management and staff, Magistrates in Canberra courts, bullies, tyrants and psychopaths everywhere. As idiots and undeveloped humans - their weak schemas represent a **SUNK COST** of effort that they are desperate to maintain and they will kill anyone who threatens their dim beliefs or their power and corruption. They never escaped the cave or developed any understanding of the world. It is not that they are “simple” people it is they are tribal/violent people. Barbarian tribes dominated humanity for thousands of years - large walls and barriers (Gorgon Wall, Hadrian’s Wall, Great Wall of China, etc) were built to try to keep them from destroying civilization.

You can see the general models of grouping and the **destruction of the individual** but I find it interesting to observe out of the binary positioning pattern the **re-emergence of the “Special Individual”** out of the grouping technique - The **tyrannical narcissistic binary extremist** themselves, of course - but then they anoint others (and themselves) to be **special** - part time heroes - and the full on declared individual victim - *“Welcome to the big stage everybody - put your hands together and applaud - the Declared Victim - Hooray”* . Individuals to “look up to”, **“heroes of the State”** and individuals to “feel sorry for”. See *social justice warriors*.



What we see here is the primitive form of the **binary switch technique** between **individuals and groups**. Individuals are selected by the tyrannical psychopath binary extremists to be declared as certain victims or certain heroes under the binary framing technique of Good/Bad. They generally select themselves first **as qualified to declare** who are Good and who are Bad individuals. We saw this with the Australia Day awards - not awarded for service but for “Good/Bad” tribal identity. This


is common throughout history but **David Anderson** as editor of the ABC - has directed his staff not to interview "Bad people" and only interview "Good people" - this is his stated and published editorial policy for all ABC staff. Politicians do this with their own constituents - rather than meet with everyone they represent they only meet with people who agree with them and support them. Andrew Leigh and Andrew Barr **refuse to discuss anything or meet with me** and the women politicians only work for women - they do not meet or discuss issues which are not about women or designed to help women tribes. Same for Black politicians and other "identity" types etc. That's why we have taxpayer funded Black TV and Radio channels for example - full on tribal all the time.

So Good people are declared as those who are "Special Victims" or "Special Heroes". That is the editorial policy of the media, politicians universities - etc - this is the standard Good/Bad overlay on the group/Individual selection policy - **designated Heroes** or **designated Victims**. The **declared and legislated victim narrative** of Feminists, blacks and other tribal binary types - the **resentment** observed by **Friedrich Wilhelm Nietzsche** - Lifespan[1844 to 1900] Rank(1) Keyword(Philosophy) <http://www.gutenberg.org/files/1998/1998-0.txt> <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/nietzsche/> <https://archive.org/details/cu31924021569151> and highlighted by **Max Scheler** - Lifespan[1874 to 1928] Rank(40) Keyword(Philosophy) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Max_Scheler <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/scheler/> https://archive.org/stream/in.ernet.dli.2015.139420/2015.139420.Max-Scheler-An-Intellectual-Portrait_djvu.txt

So in David Anderson's model it is Good to be either a victim or a hero but the others (perpetrators) or generally "Bad" or as one ABC employee said to me when I repeatedly wrote to them *"We don't know who you are"* - i.e. they had not classified me as either a Good or Bad individual and I was **already excluded** for being a white male based on group identity and therefore I am not one of the specially selected individuals.

The other thing to note with the ABC and David Anderson is they also use the GROUP IDENTITY - tribal/mob - frame as well - so if you do not belong to the "Good" **groups** then you are cancelled and called "bad groups". So the **deluded tyrannical binary extremist** applies both **individual and group** framing techniques for Good/Bad. So being classified as a "White male" you do not belong to the correct tribes and are considered the "perpetrator" and "Bad" in a group sense. We see the reaction to this by weak minded-men in particular - the sycophants and sentient pet types - who rather than **assert their individuality** and lack of dogmatic group identity - become pawns and **sentient pets (woof, woof, oink, oink)** of the **tyrants** and extreme tribal binary extremist mobs. They do whatever the Tyrant tells them to do. E.g. *"I am a white male so I must take the knee"*

You will note that the vast majority of people - **even though stuck** in level 2-3 thinking - generally do not claim to be either be in "Special groups" or "Special individuals" - or **if they do** - they **keep it at a family and community services level** - not at the national or international level - these binary extremist groupthink types tend to become the **narcissistic tyrannical deluded dogmatic psychopaths ostentatiously** in charge of things while the general population tends to get on with their own lives in the community. Vain-glorious "virtue" (Good) signalers.



So - do you see the binary overlay of Good/Bad extremes? all the time on groups? and individuals? and the mob idea that if you are an individual and disagree with the binary then they attack you as a perpetrator?

This **binary switch technique** is used by level 2 thinkers all of the time - they simply cannot regularly operate at level 3 thinking. They are **binary extremists**.

	<p>They never openly (it is hidden away in their dark cave) realize the idea of infinity of opposites to things declared (what is the opposite of Apple?) and they tend to switch between extremes. Hence my Focus model - level 2 thinkers see the model to know it exists but they switch backwards and forwards between extremes.</p> <p>Level 3 thinkers see the focus model (see Corruption – Extremists https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Extremists-Feedback.pdf), understand it, try to eliminate their biases, recognize the infinity- continuum idea and recognize the exploration required rather than the binary certainty. Another sign of level 3 thinking is the ability to become less certain about the binary Good/Bad overlay. Continuums are accepted and explored.</p>																					
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Focus</td> <td>Scope</td> <td>Universe</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Self</td> <td>Coverage</td> <td>Omission</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Emphasis</td> <td>Usability</td> <td>Complexity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Simplicity</td> <td>TIME</td> <td>Future</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Immediate</td> <td>Abstraction</td> <td>General</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Specific</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coherent</td> <td></td> <td>Comprehensive</td> </tr> </table>	Focus	Scope	Universe	Self	Coverage	Omission	Emphasis	Usability	Complexity	Simplicity	TIME	Future	Immediate	Abstraction	General	Specific			Coherent		Comprehensive	
Focus	Scope	Universe																				
Self	Coverage	Omission																				
Emphasis	Usability	Complexity																				
Simplicity	TIME	Future																				
Immediate	Abstraction	General																				
Specific																						
Coherent		Comprehensive																				

The next stage after the tribal violence and tribal aggression is the **passive aggressive game**. This is a pretense that they are engaged in a discussion somehow and are not tribal. The reality is that their techniques have changed but they are still fully binary and tribal. Their delusion is that they think they have “developed” beyond binary thinking and the Good/Bad overlay by not killing you outright in a primitive tribal way.

Let us examine the Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Dan Andrews, ABC, the Project, Russian and Chinese dictators. Passive aggressive techniques - **demonizing**.

Most smug Melbourne types think they live in the best city on the planet and are the best people in the world. This is not only ignorance - it is **arrogance** and **smug superior attitude** they cultivate and re-enforce amongst themselves. See China, Russia, etc. Many tribes do this. In the individual it is

appalling behaviour but when whole groups do it - society starts to break down. The ABC and The Project TV shows full of “commentators” - for example - regularly abuse, parody, chortle, sneer, laugh, and belittle individuals in a constantly abusive and **sadistic judgmental** way - calling out the mobs/tribes - always making fun of others and portraying themselves as morally or intellectually superior. Greens/Labour politicians and their supporters do this all the time.

The ABC, Project, Greens/Labour, Feminists, Women (on average - quotas, targets, permanent victim narrative) and many organizations - declare their pig-ignorance by judging “others” as “inferior” to them. Not only on Morals, Intelligence, Life Experience, Travel, Success, etc - but any measure they care to name - by any and every measure they see themselves as superior. This is a **Delusion** and tribal and mostly based on a **deep sense of insecurity and fear** of being found out for their own failings (a **binary avoidance technique**). They declare themselves “Certain Good” in every measure or **if not on every** measure then - “**on average**” - on average they are better than the “others” - binary certain **relatively “better”** - “Greater Good”. *At least I am better than you are.* The corollary of “*a race to the bottom*” (relativism) “*At least I am no worse than Hitler*”

The passive aggressive uses the binary switching games to align themselves with the notion of Victim/Hero - they are both the victim of those who question them - so they are an individual victim **belonging to the group** of “all victims” and then you are the perpetrator as an individual or a group if you question the binary certain declaration of “Victimness”. They are heroes for the cause of “victims”. This is Greens/Labour, women (on average - quotas, targets, etc), Dan Andrews, Mathew Keen, etc. “*How dare you insult the victims - take the knee*”

This **declared binary certain victim state** allows extreme violence under the notion of “*Passive Aggressive*” i.e. they cut your head off while declaring to the Mob/Tribes that the “Victim and Victims” have finally rid the world of certain evil and binary certain perpetrators. Evil has been vanquished by the heroic victim. “*I was simply defending myself against certain evil*” Then you see that smug face that Penny Wong, Chris Bowen, Green activists use to display how proud they are of “overcoming” evil by abusing people, destroying things, verbally attacking, refusing services, cancelling and being violent. Many women operate in this constant manipulative abusive sadistic state as the “common scold” of the declared victim/hero. We also see it in many “hero” activists type organizations. This has been legislated and mandated for women as “entitled Homicide” when they kill their husbands saying “***I was the real victim of killing him - he forced me to kill him***” - in an insane feminist way.

The current Minister for Home affairs - a rabid man-hating feminist - Claire O’Neil is an example. She does not mind being called a rabid feminist - she is proud of her feminism and working for all women’s causes and ignoring anything to do with men. She is **vehement and committed to her cause** of feminism and will demean and attack anyone who gets in her way. Many women think like this and use their positions to constantly re-enforce this message and act on their tribal biases.

She loves being tribal and binary certain in her thinking. She sees herself as a hero for “Victims” (women) - this is publically declared by her and many others like her every day in every way in all organizations, courts, universities, schools, parliaments, media, politicians etc. “*isn’t about time we had a black, jewish, female, trans, gay, etc High Court Judge - see how good we are?*”

Medibank data was hacked. We no longer have anyone with any skills because Women, Blacks and other identity types (see Google and James Damore https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Google%27s_Ideological_Echo_Chamber) have been promoted into positions rather than selecting people on merit with skills. Like "Quotas" for political parties, universities, Airline Pilots (isn't about time we had more black, jewish, female, trans pilots?) , courts, Boards, management, science, etc.

So when questioned about what she is going to do, Claire then **aligns herself with the victims** and how much she cares for the victims - and that **she herself is part of the culture of victims** - then **HEROICALLY attacks** the individuals and those who question her actions and choices in dealing with the issue as the responsible minister and **demonizes them - demonize those who question.**

"Don't upset the apple cart" "Don't tell the emperor they have no clothes"

So now the person who questions her is declared as bad as the Data hackers themselves because they refuse to claim her as a hero and fails to do what she says for the "victims" - in a binary certain drama groupthink kind of abusive passive aggressive way. I have written directly to her over the years - but she - like the rest of man hating binary feminists in Greens/Labour refuse to engage or respond. They double down on their binary certainty and **victim/hero abusive demonization games.**

"How dare you question me when so many victims are suffering - you Cad - don't you have any decency!!".

"With Respect" - says Richard Marles (passive aggressive) "now is not the time to talk about how the Greens/Labour culture bullied a politician to death"

"I think people have moved on from wanting to know how corrupt I am - it is only Hubris" (John Howard)

"Women are the greatest victims of everything - with respect - we should be concentrating on them - why do you hate women so much Jon? - why don't you care for victims? - What are you, a perpetrator? - you are hiding your own evil Jon otherwise you would support our cause" (feminists and weak minded men)

"Take the knee, boy, and promise that you will not hurt women - take the knee"

"60% women in the public service - up from 40% based on constant bias and corruption and "positive" discrimination is NOT ENOUGH - there is still more work to be done - HOW DARE YOU!"

"You may hate women and blacks - Jon, you bigot, racist, incel and misogynist - but we love discriminating for women and blacks over white men. It is very popular. If the mob attacks you then you deserve it - you are probably a criminal even if we cannot prove it or it is an outright lie. You are still evil in our eyes.". Andrew Leigh (to me in public), Andrew Barr, Magistrate Robert Cook and rest of the Canberra courts, Universities, Schools, Politicians, ABC, Commonwealth government (office of women, voice, money for blacks, etc), Feminists, Blacks, Women (on average - bigots, bias, quotas, targets, wages, houses, victim narratives), weak minded men (heroes, virtue signalers), dimwits, smuglifunts and other binary extremists cogitatively challenged humans.

“But what about the children,” (the permanent victims) - “what will the children do if you put their mothers in jail for the crimes they committed - after all - beheading people for binary zealot religious causes wasn’t a crime - Hitler did it - it was his fault - we were only the train drivers, the mothers, the fathers who went along (oops)” - let other family members look after them - the ones who did not behead people - their fathers perhaps? - the ones who are not binary zealots, beheaders and murderers? WHAT - A NEW STOLEN GENERATION!!! Fathers stealing their children???

*“But a mother’s love and care is **sacred** you cannot deny a mother their children can you? - I gave birth to that child - do you have any idea how difficult that is - how could you - you are a man” - Family courts, etc*

“But we feed and nurture children, we have breasts - how can deny how great our skill is in raising children - you hater - you bigot” - women (mothers) on average - quotas, victims, wages, etc

“But I am black - how could you know what you and your tribe have done to me and my tribe”

“But I am holy and full of morality - how could know anything about what I have been through....”

*“But don’t you know” (bottom lip quivering) “how much I have been through” (tears starting to well-up) “How difficult it is for me” (the other women gathering around adoringly) “How all of us feel” (the mob cries - tears outrage and tantrums everywhere - in unison - like how women menstruate together in cycles) “how could you” (the perpetrator) “allow this to happen to me” - any women caught out lying, cheating, stealing, committing crimes, working at the ABC as biased bigots, as feminists for the “cause” everywhere, making false allegations in domestic violence - family violence - criminal cases and the media, manipulating weak-minded men and gathering the mobs. **Always remember who the declared victim is.***

Take the knee, turn off your brain, submit to my authority.

Now I will emphasize the technique used by Dan Andrews, ABC, feminists, Green/Labour types - the binary zealots demonization technique. Watch the binary switches taking place between Group/ Individual and Good/Bad

1. You must realize that any question is a full-on frontal attack on their smug certainty - their zombie dream state - hence the violence and abuse.
2. Your question becomes “Grouped” - instead of it being a question of an “individual” - you become grouped into “far right extremists” - You are **immediately de-humanized** from being in an **individual** to being a **group**. *“People like you might not like women - but we love discrimination for women” (Andrew Leigh and other tribal fuckwits)*
3. They might declare they are “Good” people or they belong to right tribe - on the “*right side of history*” (this step is optional)
4. They themselves then become an Individual - a **special individual** under attack by **your group** - your group is attacking them because of the “question” you dared to ask. How dare your tribe attack me - little innocent me all by myself being attacked by a whole tribe - **I am the victim here** of you and **your tribal attacks**. *How unfair for me as a single individual like me to be under attack from a whole tribe of haters and abusers.*
5. They then invoke the individual victim and **call out the Mob of other tribal types** to protect the victim - themselves - from personal attack from the “Bad” tribe (chortle, sneer, abuse,

mock, attack, stick the boot in, etc) - *come one everyone* - **signal your virtue** - *signal your goodness by protecting me - the victim*.

6. Then rather than attack the group as tribal warfare between two tribes (Us, the good guys) and “far right extremists” - that **they grouped** you into “white man”, “racists”, “hater”, “Binary”, etc - they choose to **attack you personally - as an individual** - while simultaneously accusing you of tribalism and groupthink.

Individual to individual, Individual to group attack (the question), Group (of good tribe) attacked by Group (of bad tribe), Individual (of good tribe) attacked by Group (of bad tribe), all individuals (from Good Tribe) DEFENDS against Individual (from Bad tribe) by killing them.

The passive aggressive binary approach. You get framed from individual to group of Bad people back to individual perpetrator for the Wolf pack to attack. They de-humanize you while claiming **entitled victim** status.

You will notice that I have described the Marxists, Feminist, Socialist, Communist, etc general technique.

Complacency - Self Hypnosis - Dream State - Zombie Brain - Delusion - Psychopathy - I Share My Dreams

When you see Richard Marles, Penny Wong, Mark Butler, Mark Dreyfuss, Tony Burke, Andrew Leigh, Andrew Barr, Tanya Plibersek and the other Greens/Labour type in public display and in parliament - you will see them operating in a kind of dream state. They are dozy and dreamy and smugly, laugh, chortle, cheer and abuse - like a mob in a half-awake/half asleep kind of way. A kind of walking dead/zombie state that Delores O’Riordon (an exceptional human)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dolores_O%27Riordan sung so well about in “Zombies”.

They resort to their lowest level of operation in a Fat, Dumb, Lazy kind of complacent way. This is slightly different but similar to the **full on attackers and abusers** - the narcissistic psychopaths, manipulators and schemers at the ABC, Guardian, Office of Women, “Human” rights, Universities, Greens/Labour, Canberra courts (Robert Cook, Edwards, Walker and the feminists) - who are **fully switched on** and **focused on** torture, abuse attack and demeaning people in a **sadistic**, psychopathy (like feminists and women who make false accusations - for example).

This **dream and delusion state** you can see the psychopathic murderers, soldiers with guns, leaders with too much power, ABC board and management, United Nations, the wealthy State employees and grafters (the oligarchy), Women (on average - quotas, victims, “*believe all women and their dream state and delusions*”) etc. The instinctive lazy heroic reaction the habitual “Hero” abusing others - the habitual “victim”. The **habitual deluded role-play** instead of engaging with reality and life.

Little children (2-3 year olds) develop these **imagination and play-time** skills very early and these skills are useful when constructing abstract schemas, planning and anticipating choices rather than having to physically test every option. But you see the problem don’t you? If you actually do not make choices and do difficult things then your play-time imagination get re-enforced into some kind of **compelling delusion**. You are less connected with the world and reality. You are **not interacting**

with people and things around you in a “real” and “truthful” way. **You are not getting any reality feedback.**

We see this in women influencers who excessively sculpture their visions of themselves - using photo filters, technology, medicine, etc - no awareness of the world and obsessed about self - the complete narcissist in a dream state. Their “lived experience”. A revolutionary invoking statement like “*let them eat cake*” delivered to starving people is not a statement of malevolence - it is a statement of emptiness of self-absorption, of delusions and **detachment from any type of reality.**

You see it in their eyes - this **complete absence of humanity** - the silly girl greeny protestors blocking roads and glueing themselves to things - a zombie mind state - an emptiness - a lack of humanity, a lack of awareness of anything else but themselves and their dream state. Banal.

Now - I do not know about you - but when you are in your most sleepy state - when you are having your deepest dreams - you feel happy and relaxed (I know I do - some people enjoy self-hypnosis and chanting themselves into a dream state) - mostly as a **feedback mechanism from your body** and brain while the lower level functions explore and do repairs on parts of your body - especially the brain - a tidy up processing time which allows you to **eventually WAKE UP** and **NOTICE the NEW WORLD WHICH AWAITS YOU**. Some people even eventually come out of comas.

So humans are **wired to enjoy the Dream State** - to appreciate the warm comfort on the zombie brain and delusions. It **helps us repair and take a break** from the constant anxiety of life (especially for women).

So when you find someone **stuck in this habitual dream state** - it is **very difficult to penetrate their delusions** - especially when they are fat, dumb and lazy - and do not have to do much to sustain themselves or their family. They react violently and adopt an angry state. They are spoiled and do not have to work too hard or take on too many problems. They become totally self-possessed. People who are a constant stressed and anxious state are the opposite - they are only too keen to **learn about reality and truth** to help them make better decisions. **Their lives depend upon it.**

The Americans know about torture techniques as do the Russians and many others. Keeping someone in a cell where they cannot sleep and then when they do finally fall asleep then **waking them up the instant they do - is a form of torture.** This is why Richard Marles, Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek - the greens/Labour, ABC board management and staff, Feminists, women (on average - quotas, targets, wages), and weak minded “virtue signaling” men - stuck in this habitual and comfortable “dream state” become so violent, angry and abusive - like children who need their sleep - and they see you as a “torturer” of their “happy dreams” (it does not even need to be utopia - it is just “**Their** dreams” - what they themselves are dreaming about).

Watch their little faces when you wake them up and ask them questions - allow them to wake up slowly - watch the grumpiness and confusion and then slowly seeing things and becoming “aware” and “noticing” things.

“*I have a dream*” - well, share your dream with others - **have a debate and discussion.** Write it down and test your imagination against reality - see if it makes sense - see if things need to be done or not. Understand what it means what the issues are. Examine the choices and ideas. Modify and enhance your dream and discard the ones which are nonsensical. Don’t rouse the mob or popularity (who


wants to go to explore a “popular” holiday destination?) - rouse the thinkers and historians - check the idea - scrutinize it - see if it stands up to reality.

Marriage is an idea that we have when young and then reality hits along the way as you grow and learn. The intimate discussions and communication with your partner help you manage your dreams and reality in a truthful way. You get better at it the more your practice. You become less deluded.

Privacy

In Australia we have a **Privacy Act**. As George Orwell predicted it has become a weapon for **removing the citizen’s privacy** for the ‘Greater Good’ as declared by the state - the elite politicians and the grifting legal class scum. So rather than help ensure privacy for the individual - the legislation mandates exactly - in dogmatic legal draconian detail - how individual’s privacy is removed.

This is the general problem that dim-wits in politics fail to grasp - they tend to **mandate everything in legal detail** rather than **knowing when to NOT** legislate things. The tyrant always wants to judge everyone and everything in a totalitarian draconian way. This happens until you get the Australian Commonwealth and State legislation which is Totalitarian, incomprehensible, unusable and put in place as a money spinner for the legal class - *lets us make everything court case* - as the lawyers, barristers, judges, courts rub their hands with GLEE!



Once the binary certain dim-wits - who numerically dominate the population - take control - then totalitarian tyrannies tend to develop. **Thinking individuals** are difficult to find at the best of times - In my research I have found very small numbers at any time in history - maybe something like less than 1% - who can think, have the time to think, have the abilities to write and learn, have the resources to spend time on thinking, writing and learning and live at a time where their work is valued, kept and passed on. Very small numbers throughout history get to do this - it represents an enormous investment. In medieval times - on the east coast of UK in stone buildings in candle light - many monks worked for years reading and writing documents on the skins of animals. Large herds of animals had to be bred and fed nearby to help support the work. For thousands of years humans carved their ideas in STONE.

It is a crime if someone invades your house. They enter your house uninvited. This has been a crime throughout history. It is a social norm that humans have adopted even the most primitive of tribes. When in someone's house you had to abide by their rules and customs. In Khan's tents you could not touch the line on the doorway - you have to step over it otherwise it was a great insult and punishable.

In Totalitarian and Tyrannical states - the police and state enter your house whenever they like - i.e. they act like legal criminals. You, as the individual, cease to exist - you have no value as an individual - you are there simply for the state to do with as it chooses. Business and state work hand in hand to invade every part of your personal life in a fascist, authoritarian and totalitarian way.

Vigilante Scumbags and the Media

ABC, Ambulance chaser lawyers types, politician virtue signalers, hero causes for victims, scam artists, cons, mobs and violence.

"Mobs of Justice"

Digital Identity

The replacement of hard copy documents - like drivers licenses, passports, permits, birth certificates with things called "digital identity" owned and control by computers and networks systems (soon to be DNA signature and Microchips for dogs and cats - now humans)

"Computer said you don't exist" Prove yourself. Migrants, criminals, fake news, information bots, trolling bots, etc.

Individual humans cease to exist except as their "digital record" further de-personalization of human contact between individuals. Everyone becomes a tyrant for the authoritarian state. The Matrix.

Vaccine Mandate Passports - insane - actual insanity by so-called scientists and health officials - right up there with sacrificing chickens to appease the Gods or Sacrificing your first born child to the volcano. The delusion that vaccines **stop you from getting infected** and hence the spread of infections in communities. Insane. Deeply and widely held pig-ignorance and delusion and unrelated to reality, history or measurements in any way.

To put this idea of vaccines into more context - great news was made when a politician asked if the Vaccine company had tested its vaccine for reduction of transmission in groups. I **classify the question as stupid** because it is obvious that it is not the purpose of a vaccine. The Vaccine may protect **individuals** from infection effects and outcomes (on average - with side effects) - not groups. i.e. it is not the purpose of vaccines to protect groups nor is it possible in any realistic way based on the complexity of life - to protect whole groups from viruses. It is a very **popular delusion** to think so. Health Officials and so-called scientists repeat this delusion around the world.

This morphs into a **draconian mandated insanity** that we have seen in recent years (and still continuing) - the idea that whole groups can be **"kept safe" from life itself** if only you can be draconian and authoritarian enough. Binaries struggle with complexity and uncertainty and delude themselves that the world is binary - it is the only frame they have.

Stupid humans have always bought into this idea. **Snake oil** salesmen would travel the small towns selling the **miracle cures** for life itself.

- *Will rubbing that oil into my bald head make my hair grow back - Yes sir!*
- *Will it help my chickens lay eggs - Yes sir!*
- *Will it help my lumbago? - no doubt!*
- *Will it improve my marriage? - you will need to buy two for that*
- *Will it keep me safe? - that's what I am selling - everything to ease your anxiety*
- *Why is your snake oil better than sacrificing chickens to the Gods? - Well this is a scientific product based on years of research and trusted, I have a white coat, it is the most popular product, it is new and improved, the ABC has endorsed and promoted it as "truth"*

Credit card companies Google, Facebook, service providers, retail, banks, insurance companies, governments - all seek to "know their customer" in invasive detail - use your data, profit from your information and deny your privacy and ignore the idea of treating you as an "individual" - instead you are treated as an "Average" - a statistic in some **grand delusional utopian dogmatic scheme** - and abstract group term like "Perpetrator" or "Questioner" (someone who questions) which women (on average) so like to use.

So they can treat you as a group - "white men" for advertising or as credit agencies do - classify each individual's reputation and trustworthiness based on data, average, data matching, technology and all of the mistakes and errors that brings - **guilty until proven innocent** in a kind of mob/groupthink/tribal abusive data matching digital identity totalitarian way.

State Controlled Corruption

If your own corruption body does not investigate you then by default you are not corrupt and therefore free to act as corruptly as you like. As simple as ABC. Corruption is now legislated, controlled and mandated by local, state and commonwealth governments like "Human Rights" "Truth" "Voice" "Speech" "offense" (18C) in an insane Orwellian way.

Lawyers and professional dogmatic tribalists rub their hands with glee at yet another government agency to corrupt with their idiotology - another layer of bureaucracy to infiltrate and destroy.

I mean - What could possibly go wrong with dogmatically mandating what corruption is and giving control to governments?

For example, **my general definition of corruption** is **Not for Self, Not for others** - a **double not** in a sufficiently vague and a plurality kind of way. See my **human frame - 15 Humanism – Corruption – Nation Choice – Virtue, Version 1, date 03/04/2020** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/15-Humanism-Corruption-Nation-Choice-Virtue.pdf> and the articles **Corruption – Measurement and Implementation** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Measurement-and-Implementation.pdf> and **Corruption – Extremists** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Extremists-Feedback.pdf>

This single example of a **double NOT** of **Not for self and Not for others** is a **deliberate level of vagueness** - deliberately designed to allow most level 2 thinkers to start to explore the level 3 thinking. i.e. I think it **should allow at least 90% of the population** to understand and explore - even women (on average)

Evil comes from a failure to think. It defies thought for as soon as thought tries to engage itself with evil and examine the premises and principles from which it originates, it is frustrated because it finds nothing there. That is the banality of evil. — Hannah Arendt, Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil

They only people **more insane** than Anthony Albanese, Chris Bowen, Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Mark Dreyfuss and the rest of the Greens/Labour types are **those who believe anything they do or say** - delivered in that faux gravitas, pontification, smug, self important, performance, stylized, delusional, psychopathic, **MANDATED , BINARY EXTREMIST**, tribal and virtue signaling way - *“Oh Look - See how we have SOLVED Corruption? See how good we are - see how we solved corruption while everyone else did not?” “The right side of history” - “The Good Humans”*

It is not the Con artists fault that **you believed their lies**, insanity and psychopathy - cool aid, bitcoin, ponzi, cults, guaranteed returns, “safe”, “investments”, Masks, Vaccines, Mandates, snake-oil, Predictions, Models, etc.

Human rights do not exist for white men (as declared and detailed in law) - they are for women and blacks. Penny Wong champions this thinking. Mark Drefuss believes in this. He thinks it is a good idea to convict men without a trial and to make orders against white men when they do not even know what the charges are. Mark Dreyfuss agrees with tribalism and **dogmatic binary certainty** because he does and says this every day and will not respond to my emails or the questions *why do you think positive discrimination for women and blacks is a good idea? Why is it equality to have 60% women in the public service (up from 40% based on constant bais and legislated discrimination) why do you think it is a good idea to make the next a judge a Black Women?*

That is why Helen Watchirs (Human Rights) from Canberra - a rabid die-hard feminist like the rest of the feminists in the courts, public service, media and politics - removed the right to a fair trial for men, ignored human rights in “emergency” mandated lockdowns and cares nothing about “Privacy” or reputation of white men (the designated perpetrators of the female victim narrative.) She is supported by many other feminists and weak-minded virtue-signaling “heroic” boys and men (Andrew Barr, Shane Rattenbury, Canberra courts, and public prosecutors, women in the Canberra public service, etc)

“Words and Truth” belong to Blacks and women - whose “lived experience” of resentment and victim narrative is the only “truth” they know. *“believe all women”, “Don’t question women” “let them allege and defame and lie and kill and steal - after all - they are the entitled victims in this country” . “Women murder their husbands because the men deserved it not because the women are “criminals or liars” - this is not possible - you simply fail to understand that women are victims”*

Keeping Women and THEIR children safe is legislated by government - who cares about men?

Canberra courts, judges, magistrates and staff are corrupt - filled with man-hating manipulative feminists, white ribbon supporters, Abo flag flyers and virtue signaling weak minded men. Men are guilty by default as legislated by Andrew Barr and they double down on their corruption and abuse.

Coercive control (of women victims by male perpetrators) is now mandated and legislated so women can claim victim status in personal relationships and get the government, police and courts to intervene on their behalf in private individual relationships. Yet **Passive aggressive victim narratives** and “kill all men” narratives **is not considered as coercive control**. Getting Dim-wit sporting types to take the knee to show how that are NOT BAD like other **non virtue-signalers**. Teaching children to see all men as “colonizers” or “White men” “racists” and other **group perpetrator labels** is NOT coercive control - it is the way we appease the declared entitle victims (women and blacks). Thought police, bedroom police, language police, etc and gleefully rich Lawyers and others in the legal scum misery business.

The ABC declares that they speak the truth for blacks and women - they are “good” at the ABC - the “right kind” of people - everyone else is a far right extremist and liars.

It is like having a “help desk” whose sole task is to be as unhelpful as they possibly can while putting you on hold for 4 hours or ramping the Ambulance outside the hospital for 4 hours - in a “helpful” way . A kind of obvious blatant lie - a delusion - to help **disrupt any idea of reality itself** - to **deconstruct the idea of “reality”** and replace it with state sanctioned propaganda. George Orwell knew this. *We will tell you the **mandated truth**.*

*Your life is important to us - you matter to us - **we are good** and we care - we are only trying to help you - we think corruption is important - we believe in truth - we believe all women - please stay on the line and hold - we will get to you a soon as we feel like it.*

*Is is OK - we are good people - the others are “bad” - we are managing it - it is **now state controlled** and you will like it and thank us - Glory be to our Goodness. We are doing things the “Right” way - we are certain - you can trust us.*

“Corruption is under state control”

No individual needs to be alarmed when the state (on average) runs things.

Many years ago schools, universities and communities used to have “**citizenship**” awards - children and young people who were not necessarily the most talented, sporty, good looking or educated but represented a way of behaving in a way that was recognized and seen to be supportive of the community in general way without virtue signaling. A kind of encouragement and reward for good behaviour of individuals - without making too much of a song and dance about it. This idea has gone now replaced by an Australian of the Year - type narcissistic award process for virtue signalers, heroes, victims (drama triangle) identitarians and narcissists. Actors, celebrities, sports people, elites and politicians all award each other these in some kind of competition and national grand final - Winner and Virtue signaling process which has resulted in psychopathic liars, corrupt and abusive feminists, blacks and other self-obsessed types gaining fame and celebrity - which becomes a publicity and self-promotion platform for their personal agenda and abuse of others. So the **idea of “Citizen”** has been replaced with self-possessed narcissistic abusive psychopath that we see now - usually based around identity politics, the Drama triangle and the **entitled victim** narrative.

In many countries now - people who try to behave like good citizens are cancelled and shut down. Some are killed in their own embassies, chopped in little pieces and carried out in suitcases - ordered by celebrity powerful elites and Human Rights and other award winners. The ABC - for example - expects to get human rights and journalism awards for lying and propaganda that it produces every day as it defames people, manufactures false narratives and propaganda, divides Australia by tribalism, demonizes groups and individuals - e.g. "far right extremists" , "White men" and does everything it can to undermine and corrupt the role of the justice system. No corruption Commissioner will ever "investigate" the ABC - because it is all in plain sight - every day across the country. *The way we do things around here* - how can that be corrupt?


For example the ABC ignores my story (5 years now and counting) and many like it from many other men (white men) and will never tell it and will continue to double down on its corruption no matter how many state bodies are set up.

So where you might find the role of media was to help explore and expose corruption - it is the case that the media in general **becomes corrupt and complicit** in corruption - this is obvious and expected in Totalitarian and Authoritarian regimes and now rampant in social media platforms, the internet and mainstream media in USA, Australia, New Zealand, Canada and many other countries. Much of the media resorts to tribalism and personal attack - especially as the level of education drops - e.g. blacks and women are made "professors" based on their identity and tribe - not skills - merely pretending to black or a woman is enough to get into the right "tribes" so you attack and demean "others" in a binary certain way.


The ABC is fully and obviously biased and corrupt yet no-one will do anything about it. The same with many mainstream media companies in USA. David Anderson is Corrupt and runs the ABC corruptly because he thinks it is a vehicle for his 'Good' ideas - the "right" people - the "Good" people - not the baddies. This is the same for the court system, human rights, politics, "Office of Women", "Voice for Blacks", charities , etc - the "right" kind of people get support and services - "others" are demonized and attacked. No matter what state agency gets setup - **it gets corrupted** and taken over by **deluded narcissistic binary zealot self-absorbed psychopaths** like David Anderson, Helen Watchirs, magistrates, Feminists and other corruptions.

Austrac - Data Matching

There are many government agencies with data collection and data matching as their main focus to target individuals and look for criminals. This is one technique of keeping crime under control in large societies. An extension of this idea is to stop all crimes before they start - i.e. be able to detect potential criminals before they commit a crime using expensive technology, propaganda, mind control, data collection and data matching - in a kind of full on totalitarian, authoritarian **treat everyone as potential criminals** kind of way.



This has been displayed in narratives in books, plays and movies - many about historical regimes - but also about hypothetical and possible future worlds - Where hypervigilant authoritarian states implement large police forces to demonize and attack individuals as potential criminals - “keeping us safe”. This is Andrew Barr’s domestic violence laws of immediate criminal guilt (orders made by a court official) assigned to men **based on any allegation by any woman** - even if the man is out of the country and unaware of any allegation. This is also how the ABC operates and is the general mindset of women (on average - victims, quotas, targets, wages, etc) and weak-minded men. This mindset of operating in society where **people are treated as potential criminals** is **very corrosive** of the **idea of trust** - which is the basis for fair dealing, trade and most our day to day dealings with each other in society.



While people who “look different” or are the “outsider” in behaviour might be treated with suspicion - usually the human technique of **killing all “outsiders”** is **not a very successful evolutionary technique for survivability** of a species - for example. Generally the human idea - even for very primitive societies - is to allow each individual to build respect and trust from others and in themselves - by doing things which are part of the community - for example. Like working, making things, helping others in the community - being productive in some way.


So a person is not judged by how “Good” they are as a person - but **what they do** as an **Individual** in the community. Not everyone can “do” things - some struggle and need help. This is the basis of the victim narrative. There is a tendency for some to look down of individuals and judge them - to be judgmental about them - without understanding the individual circumstances and complexities - this is called a **“Rush to Judgement”** - usually by smug smart-arse binary types playing moral posturing and relative comparison games based on their need for Declaring the delusional “Good” - their “Virtue”. To some extent - the fact that the community is made up of individuals **doing things at all** - is something to be appreciated and understood and then discussion can be had about the jobs that the community needs done.

So humans generally adopt a communication and cooperating approach to developing mutual trust. Like building things and helping develop the nation. Things like the “raising a barn” in a small community helps build a sense of community and trust. Building bridges - making paths and roads - investing in schools, libraries, shared town squares, parks, etc.

Humans prefer to operate in a community based on Trust, with individuals being responsible and accountable.

For example things like defensive walls, or shared public meeting houses and locations go back in history many thousands of years. The idea of a school is a big idea - a school for learning in a dialectic way rather than a propaganda machine in a didactic rhetorical sophist kind of way. This requires some understanding the idea of education a process. It is worthwhile to examine Aristotle’s (a student and learner of Plato and Socrates) writings - as he helped establish some early famous schools and libraries - to get some ideas around thinking and learning about things.

So rather than treat everyone as potential criminals - explore the possibilities of everything else that is **Not Bad**.



It is not an easy thing to help people learn because the tendency is to give in to dogmatic certainty "the way we do things around here".

So we end up **somewhere in the middle** again where we try not to frame everything as "Certain Bad" - even though we know death is Bad. "Thalt shall not..." (do Bad things as designated by the tyrant at the time)

We also try not to frame everything as "Certain good" - "Be Good and Virtuous". Both extremes are delusions and end up producing psychopaths, liars and bad actors (communists, fascists, socialists, politicians, feminists, legal types, draconian types, etc) - the binary certain extremists.

Thus Spake Zarathustra - A Book For All and None (Rank:1) :Author(**Friedrich Wilhelm Nietzsche**) :Year[1885] Age(41) :Keyword(Individual Philosophy Development)
<http://learnlibrary.com/zarathustra/index.htm> <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/1998/1998-h/1998-h.htm> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thus_Spoke_Zarathustra

Both **Nietzsche** and **Wollstonecraft** were observers of humanity who witnessed death and widespread ignorance in their times. Both tried to revive a sense of humanity in different ways - Mary mainly by reason and sense, Fred tried many methods but with Zarathustra he tried to open human minds to wonder, interest and journey. Poets, artists and the troubadours of southern France mocking the binary extreme zealot elites in some of the dark times of the middle ages - also tried to show things to humans other than death. Logic, science, art, law, education, medicine, etc - so many different things shown by so many outstanding humans to be interesting and worthwhile investigations. Standing up to zealots and binary extremists has a long history and those who do a remembered long after the tyrant their decedents have faded to the nothingness where they arose.

So the problem for learners is being able to give some kind of ideas for the student to grab on to. A selection. This is usually by example - we show them - "the way we do things around here" but only for **small things at a time** - **small steps to build confidence and enquiry**. Reading, Writing, Numbers, Talking, doing things. We usually do this learning with a group or societal framework of choices - the tasks which need to be done - farming, sheep herder, builder, fisherman, boat builder, roads, bridges, food, water, schools, books, plays, art, medicine, science, etc.

So we help develop the dialectic- enquiry, learning and improvement abilities - of our students and **encourage this in an ongoing way.**

And there are other ideas of reducing crime as well - usually done in a mix or a balance between extremes based on a situation basis rather than dogmatic certainty. A kind of “Human toolset” which can be used at different times depending on the circumstances - requiring some thought, learning, debate and discussion.

So while the idea of “*keeping us safe from criminals*” (and other barbarian hoards) has some kind of societal cost “defensive walls”, “trained army” (see the history and archeology of the world from 12,000 BC onwards) - there is also some **aspirational stance** above “not death”. See **Dan Dennet’s** work in particular **Intentional Stance** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intentional_stance

So while it might be a good idea to have some skills in Data Matching - there is tendency for any part of society - in a tribal sense - to want to dominate or take over other parts of society. So the tendency for AUSTRAC is to become ever more AUSTRACY. Like **itself on a feedback mechanism**. This leads to creeping totalitarianism data collection and data matching like the **know your customer** legislation based on keeping us all “safe” from terrorists and criminals by **giving up all privacy**.

You are not afraid of Big Brother and Constant government Intervention are you?

The ends justifies the means?

If you examine team dynamics and team management models you can see the **attempts to recognize everyone’s practical strengths** while not allowing one set of “expertise” to dominate or **demonizing those who do not have your skills** <https://www.teammanagementsystems.com/tms-profiles/team-management-profile-tmp/>

This works with things like meetings with accountants, lawyers, doctors, politicians, law enforcement, health, business, community, etc groups and allowing any one group to dominate the debate. Another issue is the **partial satisfying technique (on average - average changes)** - giving **everyone a little of what they want** - a kind of **creeping idiocy** - making “everyone a little happy and everyone a little sad”.

Sometimes things need to be disbanded entirely or reduced - to change. For example all politicians seem reluctant to get rid of the Office of Women or the Human Rights agency (they believe they are full of virtue and “goodness”) - despite the fact that they are fully biased, tribal, corrupt, expensive, incompetent and a waste of time and money. More than that - they give false sense of security because they actually **do not deal with the main issues** at all - they become a smug autocratic totalitarian tribe operating within their own tribal mindset. Outsourcing thinking itself to a government agency who habitually ignores you and **demonizes you** for questioning. **George Orwell** and **Hebert Spencer** wrote in detail about these kinds of problems. Many others have written about this as well - Sometimes the topics are Management theory, Sociology, Political “Science”, Bureaucracy, Teamwork, Economics.

The Philosophy of Right (Rank:10) :Author(**Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel**) :Year[1820] Age(50)
:Keyword(Group Nation Politics) <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/hegel-social-political/>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elements_of_the_Philosophy_of_Right

<https://www.marxists.org/reference/archive/hegel/works/pr/philosophy-of-right.pdf>

<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/hegel/>

*“The business of any writer, and especially of the philosopher, is, as they say, to discover, utter, and diffuse truth and adequate conceptions. In actual practice this business usually consists in warming up and distributing on all sides the **same old cabbage**. Perhaps the result of this operation may be to fashion and arouse the feelings; though even this small merit may be regarded as superfluous, for “they have Moses and the prophets: let them hear them.” Indeed, we have great cause to be amazed at the pretentious tone of those who take this view. They seem to suppose that up till now the dissemination of truth throughout the world has been feeble. They think that the **warmed-up cabbage** contains new truths, especially to be laid to heart at the present time. And yet we see that what is on one side announced as true, is driven out and swept away by the **same kind of worn-out truth**. Out of this hurly-burly of opinions, that which is neither new nor old, but permanent, cannot be rescued and preserved except by science” ...” But a **further difficulty lies** in the fact that man thinks, and seeks freedom and a basis for conduct in thought. Divine as his right to act in this way is, it becomes a wrong, when it takes the place of thinking. Thought then regards itself as free **only when it is conscious of being at variance** with what is **generally recognised**, and of setting itself up as something original. The idea that freedom of thought and mind is indicated **only by** deviation from, or even hostility to what is everywhere recognised, is most persistent with regard to the state. The essential task of a philosophy of the state would thus seem to be the **discovery** and publication of a new and original theory.”....*

*“In connection with the **laws of right** the **spirit of investigation** is stirred up, and our attention is turned to the fact that the laws, because they are different, are **not absolute**. Laws of right are established and **handed down by men**. The inner voice must necessarily collide or agree with them. **Man cannot be limited to what is presented to him, but maintains that he has the standard of right within himself**. He may be subject to the necessity and force of external authority, but not in the same way as he is to the necessity of nature; for always **his inner being says to him** how a thing **ought** to be, and **within himself he finds the confirmation or lack of confirmation of what is generally accepted**.”*

*“The words “**God giveth truth** to his chosen in sleep” have been applied to science ; hence every **sleeper has numbered himself amongst the chosen**. But what he deals with in sleep is only the wares of sleep. Mr. Fries, one of the leaders of this **shallow-minded host of philosophers**, on a public festive occasion, now become celebrated, has not hesitated to give utterance to the following, notion of the state and constitution: “When a nation is ruled by a common spirit, then from below, out of the people, will come life sufficient for the discharge of all public business. Living associations, united indissolubly by the holy bond of friendship, will devote themselves to every side of national service, and every means for educating the people.” This is the **last degree of shallowness**, because in it science is looked upon as developing, not out of thought or conception, but out of **direct perception and random fancy**. Now the organic connection of the manifold branches of the social system is the architectonic of the state’s rationality, and in this supreme science of state architecture the strength of the whole, is made to depend upon the harmony of all the clearly marked phases of public life, and the stability of every pillar, arch, and buttress of the social edifice.”*

Some kind of balance of sufficiently vague and certain mixed with the shared community “vibe” of things perhaps?

see - **Max Weber/Maximilian Karl Emil Weber** - Lifespan[1864 to 1920] Born_Loc(Erfurt, Saxony, Prussia) Rank(10) Keyword(Philosophy Sociology Bureaucracy Government)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Max_Weber

https://openlibrary.org/books/OL6498314M/From_Max_Weber

<https://archive.org/details/MaxWeberEconomyAndSociety> (1643 pages!)

Know your customer <https://www.austrac.gov.au/business/how-comply-and-report-guidance-and-resources/customer-identification-and-verification/customer-identification-know-your-customer-kyc>

Excessive data collection and customer databases - **treat everyone as a suspected money launderer/terrorist.**

Data Matching Technology

DSS, Teradata, Netezza, Austrac, ATO, SAS, computers, analysts - ethics sensible timely natural justice - elapsed time - the legal of concept of too much time has passed to accurately determine anything.

Technology advances and exceeds the capacity of the human brain to make appropriate and balanced use of it. The Rock (of certainty) becomes the tool for everything in the way the hammer becomes the dogmatically certain binary tool for everything until you break so many things AND you realize that there **might be a better way** - the **question you ask yourself**.

Statute of Limitations

This is part of the problem of the binary extremists using the All or Nothing **binary switch** depending on if it is themselves or someone else they are dealing with - Hypocrisy and corruption by those binaries in power. Not only **very old allegations** of crimes - way beyond any ability to determine any truth of the matter - but also all crimes across all time for all groups that an individual belongs to is held against the individual - usually determined as guilty and then having to prove themselves innocent with **“reparations”** (blacks, islanders, **declared victims**, etc) for **declared certain evils** of - “Murder”, “Rape”, “genocide”, “Slaves”, “Storms”, “Floods”, “Fire”, “Chaos”, “Uncertainty”, “mistakes”, “medicine”, “climate change”, “industrialization”, “Education”, “ Books”, “Modernization”, “Mining”, “Agriculture”, “Methane”, “Discovering electricity”, “Food”, “Clothes”, “Transport”, “Art”, “Sculpture”, “Painting”, “Songs”, “Language”, “Clothes” (cultural genocide), etc) . See my articles on my website - **Corruption - Binary Thinking - Prove You are Not A Criminal** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Corruption-Binary-Thinking-Prove-You-are-Not-A-Criminal.pdf> .

These **“revenge and reparation”** ideas are sponsored and endorsed by the ABC (*“kill all men” “Lets burn this place down - who is with me?”*), Guardian, feminists, politicians (Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Greens/Labour women, Andrew Barr, Andrew Leigh, Dan Andrews, Matt Keen, etc), women (on average- designated victim, quotas, targets, wages, houses, etc) and weak minded men.

entitled victim

The individual who belongs to the elites (**special victims/special heroes**) - those in power - is never held to account **or** the statute of limitations applies. The elites know this and protect each other using the statute of limitations. *"People have moved on from this" - "we have delayed thing so much that it is too late to examine this matter fairly (unless you are not one of us)" We are in charge of justice"*

So if you are not part of the in-group (heroes/victims) then justice is immediate and deadly in a draconian, Melbourne police, Melbourne and Canberra courts and law, Family court, Child support, ABC, Robodebt, Khan like way. A Rush to judgement

Laws throughout our country are reversing the statute of limitations - especially for white men - until it no longer applies (to white men). This has been done slowly over the years - like a slowly boiling frog - for each law - historical rape - historical ignorance - historical mining - historical group actions - "Stolen generation" (white man's fault - not white women) - *"Bloody Romans - what did the Romans ever do for us"* (The life of Brian - Monty Python).

The **permanent entitled victim** of the **permanent perpetrator** (groupthink, tribal, binary, zealots, etc)

This **certain "justice"** is not done as part of rehabilitation and learning - this is pure **revenge, resentment** and brutality while waving the certain "justice" flag to signal to the mob - usually the "designated victims" as a mob. A continuing bastardry and corruption by tribal abusive humans working in Monash university, ANU, ABC, politics - everywhere - to divide, abuse and play the victim/hero. Blacks and women identitarians do this all the time. The Jewish tribal identitarians have been doing it for thousands of years maybe even longer than women (on average). The Chinese are declared by themselves to be victims of the West - presumably to mean something that someone from the west did a thousand years ago to Ming *"How dare you!"*

Legislating For Simpletons

Most government organizations **struggle to understand and comply** with legislation. This does not seem to stop the legal scumbags, grafters and grafters drafting more complex, incomprehensible, authoritarian and draconian laws to inflict on its people through the arms of the police, courts and government organizations. Worse still - laws are passed by governments - in a way similar to tyrannical dictators - making private companies and households subject to the will of the government. Things like "Hate Speech" , "Offense" (18C) , "Emergency Mandates" , "Coercive Control" , "Domestic Violence" , "Financial abuse" (e.g. failing to fund your 7 year old child's desired sex-change operation) become prescribed in Law - which effectively means the family home itself is invaded by the government. Schools become hotbeds for legal intervention as well and children will play games with the laws of the country they live in. How to dress, what words are allowed to be spoken, how to interact with people, how to behave, etc becomes legislated.

Pushing boundaries and testing constraints are what children are supposed/aught to do.


Gender and Sex and how to be "good" and full of "Virtue" for causes **becomes legislated** and **patrolled** by "goodness and diversity police" who occupy many organizations now. Constant surveillance for potential crimes - be constantly afraid. This particular mass delusion is the idea that

the **GOVERNMENT CAN KEEP YOU SAFE. This is a widespread delusion.** The main thing keeping anyone safe is their ability to engage with other individuals on a human level - some might call this “society” or “community” - where individuals routinely engage in communication, cooperation, trust, see justice being done and see some sense of “sustainability”. The thing about this general model is that it **recognizes the Human Condition** - that people make mistakes but they can rejoin the community if they re-establish and continue trusted individual interactions - we can all potentially become **rehabilitated to humanity**.

The idea that anyone “should/aught” understand legislation has gone now - replaced by you need to pay someone lots of money (lawyers and other scumbags) to tell you what the legislation means. The grafters, grafters and non-producers of society - a bit like the “temple” caste and other elites who want to control everyone else’s lives and get paid enormous amounts of money to do so.


Most organizations I have dealt with lack the staff numbers or capability to understand very much at all about anything and this gets worse as more legislation is passed and grows in complexity. This is to be expected when feminist lawyers and other dim-wits are the tribal zealot types (Zali Steggles/Greens) drafting the legislation in the first place. **How would a binary know what makes “good legislation”?**

For example - many of the clubs I belong to actually do not comply with or understand most of the legislation that applies to them or have any general sense of the reason for it. As a result of this they tend to fall into patterns - like binary zealotry or the drama triangle and double down on their binary zealotry and in many cases personal tyranny and abuse of those who question or try to explore any issue. This not only happens in parliament - especially with the pig-ignorant (Orwell - Animal Farm) politicians - but also the ABC, courts themselves, police, ATO, DSS (Child support), Austrac - any government agency with a telephone “help line” or a “web site” with “support” and “advice”. Things tend to tyranny across the country and many organizations promote and encourage tyrants to shout down and abuse anyone who dares to question - like the ABC, other media and Politicians. In other words the tyrannical abuser and psychopath is rewarded and encouraged. We see this in people like Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Tony Burke, Mark Butler, Andrew Leigh, Andrew Barr, Canberra courts, Melbourne courts public service and Police - most the Greens/Labour types - not only the feminists binary zealots - but also the weak minded men and criminals seeking to further their own advantage at the expense of the people they swear to serve.

	At one end is the bare minimum rules and regulations enabling society to survive and prosper. At the other extreme is the excessive mandated legislation made by tyrannical idiots and smuglifunts and “do gooders” who have little idea about anything in the world. Solon understood this. Somewhere in the middle, then?
---	---

The tyrant and abuser becomes the model promoted - Paul Keating was especially entertaining for the mob with his attacks on John Howard, Gough Whitlam was no slouch in the tyrannical approach (he was an utter bastard to John Kerr and called out the vigilante Mob) and most women play the Passive aggressive permanent victim narrative as a permanent tyrannical abuse process which so many dim-witted men seem to fall for.

As a result the idea of humanity is - things like communication, cooperation, trust, justice and sustainability seem to get lost. The idea of the **individual interacting with other individuals is an idea of the west**. The idea that individuals can interact with each other without the state and legal intervention - potentially prosecuting a court case (as one Club manager said to me *"I treat everyone as a potential criminal"*) - controlling everything or recording everything on digital storage devices, number plate recognition, automatic detection of "crimes", guilty until proven innocent, on the spot fines, Vigilante media, mandated "papers", video and electronic records, prior assent, mandated agreements, coercive control contracts, state endorsed taking the knee ceremonies, permanent apologies, trigger warnings, offense registers (18C), language police, etc.



I am currently dealing with the corrupt and incompetent ACT Magistrates court and their staff - 4 years is long time with no help from Ombudsman, review boards, any of my elected representatives or interest by the media. This is not unusual or unexpected - no white man gets support in this tribal feminist dominated binary zealot society we are currently in. Principles are gone - **humanity is not even a memory of an idea**.

I am also dealing with some clubs in the area who I belong to who refuse to let me see their constitution (it is not publicly available) and abuse and ignore me for daring to ask. *"Why do keep my date of birth on your database - why do you need it?" "Because I said so!"* (said with a smug smile and that **deluded tyrannical narcissist** face that I have seen in Andrew Leigh, Robert Cook - and also too you will note the same stance in Chris Bowen as he struts with his arms back looking very much like a farmyard animal - like a chook pecking at all the things which irritate them or they want to devour - at that stage it looked like he was about to call the police on me)


You see these weak men puff themselves up - fill themselves with virtue and goodness so they can exercise their abuse and violence - they adopt an **intentional stance of violence** and attack on anyone who dares to question. Like Channel seven and their legally mentally ill staff did to the Woman investigating Men's issues and domestic violence **The Red Pill** by **Cassie Jaye** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Red_Pill . The TV station - all of its staff and presenters did all they could to personally demonize and attack a woman who had investigated the issue and **found things that she did not expect to find**. She found that the propaganda from feminists and people like the ABC was wrong that there was more to the story than drama triangles and victim narratives. Yet at the same time the TV channels represent themselves as full of virtue and take the knee to the cause of "women victims" and "other binary zealot causes" and celebrate their "goodness" - **not in how they treat all individuals** - but how they treat the "right kind" of humans.

I am seen as a "Perpetrator" and some of them have told me outright that they feel like I am attacking them and that they are a victim of my questions and they adopt a bullying aggressive stance - very much like that psychopath and bully Robert Cook of the ACT magistrates court did to me when I dared to defend myself. Also like Andrew Leigh when I dared to ask a question - both he and his staff attacked me for speaking (how dare I speak) - as they still do. As the ABC do all the time to all declared "bad" (me and white men in general, liberal or anyone who dares to question their blatant propaganda - "Always was always will be ABO land", etc) people.

So these deluded tyrannical narcissists are everywhere in society and they tend to become the little tyrant and bully in each organization. **MAKING MORE LAWS** - does not help - in fact it encourages the tyrant to become more draconian and things decay into a police state at every level - where homes are invaded (like the Hitler Youth) by the authoritarian state. Blue and Green tribes of roman times of the 12 emperors (Nero Caligula, etc). The ACT Magistrates court itself has ignored the rule of law and presumption of innocence and continues to abuse me and ignore me - like the rest of the politicians do. Obviously being dominated by feminists, narcissists and binary zealots - “for the cause” (themselves and their virtue) and other criminal types - what else can you expect? As an explorer and traveler in life - If you travel the roads between settlements you have to expect to be attacked and robbed everywhere you go - maybe even killed just for being there. That is what primitive societies are.

The Mass Delusion of Certain Good

Another binary switch technique is between the **All or Nothing** type of approach. Aristotle calls it the “Whole or Part” , “in a certain respect or absolutely” , “Particular/Universal” kind of idea and alludes to a lack of understanding of plurality. If a person makes a mistake in their life then **they are Bad**. If you make a mistake in life - you **did a Bad thing** - then all is forgiven and things move on. This is the Human condition - we all do bad things and we are **grateful** and **appreciate** to be able to learn from our own - but also other people’s - mistakes - doing bad things. If we **survive** doing a Bad thing then we learn. If we observe Bad things from others and learn then we **appreciate more** about Bad things. We can discuss and learn with others around us. Doing Bad things - as humans do from time to time (no matter how we choose to define “Bad”) - does not make you permanently a “Bad Person” - despite what the binaries say - despite the constant blame and guilt trips by the Mobs - despite the propaganda by the ABC, Schools, Universities and other permanent victim narratives - despite the hate and tribal divisive campaigns of the narcissists and binary extremists - despite the feminists, (women on average - quotas, targets, permanent victim narrative) the blacks and virtue signalers and other binary extremists.




We learn and get less Bad and more not Bad. See Meta Frame **10. Proportion**

<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/08-Humanism-Meta-Frames-Proportion.pdf> and **09 Humanism – Bad and Good**, Version 1, date 02/04/2019

<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/09-Humanism-Bad-and-Good.pdf>

Binaries struggle with this model and use the **binary switch technique** between **Group terms** and the **Individual**. For example - the individual's whole life - past, present and future is judged as **binary certain Bad based on one choice or action** depending of the judgement of the Binary Extremist/Mob. This technique was explored after world war 2 when Hanna Arendt examined the Nuremberg trials of the behaviour of individuals who performed some of the most atrocious acts in human history - as bad as the Khans, Christians (crusades), Japanese (Nanking), Muslims (everywhere) and other binary tribal zealot types throughout history. Hanna coined the term "**the banality of evil**" - which itself caused a mob uproar around the world. The unitary and binary thinkers (level 1 ("the mob united will never be defeated"), 2 thinkers ("are you with us or against us?Yes/No?" "Are you on the "right" side of history?") - mobs, tribes, extremists, women (on average - quotas, targets, wages, victim), etc) - were outraged that there was not a binary certain answer to where this "evil" was and that the idea that **evil** (Badness) **was everywhere in everyone** really upset those people who constantly deluded themselves - in a binary certain way that they were "Good" and "Not Bad" (simultaneously). The **deluded binary extremist narcissists**. They think at level 2 and fail to understand the Not, Not infinities or the ideas of the 4 box model (level 3 thinking) - or as **Aristotle** observed in **On Sophistical Refutations** "*because men lack the power to keep their eyes at once upon what is the same and what is different.*"
http://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/sophist_refut.1.1.html



The "others" are generally seen as the perpetrators/"potential criminals" or the "outsider" to the tribe and are framed by the binaries in to certain good/ certain bad or certain hero/ certain victim depending on how the binary tyrant feels at the time.


Much of Aristotle's work of sophistry is about the ignorance of the basics of the frame of information and logic - you can assert something and then linking that with other information like certain opposites and groups is **not understood as a technique**. "*The right way, then, is either to divide apparent proofs and refutations as above, or else to refer them all to ignorance of what 'refutation' is, and make that our starting-point: for it is possible to analyse all the aforesaid modes of fallacy into breaches of the definition of a refutation.*"

In this case the "Certain Good" opposes the "Certain bad" idea which is the "devil", "evil" and "evil" choices and actions. So the technique of finding a single fault with someone you want to attack is aligned with the Ad-Hominem attacks we see in binary types everywhere - especially in politics and the media where places like the ABC spend most of their time on political campaigns for "Certain Good" by "*poisoning the well*" and digging up the 'dirt' either for blackmail or for **public mob vigilante actions** by the rest of the binaries. Feminists, Communists, Fascists and other extremists do this.

A big Delusion is that they are themselves “**Certain Good**” - “**Certain Heroes**” - and that the ends justifies the means. (Lord Acton) People like Anthony Albanese says things like “*Whatever it takes*” - as an extreme statement - meaning destroying anyone who dares to question their insanity and delusion that they are “**Certain Good**” and “**Certain Heroes**”

'And we must remember that [Hitler and Mussolini's] faithful followers, among them the diligent executors of inhuman orders, were not born torturers, were not (with a few exceptions) monsters: they were ordinary men. Monsters exist, but they are too few in number to be truly dangerous; more dangerous are the common men, the functionaries ready to believe and to act without asking questions.' - Author(**Primo Levi**) Year[1975] Source_Document(Quotes) Keyword(Development Learning, Noticing Individual) [https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Primo_Levi#The_Periodic_Table_\(1975\)](https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Primo_Levi#The_Periodic_Table_(1975)) https://archive.org/stream/ThePeriodicTable-PrimoLevi/periodic-primo_djvu.txt


So we see the binaries hiding their corruptions under the guise of self or popularly **asserted Virtue** and **Moral superiority** and **Greater Good**. See **15 Humanism – Corruption – Nation Choice – Virtue**, Version 1, date 03/04/2020 <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/15-Humanism-Corruption-Nation-Choice-Virtue.pdf>



So to use some of Aristotle’s conceptual frames - Some of my absolutely asserted premises are:

1. I declare (ego, subject) there is such a thing as a thing (not-ego, object - initial self reference, recursion - declared is - thing declared)
2. This is such a thing a thing as same thing and different thing (Difference - Comparison)
3. There is such a thing as thing and Not thing (Not)
4. There is such a thing as infinity (repeated process - not,not, 1+, etc)
5. There is such a thing as definition and measurement used together

Where Aristotle explains the idea of “a certain respect” or “like” or “partially” - “similar” - we are starting to look at the continuum between two things opposing each other usually postulated as binary opposites. *“Those that depend upon whether something is said in a certain respect only or said absolutely, are clear cases of ignoratio elenchi because the affirmation and the denial are not concerned with the same point. For of 'white in a certain respect' the negation is 'not white in a certain respect', while of 'white absolutely' it is 'not white, absolutely'. If, then, a man treats the admission that a thing is 'white in a certain respect' as though it were said to be white absolutely, he does not effect a refutation, but merely appears to do so owing to ignorance of what refutation is.”*



While I take Aristotle's point regarding "*certain respect*" and the tendency of binaries thinking they have "*refuted*" something by operating at binary extreme levels - I think I would have emphasized that the binaries were failing to see a continuum and that terms like "like", "similar", "a bit", "some way", "in part" are there to help explore the continuum between the **absolute certain binary extremes**.

Notice: The comparison process is different to the declaration process. The **declaration process** - *This is an apple - these are the infinite nots of apple* - is a plurality curve of **measurement and definition**.

To declare something and to define something - **you have abstracted a definition of apple out from an infinity of things in your universe to declare it as an apple . This is a major process.**

The **comparison process** compares two things on a continuum - usually looking like a gaussian comparison still using the meta frame of measurement and definition. The comparison process does **not use the idea of NOT** its uses the idea of *somewhere in the middle then* - somewhere *closer or further away* - somewhere where **other continuums might be brought into the discussion** to talk about as well.


Aristotle calls these things *attributes* rather than *absolutely asserted premises (the declaration - the is)*. This difference is emphasized by Aristotle in many places.

- There is **such a thing as white** is **declared** - and it's not - is explored on the plurality curve as a definition and measurement of White.
- The **whiteness** (as declared) *attribute* of a THING is explored on the Gaussian curve.


This recognition of these **two different processes** is **something many people have trouble with** in conversation and debates. Usually people skip the first step of establishing the declaration sufficiently and proceed straight to comparisons. Advanced thinkers can recognize the two processes simultaneously and adjust the schemas of definition and comparison during the same discussion.

Aristotle tries to explain the difference and help people focus on each separate issue to improve communication and shared understanding. You will note that there is still a tendency for binaries to operate at the extremes on the comparison curve as well - definitely white/definitely not white - as a comparison technique which avoids the comparison continuum and makes binary statements. Draco , dogma, legal scumbags, etc.

Imagine the concept “Big” as declared. People **habitually skip straight to relative comparisons** rather than **explore definition** and Big no longer has a definition on it’s own - it is always an absolutely undefined attribute of a thing and **more things** compared on a continuum. **Relativism.**




To explain a bit more about this comparison technique - I can show you the number line **can be** put on the Definition X axis as a series of Defined things and Nots. 1 is NOT 2 and 2 is not 3 and 3 is not 4,etc



Another thing to highlight is that the simple processes of definition serve as a general model for many things. You will remember that when we talk about **definition** and **measurement** that we talk about both things at the same time - how something is **defined** and how it is **measured**. This is what we declare. This is the **basic duality**. So the definition of the concept of unity, the number 1 - is about as binary as you can get - this is 1 - this is Not 1. Then the addition process + and so on. **Measurement** immediately confronts us with **Precision** and **Accuracy** - which for units - again is a simple and binary as it gets - but for the continuum of the number line we are dealing with the infinities of measurement, *infinitesimals* - the continuum of measurement.

So the binaries are stuck in binary thinking and **declare that there is such a thing** (*absolutely asserted premise - Aristotle*) as **“Certain Good”** (their other main delusion). This is a historically difficult idea that humans have struggled with - especially the dim-wits, binary extremists, communists, religious zealots, women (On average - quotas, targets, permanent victims) and weak minded men. They cannot talk about definition and measurement so they become **tyrants of certain good**.

Humans have an idea of **certain Bad** - and that idea is under constant discussion - for example killing people you disagree with or do not understand does not seem to be (based on history) a good survival mechanism. Yet cooperating, communicating through the ideas of Bad and Not seems to be a better approach.



The other issue here which emerges is the idea of some kind reality - some balanced “Truth” which moves beyond 4 boxes and binary thinking into exploring the continuum through dialogue and debate. There is utility (John Stuart Mill) in hypothesizing (Socratic dialogues) about Truth even if the binaries are not up to it and want to shut down debate.

The idea of overcoming delusions - waking up - seeing the world as it is - noticing - observing - exploring. This could be an important “aught” (David Hume, Immanuel Kant).

Public Virtue Signaling - The Messiah Complex

	<p>The opposite of individual privacy is the grand public display. The need for the crowd of adoring fans. The eyes glaze over - reality disappears to be replaced by a fog of delusion. The sublimation of the ego to a deluded super-ego - the spoiled brat, the celebrity, the indulged, the unfettered child. The “Good” - Gods of certain “Good”</p>
--	---

Reality had by-passed their development - a lack of awareness of the human condition. Ultimate goodness manifested in themselves. Mary Wollstonecraft saw it in the women and men around her. Chris Bowen, world leaders - doing “great good” in a shared mass delusion and greed and corruption lifestyle.

In **Iain McGilchrist’s** work he would see these types as people with damaged right hemispheres of their brain. <https://rebelwisdom.co.uk/18-film-content/general/929-ian-mcgilchrist-we-need-to-act>
<https://channelmcgilchrist.com/home/>

I see it is a delusion and failure to see the world in some balanced (brain hemispherically) way. We agree on that - that brains have different emphasis and sometimes are damaged - his message is important - yet we differ because I am less “fatalistic” - in a **“certain destiny”** kind of way. Destiny and fate has far more to find from investigation from the maths, physics, science side - quantum

level and astronomical - and hence a general abstraction of the idea of “individual destiny” is tied into many more complex things. Fate, Chance, probability, uncertainty, choice, etc.

We are in a tyranny now - it is too late - the mobs control everything and the banal go along with it.

The **Opposite of Humility**, appreciation and gratitude. It may be **Schizophrenia** and disproportionality based on **excessive dogmatic certainty** and lack of integration (via a focus model - for example - comprehensive - cohesive) by an **over-active left brain** of **immediate narcissism** (*anger, self-righteous, disgust and denial*).

Individuals are quiet, silent and asleep to what is happening. WAKE-UP ZOMBIES!


Intuition and Imagination are dead! Call me Nietzsche if you like.

I understand everything Iain is saying and why he is saying it. Few of you will be able to get even close to having any idea of what he is talking about. Some of you will pretend like sophists - some of you will demonize like tribal feminists. **Most of you** will ignore and fail to understand the meaning of it.


Binary Switch Mob Brain - Destroying the Individual From Within

It has taken me some time to be able to focus on and explain how this works so you can understand. To do this I have had to explore many things and talk to many people and assess their reactions and responses while using my schemas and ideas. I watch others and read many things.

We see Andrew Leigh and Andrew Barr, Anthony Albanese, etc switch to that “Mob Voice” that I have previously described - they gather an imaginary “mob” around them when they speak and become aggressive, smug or morally superior. They gather an imaginary “force” around them - a constant supportive delusion - a warlike tribe ready to attack.




I have noticed this as I have spoken to some of the clubs around Canberra about privacy and my data being kept on their systems. Many Individuals - particularly the pumped up managers - the ones with the most expensive suits and haircuts - the ones with who carry a large ego around and inflict it on others - they seem to be the most abusive and aggressive - they seem to me to be at least as psychopathic as Magistrate Brewster and Magistrate Cook - a kind of pumped-up abusive posturing like we see in Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Andrew Leigh, Andrew Barr, Anthony Albanese, Mark Dreyfuss, Mark Butler, Chris Bowen and all the Greens/Labour women, ABC board management and Staff and Women in the media and universities generally (on average).



The Non-Tribal human is more authentically aware of themselves and their surroundings and are on a journey. The Smug tribal type tends to bullying and tribal mob attacks on anyone who threatens their certainty. **No question.**

Maybe deep insecurity and dysfunction - anxiety and fear - a failure to embrace being an individual and being responsible and accountable for their own personal behaviour without the protection of “the mob”. A failure to “launch” - babied and Mothered too much - a Nanny state - spoiled brats - complacent - Fat, Dumb and Lazy. Or maybe the most ignorant and mentally dysfunctional - yet these are the types who **generally seek help** not double down on their smug certainty. Who needs an **individual Telos** when the mob looks after everything? *Mummy can't I just keep playing the drama triangle - I love it so much - Yes, my Boy, stay my little hero for the rest of your life. Keep me "safe" - Until I decide to accuse you of "coercive control" and kill you.*



So to **become an individual** means **letting go of that child-like dream and delusion state** - the happy face disappears to be replaced by a more realistic and comprehensive view of the world which is integrated with self and reality. The smug safe cocoon of childhood falls away as one starts on the journey towards being something else - maybe an individual?

Carl Jung's **Individuation** idea can help with this - Scott Jeffrey helps explore - <https://scottjeffrey.com/individuation-process/> - becoming an individual in a non-binary sense - is not about “destiny” as such but is a form of development where multiple schemas are successfully incorporated into the ego - including overcoming the binary Good/Bad binary certainty. Yet the idea of “destiny” **may help** people as a starting idea for their journey.

You notice that the **individual me** seems to **have more to deal with** (the universe and self) than the **tribal me** (dealing with real or imaginary tribe). The individual Me seems to be able to step out of themselves and explore the universe and see towards themselves at the same time - a kind of awareness of the world around them and an awareness of the frames they are in.

You see that **Smug tribalness** in Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek and the mean girls, Greens/Labour types - they all **smile and nod at each other** (Chris Bowen smiles at himself as he speaks imagining how happy he and the rest of the tribe is - like Kamala Harris, like Penny Wong, Like Anthony

Albanese, etc) and reinforce **tribal feedback mechanisms** of **personal attacks on others** and **smug tribal rewards and supports for themselves**.

**I am not sure what “humanity” is but I am certain it is something other than absolutely “tribal”.
(me, now)**

Women/Blacks and other tribal identity types seem to always expect the “mob” to be on their side as a group and they recruit weak-minded men (heroes and virtue signalers) to join their tribe - that is to say - women (on average) cannot seem to escape mob think to become an individual. Few seem to be able to **NOT be tribal** - and hence are constantly biased and corrupt in any job in society they are in. And they puff up and stroke men who behave in the same tribal way and attack those who don't.

See **Women Lawyers – Corruption – Feminism – Debate** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf>

Jordan Peterson's **self authoring idea** might help people and maybe he is thinking around the same ideas as I am. I cannot tell because we don't discuss things I can only go by what he writes and says. When he is constantly asked about why men and boys seem attracted to his ideas - the point that seems to be missed is that women are attracted too. (Why not ask if Jews, Chinese and Muslims and all the other tribal classifications - if you are going to use binary terms?) But another thing to notice is that fewer women (on average) tend to **want to think** about these things. This is the really sad thing - that females - especially young girls - seem to really struggle with learning anything or taking responsibility and accountability for themselves and prefer tribal, abusive manipulation rather than authentic education and discovery. Scientists have to be “mandated” as quotas for women, Grants of money for scientific ideas have to be “mandated” for women/blacks/gays/trans/etc regardless how good their ideas are so they can **delude themselves that they are scientists** - and they believe this delusion -

They believe:

“there is merit in mandated groupthink and tribalness.” The word merit no longer means anything other than Tribalness.

Mary noticed this - as others have done throughout history. Maybe women (on average - quotas, targets) struggle more than men to reach individual maturity as a human and tend to (on average) stay stuck in a narcissistic deluded psychopathy of toxic man-hating victims and “common scold” we see throughout history. I suggest that Germain Greer was hoping that women would choose to become authentic human individuals rather than stuck in toxic tribal groupthinking abuse.

When I went to the local club to ask about privacy - as I have done for many weeks now - they refuse to meet or say that they will not meet. A kind of **permanent limbo** - neither refuse to talk or actually agree to talk. Instead I have to go back and keep asking. Now they bait me and get girls and little boys to say absurd thing to try to get a reaction - try to get me to become angry abusive so they can declare themselves the victim of my questions. The manager comes up to say he does not know - and things like *why don't you take up gardening or golf* - a kind of personal and constantly personally demeaning avoidance of dealing authentically with the question.

When I see the little girls start to adopt the victim language *"I am trying to help you"* I immediately say *"You are not the victim here"* and ask to see the manager so there are other witnesses. So three of them then explain how helpful they are by all not answering any questions or arranging a meeting or not arranging a meeting with the privacy officer. They all say now that the application form for membership - when you apply to become a member - that you must abide by the "Australian Constitution" and therefore refuse to give me a copy of the "Club's constitution". The little girl said "it's obvious isn't it?" This type of insanity occurs at other clubs as well where I ask to see the constitution or have tried to seek to have a discussion about privacy by following their own contact and discussion procedures that they proudly display. A Public delusion and blatant lie - a pretence - a statement of engagement - *we care and are listening - we want to assist our members*, etc. *"I have listened, I have answered, you have already met, the issue has been resolved, nothing to see here, why are you attacking us? etc"*

So every time I go in they have a new plan of personal attack and avoidance.

YET, there is hope. At several clubs I ask the same questions there are these young men lower managers - who do not immediately attack. These young men who listen - think and engage with the ideas - while not necessarily jumping to an aggressive or defensive stance. They do not necessarily solve the issue and many of them do not have authority to decide or Act - but at least they engage rather than demonize and attack.

Teaching women and blacks how to be tribal entitled victims. Fostering resentment and entitlement in primary school onwards and binary thinking - gender, blah.

Bjorn Lomborg, and many others, demonstrate that humans are generally living in the safest, best time on earth in history, Stephen Pinker before him - also did the same kind of analysis and reached similar conclusions. We **have never been safer and more secure** yet the **hysteria from women** and other tribal types and role players in the drama triangle - seems to be constant and unchanging. The **constant demand for attention** as the **permanent entitled victim is never ending from women** (on average). For Henny Penny https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henny_Penny everything is a catastrophe and an urgent crises - regardless of reality or facts. Narcissism psychopathy and **attention seeking victim narrative** dominates the drama triangle binary tribal types regardless of the reality of the world. A kind of permanently deluded state of mind which **no reality can penetrate**. The grafters and grafters (lawyer scum, politicians, ABC, etc) feed into this system

Causal demonization and tribal thinking - indoctrination and propaganda - repeating the narrative and slogans. Most women in the media repeat the narratives and propaganda given to them - especially as it ties in with the natural narcissism and victim idiotology. They repeat word salads and simple notions which **demonize men and boys** every day in every way without thinking. The **habitual propaganda** is more safe and smug than thinking.

Cooing and stroking the hero man - Scott Morrison, Anthony Albanese, magistrate Robert Cook, Deputy registrar Edwards, magistrate Brewster - all the feminists lawyers barristers and legal staff are always in full-on attack and biased mode against men. Lorriane Walker and the rest of the feminists in the Canberra legal system, Melbourne, high court, family court and other courts around Australia.

Forgiveness and Gratitude - the Human Condition

My partner gave me some ideas. Australia is virtue signaling a "Voice" for blacks as part of the historical tribal victim narrative - a racial based group to divide the nation. This idea could spread world wide. We know Fiji, New Zealand and America are fully tribal in their constitution and government - why not other countries as well? Britain could create a "voice" for british people and immigrants and other non-racial british could pay reparations for their invasions - especially those of Roman decent and the Vikings - the reparations could all be based on racial lines designed and legislated by a "**racial equality and reparations**" group run out of the United Nations headed by Chris Bowen (world leader of tribal "justice"). The same for Jews and Palestinians, Egyptians could have a separate voice for "Egyptians" and "others". The Mongols could assert their tribal specialness and the Han Chinese could be subservient and pay reparations. Is the current Chinese "dear leader" a Mongol - is he racially entitled to be the "Dear Leader"?

So rather than being grateful and appreciating your life you **could be** in a **constantly legislated mindset of grievance, hate and racial division**. Forgiving the human condition in general to work on "better" things. Maybe to do **something other than** wallowing in **entitled grievance**.

Why do you think it is a Good idea?

This must be one of the most difficult questions of all time. It invites the Fat, Dumb and Lazy human to think and thus expend energy. It asks them to collect their thoughts and ideas and mount some kind of argument and possibly engage in a debate. They might be proved wrong but they might learn something as well. *Why do you think it as a **God** idea or an idea of **God**.*

The tendency I have observed is that hardly any human answers this question at any stage during their own lifetime - not even in their own mind. They avoid it, double down and attack anyone who poses this question. Throughout history and written records I have explored - there are pockets in time and space where a few individual humans can do this but for much of time and for much of humanity it is not only absent but any re-emergence of debate is jumped on by violent and pig-ignorant mobs. (fascists, communists, Marxists, socialists, ABC, Feminists, binaries, zealots, Senate, Women (on average - quotas, victims, etc).

The Canberra courts will not respond to me. ABC will not answer this question. No politician will answer this question. 5 years of trying and nobody will answer the question.

It does not matter what words come after those 9 words.

"To be a bigot", "To be corrupt", "To give more money to women than men", "To kill people", "To lock people up", "To demonize people", "To steal", "To lie", "To abuse other humans", "To remove the presumption of innocence", "To be tribal", "To ban all meat", "To run propaganda campaigns", "To misuse public money", "to declare an emergency", "To eliminate all carbon", etc.

But even the Barbarian tribal chiefs were prepared to have some kind of discussion - so there is some kind of human who is worse than a barbarian horde. Those who cannot discuss anything - they kill and attack the question and the messenger.

A quick look at Jordan Peterson's recent youtube clip - "God Exists", Meaning, Service, Divine, 'Good', **The Question**, "No Good" equals belief, Death of "Divine"
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iozI992R_0Q

A point where Jordan Peterson and I disagree is positing things he values in the idea of "God" - **certain good** - a good idea. I posit the good idea - independently of the certainty he is searching for. Then **the idea** is to **discuss the idea** - not "where" the idea came from. **This is an idea of "the west"**.

Socrates - The Art of the Question

I realize now what Plato was showing about Socrates - he was explaining the art of Socrates but there is a lot more going on.

Socrates had reached the point where he realized that the best way to deal with the widespread ignorance and behaviours he was observing around him was to engage people personally with questions. So when he says **I know little - and I know that I know very little** - he is awake and aware of his own lack of knowledge and he observes many around him who seem to lack this awareness. **This is a powerful and repeated message.**

This level of awareness and being comfortable with ignorance but **still keen to learn** is a type of **continuing curiosity in the world** - rather than a smug complacency and a shutting down of questions.

*'I've finally stopped getting dumber (self anointed epitaph)' - Author(Paul Erdős) Year[1970?]
Keyword(Humanism Development Individual) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Erdős*

So then the question becomes - **why was Socrates asking questions and helping the youth to ask questions? Why was he curious?**

- Was he trying to embarrass people with "gotcha" type questions to attack them and belittle them?
- Was he trying to show clever he was?
- Was he trying to learn from others?
- Was he trying to demonstrate and teach people how to ask questions?
- Was he trying to show that asking questions helps people learn?
- Was he setting an example because that he thinks that is all we can do in the end?
- Was he denying that the god of certainty (binary) exists by asking questions?
- Was it "worse" to ask questions of the **Certain "Good"**
- Was he authentic or an evil manipulator of others?

Plato's Apology <https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/1656/pg1656-images.html> "Socrates is an evil-doer, and a curious person, who searches into things under the earth and in heaven, and he makes the worse appear the better cause; and he teaches the aforesaid doctrines to others."


"I came across a man who has spent a world of money on the Sophists, Callias, the son of Hipponicus, and knowing that he had sons, I asked him: "Callias," I said, "if your two sons were foals or calves, there would be no difficulty in finding some one to put over them; we should hire a trainer of horses, or a farmer probably, who would improve and perfect them in their own proper virtue and

excellence; but as **they are human beings, whom are you thinking of placing over them? Is there any one who understands** human and political virtue? You must have thought about the matter, for you have sons; **is there any one?**" "There is," he said. "Who is he?" said I; "and of what country? and what does he charge?" "Evenus the Parian," he replied; "he is the man, and his charge is five minæ." Happy is Evenus, I said to myself, if he really has this wisdom, and teaches at such a moderate charge. **Had I the same, I should have been very proud and conceited;** but **the truth is that I have no knowledge of the kind."**

I have taken my Chinese traveler, **Xuanzang**, around my Maps to verify places, roads and dates but most of the books talk about his observations about Buddhism. He learned from others everywhere he went - he stayed and studied all over India - where people were studying and learning so that he could learn. Once he had passed their tests he moved on to the next places to learn more. China was in famine and turmoil and things seemed wrong to him so he set out with some serious intent on a long a difficult journey through dangerous and deadly places to places of wisdom so he could learn.

In a place in Kamurapa, Bangladesh - he too calls himself ignorant - *modest learning* - yet he has given his life to find knowledge.

https://www.cs.usask.ca/faculty/osgood/Personal/IndianBuddhistSites/HistoricalAccounts/Vol%20%20Buddhist%20Records%20of%20the%20Western%20World%20siyukibuddhistre02hsuoft_bw.pdf
p195 223 Kamurapa "Forgetful of your own country, you should be ready to meet death ; indifferent to renown or failure, you **should labour to open the door** for the spread of the holy doctrine, to **lead onwards the crowds who are deceived by false teaching**, to consider others first, yourself afterwards ; forgetful of renown, to think only of religion (enlarge the law)." On this, with no further excuses, he hastened in company with the messengers to present himself to the king. Kumara-rajā said, "Although I am without talents my-self, I have always been fond of men of conspicuous learning. Hearing, then, of your fame and distinction, I ventured to ask you here to visit me." He replied, " I have only moderate wisdom, and I am confused to think that you should have heard of my poor reputation.



Sophistry

You are too stupid to know how stupid you are.

The idea of language is not the same as the language of ideas. (me, Now)

Aristotle might call me a sophist - which I think he is using the word in a demeaning way. People who pretend to know what they are talking about and teach others without having any real idea of the problems with their logic, reasoning, ideas, etc - a kind of pretended "wisdom"- the "**learned idiot**" - a shutting down of questions and a failure to understand. This is not the **authentic Fool** who does not pretend to be an expert. See **Zen and the Art of Golf** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Zen-and-the-Art-of-Golf.pdf> nor is it the **real expert** who realizes he understands very little after all.

These “sophists” were the teachers around at his time - so he obviously had time to examine and explore all the mistakes and pontifications. I need to examine his ideas and check them against mine. His contemporaries included: **Xenophon**, Archytas, **Plato**, Cyrus the Younger, Demosthenes, Menaechmus, Ptolemy I Soter, Seleucus I Nicator, **Alexander the Great**, Pytheas of Massalia - but also the Sophists and other intellectuals who flourished 150 years before Aristotle’s time Anaxagoras, Sophocles, Zeno of Elea, Pericles, **Protagoras**, Herodotus, **Gorgias**, Euripides, Artaxerxes I, **Socrates**, Thucydides, Democritus, Hypocrates, Aristophanes.

Aristotle had a lot of writing to be able to study and fellow thinkers to discuss things with in Athens. See the **Lyceum** [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lyceum_\(classical\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lyceum_(classical)) (Lat, Long) 37.97489, 23.74328

Aristotle is writing in a way that many of you will have no idea about. Firstly he has witnessed enough of discussions and debates to understand how people do things, he has participated in those discussions and explored many ways to help improve them, he is fully aware of how much he knows and does not know compared to those around him, he takes on a responsibility to write down his ideas and explain them to others, he provides multiple layers of abstractions and classification schemas to explore the idea, he provides examples from his time to illustrate the ideas, he provides narratives along with the classifications to help clarify his ideas.

These techniques may well have been second nature to Aristotle but they serve as an example to others to explore as a **meta frame of communication of ideas**. With Prior Analytics and Posterior Analytics he has taken on the complexity of humanity - seeking to abstract and communicate his schemas as best he can. He worked on this over his life to try to make it coherent and comprehensive. This was not the work of a teenage brat ejaculating immature outrage, tantrums and smug idiotic certainty.

On Sophistical Refutations By Aristotle Written 350 B.C.E

http://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/sophist_refut.1.1.html

*“Now for some people it is better worth while to **seem to be wise**, than to be wise without seeming to be (for the art of the sophist is the semblance of wisdom without the reality, and the sophist is one who makes money from an apparent but unreal wisdom); for them, then, it is clearly essential also to seem to accomplish the task of a wise man rather than to accomplish it without seeming to do so. To reduce it to a single point of contrast it is the business of one who knows a thing, himself to avoid fallacies in the subjects which he knows and to be able to show up the man who makes them; and of these accomplishments the one depends on the faculty to render an answer, and the other upon the securing of one. Those, then, who would be sophists are bound to study the class of arguments aforesaid: for it is worth their while: for a faculty of this kind will make a man seem to be wise, and this is the purpose they happen to have in view.”*

Proof, Refutation, Contradiction, Assertion, Exploration of ideas, belief, truth, form, expression, fallacy, didactic (unquestioned assertions) and dialectical argument (questioned and discussed),

*“Those that depend on whether an expression is used **absolutely** or in a certain respect and not strictly, occur whenever an expression used in a particular sense is taken as though it were used absolutely, e.g. in the argument 'If what is not is the object of an opinion, then what is not is': for it is not the same thing 'to be x' and 'to be' absolutely. Or again, 'What is, is not, if it is not a particular*

kind of being, e.g. if it is not a man.' For it is not the same thing 'not to be x' and 'not to be' at all: it looks as if it were, because of the closeness of the expression, i.e. because 'to be x' is but little different from 'to be', and 'not to be x' from 'not to be'. Likewise also with any argument that turns upon the point whether an expression is used in a certain respect or used absolutely. Thus e.g. 'Suppose an Indian to be black all over, but white in respect of his teeth; then he is both white and not white.' Or if both characters belong in a particular respect, then, they say, 'contrary attributes belong at the same time'. This kind of thing is in some cases easily seen by any one, e.g. suppose a man were to secure the statement that the Ethiopian is black, and were then to ask whether he is white in respect of his teeth; and then, if he be white in that respect, were to suppose at the conclusion of his questions that therefore he had proved dialectically that he was both white and not white. But in some cases it often passes undetected, viz. in all cases where, whenever a statement is made of something in a certain respect, it would be generally thought that the absolute statement follows as well; and also in all cases where it is not easy to see which of the attributes ought to be rendered strictly. A situation of this kind arises, where both the opposite attributes belong alike: for then there is general support for the view that one must agree absolutely to the assertion of both, or of neither: e.g. if a thing is half white and half black, is it white or black?"

(opposites and Not)

"Other fallacies occur because the terms 'proof' or 'refutation' have not been defined, and because something is left out in their definition. For to refute is to contradict one and the same attribute-not merely the name, but the reality-and a name that is not merely synonymous but the same name-and to confute it from the propositions granted, necessarily, without including in the reckoning the original point to be proved, in the same respect and relation and manner and time in which it was asserted. A 'false assertion' about anything has to be defined in the same way. Some people, however, omit some one of the said conditions and give a merely apparent refutation, showing (e.g.) that the same thing is both double and not double: for two is double of one, but not double of three. Or, it may be, they show that it is both double and not double of the same thing, but not that it is so in the same respect: for it is double in length but not double in breadth. Or, it may be, they show it to be both double and not double of the same thing and in the same respect and manner, but not that it is so at the same time: and therefore their refutation is merely apparent. One might, with some violence, bring this fallacy into the group of fallacies dependent on language as well." **(group from individual)**

The refutation which depends upon the consequent arises because people suppose that the relation of consequence is convertible. For whenever, suppose A is, B necessarily is, they then suppose also that if B is, A necessarily is. This is also the source of the deceptions that attend opinions based on sense-perception. For people often suppose bile to be honey because honey is attended by a yellow colour: also, since after rain the ground is wet in consequence, we suppose that if the ground is wet, it has been raining; whereas that does not necessarily follow. In rhetoric proofs from signs are based on consequences. For when rhetoricians wish to show that a man is an adulterer, they take hold of some consequence of an adulterous life, viz. that the man is smartly dressed, or that he is observed to wander about at night. There are, however, many people of whom these things are true, while the charge in question is untrue. It happens like this also in real reasoning; e.g. Melissus' argument, that the universe is eternal, assumes that the universe has not come to be (for from what is not nothing could possibly come to be) and that what has come to be

has done so from a first beginning. If, therefore, the universe has not come to be, it has no first beginning, and is therefore eternal. But this does not necessarily follow: for even if what has come to be always has a first beginning, it does not also follow that what has a first beginning has come to be; any more than it follows that if a man in a fever be hot, a man who is hot must be in a fever.

The refutation which depends upon treating as cause what is not a cause, occurs whenever what is not a cause is inserted in the argument, as though the refutation depended upon it. This kind of thing happens in arguments that reason ad impossibile: for in these we are bound to demolish one of the premisses. If, then, the false cause be reckoned in among the questions that are necessary to establish the resulting impossibility, it will often be thought that the refutation depends upon it, e.g. in the proof that the 'soul' and 'life' are not the same: for if coming-to-be be contrary to perishing, then a particular form of perishing will have a particular form of coming-to-be as its contrary: now death is a particular form of perishing and is contrary to life: life, therefore, is a coming to-be, and to live is to come-to-be. But this is impossible: accordingly, the 'soul' and 'life' are not the same. Now this is not proved: for the impossibility results all the same, even if one does not say that life is the same as the soul, but merely says that life is contrary to death, which is a form of perishing, and that perishing has 'coming-to-be' as its contrary. Arguments of that kind, then, though not inconclusive absolutely, are inconclusive in relation to the proposed conclusion. Also even the questioners themselves often fail quite as much to see a point of that kind.

Such, then, are the arguments that **depend upon the consequent and upon false cause**. Those that depend upon the making of two questions into one occur whenever the plurality is undetected and a single answer is returned as if to a single question. Now, in some cases, it is easy to see that there is more than one, and that an answer is not to be given, e.g. 'Does the earth consist of sea, or the sky?' But in some cases it is less easy, and then people treat the question as one, and either confess their defeat by failing to answer the question, or are exposed to an apparent refutation. Thus 'Is A and is B a man?' 'Yes.' 'Then if any one hits A and B, he will strike a man' (singular), 'not men' (plural). Or again, where part is good and part bad, 'is the whole good or bad?' For whichever he says, it is possible that he might be thought to expose himself to an apparent refutation or to make an apparently false statement: for to say that something is good which is not good, or not good which is good, is to make a false statement. Sometimes, however, additional premisses may actually give rise to a genuine refutation; e.g. suppose a man were to grant that the descriptions 'white' and 'naked' and 'blind' apply to one thing and to a number of things in a like sense. For if 'blind' describes a thing that cannot see though nature designed it to see, it will also describe things that cannot see though nature designed them to do so. Whenever, then, one thing can see while another cannot, they will either both be able to see or else both be blind; which is impossible.

Those that depend upon whether something is said in **a certain respect** only or **said absolutely**, are clear cases of **ignoratio elenchi** because the **affirmation and the denial are not concerned with the same point**. For of 'white in a certain respect' the negation is 'not white in a certain respect', while of 'white absolutely' it is 'not white, absolutely'. If, then, a man treats the admission that a thing is 'white in a certain respect' as though it were said to be white absolutely, he does not effect a refutation, but merely appears to do so owing to ignorance of what refutation is.

The clearest cases of all, however, are those that were previously described' as depending upon the definition of a 'refutation': and this is also why they were called by that name. For the appearance of

a refutation is produced because of the omission in the definition, and if we divide fallacies in the above manner, we ought to set 'Defective definition' as a common mark upon them all.

Those that depend upon the assumption of the original point and upon stating as the cause what is not the cause, are clearly shown to be cases of ignoratio elenchi through the definition thereof. For the conclusion ought to come about 'because these things are so', and this does not happen where the premisses are not causes of it: and again it should come about without taking into account the original point, and this is not the case with those arguments which depend upon begging the original point.

Those that depend upon the assumption of the original point and upon stating as the cause what is not the cause, are clearly shown to be cases of ignoratio elenchi through the definition thereof. For the conclusion ought to come about 'because these things are so', and this does not happen where the premisses are not causes of it: and again it should come about without taking into account the original point, and this is not the case with those arguments which depend upon begging the original point.

*It is, too, altogether absurd to discuss Refutation without first discussing Proof: for a refutation is a proof, so that one ought to **discuss proof** as well before describing false refutation: for a refutation of that kind is a merely apparent proof of the contradictory of a thesis. Accordingly, the reason of the falsity will be either in the proof or in the contradiction (for mention of the 'contradiction' must be added), while sometimes it is in both, if the refutation be merely apparent. In the argument that speaking of the silent is possible it lies in the contradiction, not in the proof; in the argument that one can give what one does not possess, it lies in both; in the proof that Homer's poem is a figure through its being a cycle it lies in the proof. An argument that does not fail in either respect is a true proof.*

*It is no true distinction between arguments which some people draw when they say that some arguments are directed against the expression, and others against the thought expressed: for it is absurd to suppose that some arguments are directed against the expression and others against the thought, and that they are not the same. For what is failure to direct an argument against the thought except what occurs whenever a man does not in using the expression think it to be used in his question in the same sense in which the person questioned granted it? And this is the same thing as to direct the argument against the expression. **(Ideas to be understood - as opposed to words trying to express an idea)***

10. Attacking the *expression* or the *idea*/thought - the pedant and time waster - instead explore the meaning of words and ideas are the same time.

11. Examinations - Yes/No - Binary **examinations** using multiple binary extremes - So then clearly the art of examining **does not consist in knowledge of any definite subject**. For this reason, too, it deals with everything: for every 'theory' of anything employs also certain common principles. Hence **everybody, including even amateurs**, makes use in a way of dialectic and the **practice of examining**: for all undertake to some extent a **rough trial of those who profess to know things**...These, then, are the types of *sophistical refutations*: and that it belongs to the dialectician to study these, and to be able to effect them, is not difficult to see: for the **investigation of premisses** comprises the whole of this study.

12. Staying on Topic. Distractions. *What has that to do with the original subject?* - Deliberate rabbit holes designed to induce fallacy and discredit the person... Moreover, argue from men's wishes and their professed opinions. For people do not wish the same things as they say they wish: **they say what will look best, (Virtue signal - hypocrisy)** whereas they wish what appears to be to their interest: e.g. they say that a man ought to die nobly rather than to live in pleasure, and to live in honest poverty rather than in dishonourable riches; but they wish the opposite. Accordingly, a man who speaks according to his wishes must be led into stating the professed opinions of people, while he who speaks according to these must be led into admitting those that people keep hidden away: for in either case they are bound to introduce a paradox; for they will speak contrary either to men's professed or to their hidden opinions. **Opposites - not binary mutually exclusive - false dichotomy** Some questions are such that in both forms the answer is paradoxical; e.g. 'Ought one to obey the wise or one's father?' and 'Ought one to do what is expedient or what is just?' and 'Is it preferable to suffer injustice or to do an injury?'

13 **Relative terms** - more or less - double/half

14 **Solecism** - Grammatical errors

15 **Concealment - Speed, Length, Outrage** - hiding ideas in long complexity and saying things quickly hoping they will not be noticed. E.g. if it were necessary to secure the admission that 'A man should obey his father in everything', ask 'Should a man obey his parents in everything, or disobey them in everything?' **Binary Extremes - the particular and the universal**

16 Critically examining our own arguments - with the help of others

17 **Clarity** of when being Refuted or not - ambiguity - the state of the argument

18 False Reasoning - **able to be disproved or not.**(Popper)

19. **Ambiguity and amphiboly** - expressions and meaning

20. Expression and **Emphasis** of phrases to change meaning

21. **Accents** change meaning

22. Passive/Active States - "Seeing" and "Seen" - **time dimension** in language

23. Using **Division** and **Combination** to explore meaning - splitting/joining - group terms - particular/individual

24. **Accident** Attributes ascribed to a thing - confusing meaning

25. The 4 box Model - plurality curve - declared is - **Is and Not as absolute expressions** 'Is it possible for what is-not to be? "No." But, you see, it is something, despite its not being.'

26. **comparing together the conclusion with its contradictory** - comparison - Gaussian with chosen contradictory ideas again the time and length dimensions are given as examples


27. **Begging The Question** - As to refutations that depend on begging and **assuming the original point to be proved**, suppose the nature of the question to be obvious, one should not grant it, even though it be a view generally held, but should tell him the truth.

28. **Binary switch** - The mode in which consequences follow is twofold.
Grouping/Particular(Individual) and Is/Not

29. **Granting additions** - **tested by subtraction** (Building an argument by addition of points and taking away points in different orders - testing ideas in different combinations)

30. **Single Ideas to be answered.** (grouping ideas) *To meet those refutations which make several questions into one, one should draw a distinction between them straight away at the start. For a question must be single to which there is a single answer, so that one must not affirm or deny several things of one thing, nor one thing of many, but one of one.*

31 **Abstract terms versus specific terms** - sufficiently detailed .e.g. "Knowledge" or my examples "nice" "good". One recent example with dealing with Clubs which collect your personal data - their privacy policy says - *we are required by government law to collect your personal data.* **Too vague** for purpose - another way of lying by using the focus model in a shifty way. Which law specifically - Which government. China, USA, Australia, Google, Canberra? Which government department, Which data - where exactly is this specified, by whom and for how long, where stored, etc.



32. **Solecisms** - Grammer errors - gender, human, things - expressions and meaning

33. **Argument patterns** - duplicate arguments - exploring the assumptions - the **premises**. *Just as it is possible to bring a solution sometimes against the argument, at others against the questioner and his mode of questioning, and at others against neither of these, likewise also it is possible to marshal one's questions and reasoning both against the thesis, and against the answerer and against the time, whenever the solution requires a longer time to examine than the period available*

Our programme was, then, to discover some faculty of reasoning about any theme put before us from the most generally accepted premisses that there are. For that is the essential task of the art of discussion (dialectic) and of examination (peirastic). Inasmuch, however, as it is annexed to it, on account of the near presence of the art of sophistry (sophistic), not only to be able to conduct an examination dialectically but also with a show of knowledge, we therefore proposed for our treatise

not only the aforesaid aim of being able to exact an account of any view, but also the aim of ensuring that in standing up to an argument we shall defend our thesis in the same manner by means of views as generally held as possible. The reason of this we have explained; for this, too, was why **Socrates used to ask questions and not to answer them; for he used to confess that he did not know.**

A New Archetype

Nihilism, nothingness, Chekov, F Scott Fitzgerald Great Gatsby - banality - emptiness - a lack of humanity. A Clockwork Orange, Catch-22 Leon Uris Mila-18. Samuel Becket waiting for Godot.

Emo songs - meaningless word salads rather than songs with meaning and message - Beatles "If I Fell in love with you" - a forthright message.

Dehumanizing - removing humanity and then laughing at the destruction of humanity around then puffing themselves up with deluded superiority - "let them eat cake", Fiddling while Rome burns.

I am unaware of a God of "nothingness" it might be Erebus <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erebus> - Darkness. **The Theogony of Hesiod translated by Hugh G. Evelyn-White [1914]** "From Chaos came forth Erebus and black Night; but of Night were born Aether (5) and Day, whom she conceived and bare from union in love with Erebus.".." But Menoetius was outrageous, and far-seeing Zeus struck him with a lurid thunderbolt and sent him down to Erebus because of his mad presumption and exceeding pride." <https://www.sacred-texts.com/cla/hesiod/theogony.htm> The darkness is presented as the opposite of light Night/Day - out of "the light" but it is not the emptiness explored by Russian authors. Maybe Faust's poem - the eternalness of struggles and repetitions?

This is **necessarily** an **uncertain archetype** to **counter the certain Good/Bad** binary.

Recent Investigations

Maps and historical travel narratives

Recent People

Afonso de Albuquerque - Lifespan[1543 to 1515] Born_Loc(Alhandra, Vila Franca de Xir, Kingdom of Portugal) Rank(80) Keyword(Merchant, Trader, Explorer)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Afonso_de_Albuquerque

https://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Afonso_de_Albuquerque <https://www.heritage-history.com/index.php?c=resources&s=char-dir&f=albuquerque>

Matthew Flinders - Lifespan[1774 to 1814] Born_Loc(Donington, Lincolnshire, England) Rank(80)

Keyword(Explorer, Sailor, Surveyor, Cartographer) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matthew_Flinders

<https://australian.museum/about/history/exhibitions/trailblazers/matthew-flinders/>

<https://encounter.collections.slsa.sa.gov.au/flinders/bio.htm>

Nicolas Thomas Baudin - Lifespan[1754 to 1803] Born_Loc(Saint-Martin-de-Ré, Île de Ré, France)

Rank(80) Keyword(Explorer, Sailor, Surveyor, Cartographer)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nicolas_Baudin <https://encounter.collections.slsa.sa.gov.au/>

Mary Wollstonecraft - Lifespan[1759 to 1797] Born_Loc(Spitalfields, London, England) Rank(30)

Keyword(Education, Learning, Philosophy, Humanism, Feminism)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mary_Wollstonecraft

<https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/3420/pg3420-images.html>

...” It is, then, an **affection for the whole human race** that makes my pen dart rapidly along to support what I believe to be the cause of virtue: and the same motive leads me earnestly to wish to see woman placed in a station in which she would advance, instead of retarding, the progress of **those glorious principles** that give a substance to morality. My opinion, indeed, respecting the rights and duties of woman, seems to flow so naturally from these simple principles, that I think it scarcely possible, but that some of the enlarged minds who formed your admirable constitution, will coincide with me.” ..” Contending for the rights of women, my main argument is built on this simple principle, that if she be not **prepared by education to become the companion of man**, she will stop the **progress of knowledge**, for **truth must be common to all**, or it will be inefficacious with respect to its influence on general practice. And how can woman be expected to co-operate, unless she know why she ought to be virtuous? Unless freedom strengthen her reason till she comprehend her duty, and see in what manner it is connected with her real good? If children are to be educated to understand the true principle of patriotism, their mother must be a patriot; and the **love of mankind**, from which an orderly train of virtues spring, can only be produced by considering the moral and civil interest of mankind; but the **education and situation** of woman, at present, shuts her out from such investigations.”

So what are the problems with education and the situation with women - we ask.

“Consider, I address you as a legislator, whether, when men contend for their freedom, and to be allowed to judge for themselves, respecting their own happiness, it be not inconsistent and unjust to **subjugate women**, even though you firmly believe that you are acting in the manner best calculated to promote their happiness? Who **made man the exclusive judge**, if woman partake with him the gift of reason?

In this style, argue tyrants of every denomination from the weak king to the weak father of a family; they are all eager to crush reason; yet always assert that they **usurp its throne only to be useful**. Do you not act a similar part, when you **FORCE** all women, by denying them civil and political rights, to remain immured in their families groping in the dark? For surely, sir, you will not assert, that a duty can be binding which is not founded on reason? If, indeed, this be their destination, **arguments may be drawn from reason**; and thus augustly supported, the more understanding women acquire, the more they will be attached to their duty, comprehending it, for **unless they comprehend it**, unless their morals be fixed on the same immutable principles as those of man, no authority can make them discharge it in a virtuous manner. They may be convenient slaves, but slavery will have its constant effect, degrading the master and the abject dependent.”

She is describing the **Tyrant** - the do-gooder - the virtue signaler - in this case it is the MAN/Hero - doing certain good for the perceived victim/woman - the chaste - the protected. The deluded binary tribal type. Remember, at this time there were only too many women ready to play this binary drama triangle game for their own means - Mary wasn't one of them. Education and an enquiring mind helped but that still does not overcome the binary extremist's drama triangle groupthink.

*“Let there be then **no coercion ESTABLISHED** in society, and the common law of gravity prevailing, the sexes will fall into their proper places. And, now that more equitable laws are forming your citizens, marriage may become more sacred; your young men may choose wives from motives of affection, and your maidens allow love to root out vanity.”*

*“The **conduct and manners of women**, in fact, evidently prove, that their minds are not in a healthy state; for, like the flowers that are planted in too rich a soil, **strength and usefulness are sacrificed to beauty; and the flaunting leaves, after having pleased a fastidious eye, fade, disregarded on the stalk, long before the season when they ought to have arrived at maturity.** One cause of this barren blooming I attribute to a **false system of education**, gathered from the books written on this subject by men, who, considering females rather as women **than human creatures**, have been more anxious to make them **alluring mistresses** than **rational wives**; and the understanding of the sex has been so bubbled by this **specious homage**, that the civilized women of the present century, with a few exceptions, are only anxious to inspire love, when they ought to **cherish a nobler ambition, and by their abilities and virtues exact respect.**”*

Mary is a humanist arguing for being **educated as HUMANS** not as *alluring* women. Education for all regardless of tribe. What we have now is the opposite of what she wanted - Women and Blacks are deemed SPECIAL in the education system - the very problem she was describing.

*“My own sex, I hope, will excuse me, **if I treat them like rational creatures, instead of flattering their FASCINATING graces, and viewing them as if they were in a state of perpetual childhood**, unable to stand alone. I earnestly wish to point out in what **true dignity and human happiness consists**—I wish to **persuade women to endeavour to acquire strength, both of mind and body**, and to convince them, that the soft phrases, susceptibility of heart, delicacy of sentiment, and refinement of taste, are almost synonymous with epithets of weakness, and that those beings who are only the **objects of pity and that kind of love, which has been termed its sister, will soon become objects of contempt.**”*

Mary observes the **permanent child/victim narrative** and how women fall into it.

*“The civilization of the bulk of the people of Europe, is very partial; nay, it may be made a question, whether they have acquired any virtues in exchange for innocence, equivalent to the misery produced by the **vices that have been plastered over unsightly ignorance, and the freedom which has been bartered for splendid slavery.** The desire of dazzling by riches, the most certain pre-eminence that man can obtain, the pleasure of **commanding flattering sycophants**, and many other **complicated low calculations of doting self-love**, have all contributed to overwhelm the mass of mankind, and make **liberty a convenient handle for mock patriotism.** For whilst rank and titles are held of the utmost importance, before which Genius "must hide its diminished head," it is, with a few exceptions, very unfortunate for a nation when a **man of abilities, without rank or property, pushes himself forward to notice.** Alas! what unheard of misery have thousands suffered to purchase a cardinal's hat for an intriguing obscure adventurer, who longed to be ranked with princes, or lord it over them by seizing the triple crown!”*

Mary notices the corruption, lies, dogma, totalitarianism, virtue signaling and cancel culture.

“Besides, nothing can be so prejudicial to the morals of the inhabitants of country towns, as the occasional residence of a set of idle superficial young men, whose only occupation is gallantry, and

*whose polished manners render vice more dangerous, by concealing its deformity under gay ornamental drapery. An air of fashion, which is but a badge of slavery, and proves that the soul has not a strong individual character, awes simple country people into an imitation of the vices, when they cannot catch the slippery graces of politeness. Every corps is a chain of despots, who, submitting and tyrannizing without exercising their reason, become dead weights of vice and folly on the community. A man of rank or fortune, sure of rising by interest, has nothing to do but to pursue some extravagant freak; whilst the needy GENTLEMAN, who is to rise, as the phrase turns, by his merit, becomes a **servile parasite** or vile pander."*

Mary understands the human condition and sees what is around her - the deception, the pretence the avoidance of reality based on manners and virtue signaling - **servile parasite** in my language is the **sentient pet of the feminists** (woof, woof). Andrew Barr, Andrew Leigh and the rest of the Greens/Labour. She is scathing, honest and direct in her assessment of what she sees around her. The idea that humans - regardless of their gender - can become embroiled in theatre - in displays - without connection to reason or reality.

*"It is the pestiferous **purple** (Troy, Helen of Troy, the colour of royalty, roman emperors, popes - the grand display) which renders the progress of civilization a curse, and warps the understanding, till men of sensibility doubt whether the expansion of intellect produces a greater portion of happiness or misery. But the nature of the poison points out the antidote; and had Rousseau mounted one step higher in his investigation; or could his eye have pierced through the foggy atmosphere, which he almost disdained to breathe, his active mind would have darted forward to contemplate the perfection of man in the establishment of true civilization, instead of taking his ferocious flight back to the night of sensual ignorance."*..

"Standing armies can never consist of resolute, robust men; they may be well disciplined machines, but they will seldom contain men under the influence of strong passions or with very vigorous faculties. And as for any depth of understanding, I will venture to affirm, that it is as rarely to be found in the army as amongst women; and the cause, I maintain, is the same. It may be further observed, that officers are also particularly attentive to their persons, fond of dancing, crowded rooms, adventures, and ridicule. Like the FAIR sex, the business of their lives is gallantry. They were taught to please, and they only live to please. Yet they do not lose their rank in the distinction of sexes, for they are still reckoned superior to women, though in what their superiority consists, beyond what I have just mentioned, it is difficult to discover.

The great misfortune is this, that they both acquire manners before morals, and a knowledge of life before they have from reflection, any acquaintance with the grand ideal outline of human nature. The consequence is natural; satisfied with common nature, they become a prey to prejudices, and taking all their opinions on credit, they blindly submit to authority. So that if they have any sense, it is a kind of instinctive glance, that catches proportions, and decides with respect to manners; but fails when arguments are to be pursued below the surface, or opinions analyzed.

*May not the same remark be applied to women? Nay, the argument may be carried still further, for they are both thrown out of a useful station by the unnatural distinctions established in civilized life. Riches and hereditary honours have made cyphers of women to give consequence to the numerical figure; and **idleness** has produced a mixture of **gallantry** and **despotism** in society, which leads the very men who are the **slaves of their mistresses**, to **tyrannize over** their sisters, wives, and*

daughters. *This is only keeping them in rank and file, it is true. Strengthen the female mind by enlarging it, and there will be an end to blind obedience; but, as **blind obedience is ever sought for by power**, tyrants and sensualists are in the right when they endeavour to keep women in the dark, because the former only want slaves, and the latter a play-thing. The sensualist, indeed, has been the most dangerous of tyrants, and women have been duped by their lovers, as princes by their ministers, whilst dreaming that they reigned over them."*

Mary is making very keen observations about the value of education and learning over moral posturing, performance, life experiences and opinions. It is worth reading her work.

Xuanzang/Chen Hui/Chen Yi/Hiuen Tsang/Yuan Chwang - Lifespan[602 to 664]

Born_Loc(Chenliu/Kaifeng municipality, Henan) Rank(80) Keyword(Explorer, Religion, Monk, Translator, Chinese) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Xuanzang>

<https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKewjYvait8rv7AhWH-DgGHRO5BqUQFnoECA0QAQ&url=http%3A%2F%2Fmurshidabad.net%2Fddl-zone%2Fhiuen-tsang-route-map.pdf&usg=AOvVaw3kRRu9hlqPniZ0ayjMGBZp>

https://ia802606.us.archive.org/8/items/siyukibuddhistre01hsuoft/siyukibuddhistre01hsuoft_bw.pdf

Vaclav Havel - Lifespan[1936 to 2011] Born_Loc(Prague, Czechoslovakia) Rank(60) Keyword(Politics, Poet, Humanism) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/V%C3%A1clav_Havel

https://openlibrary.org/authors/OL199115A/Va%CC%81clav_Havel

<https://quadrant.org.au/magazine/2022/11/vaclav-havel-on-defying-a-system-of-lies/>

William Camden - Lifespan[1515 to 1623] Born_Loc(London, England) Rank(30) Keyword(History, Education, Translator, Latin, Greek) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Camden

<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=eebo2;idno=B18452.0001.001>

<https://onlinebooks.library.upenn.edu/webbin/book/lookupname?key=Camden%2c%20William%2c%201551%2d1623>

Flavio Biondo/Flavius Blondus - Lifespan[1392 to 1463] Born_Loc(Forli, Romagna, Italy) Rank(80) Keyword(History, Archeology, Rome) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flavio_Biondo

<https://www.britannica.com/biography/Flavio-Biondo> https://archive.org/details/bub_gb_FFR-7q7czM0C

References

All extracts are public domain and/or for research, fair use, education, not for profit, public good, in good faith, my emphasis for discussion, no malice or injurious intent.

1. **Wikipedia** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page Larry Sanger and Jimmy Wales https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Founder_of_Wikipedia **Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0**
2. **Many Universities, government, museum, library and public websites**
3. **Internet Archive** - Internet Archive Founder, Brewster Kahle - <https://archive.org/>

4. **Project Gutenberg** - Michael Hart, founder of Project Gutenberg, invented eBooks in 1971 and his memory continues to inspire the creation of eBooks and related content today.
<https://www.gutenberg.org/> **public domain**

5. **Michael Stern Hart** (March 8, 1947 – September 6, 2011)



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael_S._Hart by Marcello GDFL 1.2

6. FFT Veritsum <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nmgFG7PUHfo>
7. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Afonso_de_Albuquerque
8. Cloves - spice wars <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/itinerario/article/junks-to-mare-clausum-chinamaluku-connections-in-the-spice-wars-16071622/250DC0C59B158AE56943AD42BEA6552C>
9. Singapore story <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-11432877/Singapore-schoolgirls-Ex-prisoner-Peter-Lloyd-tough-reality-faced-Bacchus-Marsh-Grammar-teens.html>
10. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georg_Christoph_Lichtenberg
11. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/A_Vindication_of_the_Rights_of_Woman
<https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/3420/pg3420-images.html>
12. Psychopaths - BBC article <https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20221111-what-its-like-living-with-psychopathy>
13. <https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/xuanzang.html>
14. Chinese Buddhism
https://ia802606.us.archive.org/8/items/siyukibuddhistre01hsuoft/siyukibuddhistre01hsuoft_bw.pdf, http://ignca.gov.in/Asi_data/11031.pdf
15. THE LIFE OF HIUEN-TSIAN <https://library.um.edu.mo/ebooks/b25788693.pdf> "After this the Sui dynasty lost the Empire, and the **whole kingdom was in confusion**. The capital became a rendezvous for robbers, and the Ho and Zo a resort of wild beasts. The magistrates were destroyed and the body of priests either perished or took to flight. **The streets were filled with bleached bones, and the burnt ruins of buildings**. Since the rebellion of Wang-tong, and dreadful riots of Liu-shih, when **massacre and ruin prevailed everywhere**, no such calamity had happened to the Empire. The Master of the Law, although he was young, yet understood thoroughly the nature of these vicissitudes; and so he affectionately addressed his brother and said : " Though this were our native city, yet how could we, during the present state of things, avoid death ? Now I understand that the Prince of Tang has repulsed the people of Tsin-Yang, and established himself at Chang'an. The empire relies on him, as on father and mother ; let my brother go there with me ! The brother agreeing with this advice, they went both together. It was now the first year of Wu-T6h.^ At this time the country was without regular government, and all the troops were under arms. **The books of Confucius, and the sacred pages of Buddha were forgotten, every one was occupied with the arts of war**. There were therefore no further religious conferences in the capital, and the Master of the Law was greatly afflicted thereat."
https://www.cs.usask.ca/faculty/osgood/Personal/IndianBuddhistSites/HistoricalAccounts/Vol%20of%20Buddhist%20Records%20of%20the%20Western%20World%20siyukibuddhistre02hsuoft_bw.pdf

https://ia802606.us.archive.org/8/items/siyukibuddhistre01hsuoft/siyukibuddhistre01hsuoft_bw.pdf

16. Brahman <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brahman>
17. Lotus Sutra https://encyclopediaofbuddhism.org/wiki/Lotus_Sutra
18. AUSTRAC Pubs and Clubs https://www.austrac.gov.au/sites/default/files/2022-03/AUSTRAC_RegulatoryGuide_PubsAndClubs_web_0.pdf (page 25) SMR If you don't have the person's identity information, include: • a description of the person • whether you have any video footage or photographs of the person • any additional information which could assist to identify them.
19. AML Rules - Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Rules Instrument 2007 (No. 1) made under section 229 of the Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006 <https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2022C00958> KYC information means 'know your customer information' and **may include** information in relation to matters such as:... **4.2.3** An AML/CTF program must include a procedure for the **reporting entity to collect, at a minimum, the following KYC information about an individual** (other than an individual who notifies the reporting entity that he or she is a customer of the reporting entity in his or her capacity as a sole trader): (1) the customer's full name;(2) the customer's date of birth; and(3) the customer's residential address.
20. Silk Road texts <https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/texts.html>
21. ON YUAN CHWAIG'S TRAVELS IN INDIA
https://www.rarebooksocietyofindia.org/book_archive/196174216674_10153425489631675.pdf
22. <https://quadrant.org.au/magazine/2022/11/vaclav-havel-on-defying-a-system-of-lies/>
Vaclav Havel
23. **Unherd - The rise of Archaeologists Anonymous** Censorship is driving dissident researchers underground BY Stone Age Herbalist <https://unherd.com/2022/12/the-rise-of-archaeologists-anonymous/>
24. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Compton_Mackenzie
25. <https://channelmcgilchrist.com/home/> "Even if we could, by some miracle, reverse the course on which we are set, unless we change our way of thinking, of being in the world – the way that is destroying us as we speak – it would all be in vain. This is why I have written the last long book I will ever write: *The Matter with Things: Our Brains, Our Delusions and the Unmaking of the World.*"