

An Architecture of Ideas

Author: Jonathan Pearson

Version: 0

Date Started: 30/09/2022

Date First Version: 31/10/2022

Date Updated: 31/10/2022

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Framework	2
Population	2
Questions	2
Initial Conditions	2
Self reference	2
Introduction	2
Preamble	2
Sympathy and Empathy	9
“Blacks are Disadvantaged”	19
Binary Certain Good and Bad	26
Questions to Ask before a Debate	34
The Not Not - Not for Self, Not for Others	36
Adult Development - something to strive for	36
Useful Hierarchies of Abstraction	36
Sufficiency or Optimized	42
An Encouraging Word - Hope Springs Eternal	42
Cohesion and Comprehensiveness - Sufficiency	47
Debates - Recording Both Sides of a Debate	50
Jordan Peterson’s “A” Conservative Manifesto	58
Strategic Risk - Ignorance	61
Data Collection and Privacy	62
Principles	62
Problems	63
Some Background	64
Privacy links	64
A Story of Barbarian Brutality	67
A Story of Alum	68
Recent Investigations	70
Recent People	70

Recent Documents.....	72
References	77

Framework

Humans, Development

Population

Humans, Groups, Individuals

Questions

1. What is an idea?
2. How do ideas relate?

Initial Conditions

Group, Individual

Self reference

Individual, Group

Introduction

When people stop talking then they start fighting.

Preamble

Still under mandated emergency laws - politicians lying all the time - corruption rampant - money being printed - all values in chaos. Make no mistake about the lies and corruption of Politicians and the Media. Power and Greed is what drives them - not community or nation. They delude themselves that they are doing "Good" (the cause, the greater good, then ends justifies the means, sunk cost - can't stop now, etc - See Putin, China, etc). Take for example Tanya Plibersek who has been a politician for many decades. As a Green/Labour type, she plans everything she does and says. She consults widely amongst her network of supporters - the "movement" (public service, feminists, office of women, ABC, etc) - for things to do or say next to get publicity. So when she called Peter Dutton "Voldemort" - this was not a *slip of the Tongue* - this was a deliberate strategy which you could then say "oops" "I apologize" having already **planted the idea widely in public in prime-time TV** hoping it sticks. This is the KIND OF **PRE-PLANNED BASTARDRY** that the greens/labour do ALL THE TIME. They rely on dim-witted binary tribal mob response and snickers, sneers and cheers from Mobs (women on average - quotas, targets, wages, victims, etc), children and weak minded-men. Penny Wong does this all the time (abusing Kimberly Kitching) - they even do it to their own political party members who show any sign of intelligence and lack of obedience to the "Mob" - the "Mean Girls" - "Us/Them" in binary mob type brutal and abusive ways.

But women get away with - and expect to escape responsibility and accountability - by saying 'I apologize' - for men though, they are hounded, cancelled and called before courts - a simple 'I apologize' is not enough. Large scale public funded government lead propaganda exercises and ABC

and other media lead campaigns about how men are evil and **must be held to account** are run every day. So men are fully and totally **responsible** and **accountable** for everything but women never are. Penny Wong can be abusive and narcissistically manipulative as she likes - and if anyone calls her out all she has to say is "I Apologize" - *"I told you - I apologized to her, I have already apologized for that"*. Women can lie in affidavits in court, make false allegations against anyone they want, claim victim status and manufacture completely deliberately false narratives delivered straight at the camera and judges and they expect no consequences - if fact - they **expect to be rewarded** for trying to "call out evil in others" - as a kind of constant virtue signaling judging moral campaigner for the **"Greater Good"** - a common scolder - like activist children "saving the planet from evil (men)". Or if they are politicians and health officials "saving us" they say *"I said the **necessary lies** - the lies that were necessary to convince people to do what I want"* - in a narcissistic tyrannical self-possessed virtuously deluded way.

So when Tanya Plibersek steps up to the public Forum to announce that she wants to bring ISIS brides and their children "back home" - it is a deliberate strategy. It has been thought through to distract, to change the debate, to focus on women "and their children" - to repeat the victim narrative and to make everything about women all the time. Bejelke-Peterson called the narratives he released to media as "feeding the chooks". Which is what Greens/Labour do - they always talk about "Women" "Blacks" and "Victims" all in the same set of words - all the time. They do this deliberately - it is their strategy for "the cause" (themselves - heroic "causists" - virtue) - it is their intent to repeat the same group/mob/binary victim narrative over and over until it becomes ingrained in the schools, population, universities, courts, media and politicians - the **constant repeated narrative**.

You see this with Jim Chalmers, Chris Bowen - all the Green/Labour types - they just repeat narratives "It's a Good budget", "It is necessary", "we have a plan", "You will be happy", etc as constant propaganda exercises - rather than engage with any kind of reality or truth - let alone a debate. They are completely deluded and their "truth" is their propaganda - anything they declare - their words are "truth". For example Tanya loves "saving" certain victims - yet Julian Assange has been **declared** as **guilty of telling the truth** - exposing the truth - and is being demonized for it - yet women who kill, and enjoy killing and tearing down society and throwing their back on Australia and the values of truth and justice and debate - are declared "victims" and to be rewarded. - But this is how the Labour Party operate - virtue signaling for their tribal causes. Not only hypocrisy but a deep tyrannical incoherence and abuse of "Truth".

Now feminists and binaries love attacking individuals - judging, kicking the boot in and feeling morally superior all the time. This is because **most people** have deep insecurities (see Erich Fromm and Jean Piaget - Basic needs) and **form into tribes** and mobs with ever more binary certainty. In the development scale this is the under-developed human being - they are fully controlled by others and their own narcissism (self, glory, virtue, shame, pride, mob, etc) See **15-Humanism-Corruption-Nation-Choice-Virtue** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/15-Humanism-Corruption-Nation-Choice-Virtue.pdf> Think Barbarian hordes. The Human condition.

So the feminists immediately grab on to the tribal message delivered by Tanya Plibersek - commentators immediately talk about how women are victims and boys are awful. Gossip keeps women (on average - wages, mobs, quotas, victim narratives, groupthink, etc) busy - rather than

working for a living. The man-hating bigoted feminist - Peta Credlin - declared that “teenage boys” become radicalized by ISIS yet somehow magically fails to recognize the “teenage girls” can become radicalized - in her **binary tribal hate filled** way. Women are declared as victims of boys because they do not have accountability or responsibility for anything they do or say - only boys and men have “Agency” according to Credlin (in this way she declares her hate of women as well - women, according to Credlin - are **too stupid to be responsible** for their own choices - like Blacks, etc) - CHEERING ON AND LAUGHING AS SOMEONE IS BEHEADED (Mean girls, mobs, BLM, cancel culture, mob vigilantism, etc) is **not something girls/black/victims should be held responsible for**. As a habitual man-hating binary “groupthink” feminist, Peta Credlin always **sees everything about “Women”** and **causally demonizes** “Teenage boys” on a regular bigoted basis (like many across the world in the media). It is her easy habit - like Tanya Plibersek’s habit of abusing people she doesn’t like (Craig Kelly, Petter Dutton, etc) or like Dan Andrews habit of calling out the mob on individuals and say “*We are all good - those others are evil - who is with me? ,the great one, the dear leader*” every time he speaks. **CALLING OUT THE MOB**. Like Ita Buttrose and all the other feminists and man-haters - they always casually and habitually tribalize and demonize men and boys publicly - all the time. Like Schools, the ABC, public service, courts, etc. Yet even if Peta Credlin (and the ABC and many others in the media and public forums) understood the impact of their public words - **they would still not choose their words carefully**. SO SELF-possessed and **full of themselves** and their own narcissism and “Virtue” the **idea** of moderating their words used in large public forums and **NOT calling out the MOB** would, and will not occur to them. They see themselves as “Good” - See **Virtue Signaling the Drama Triangle** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Virtue-Signaling-the-Drama-Triangle.pdf> Peta Credlin agrees in MOBS (TARGETS FOR WOMEN - corruption, discrimination (in the “right way” - “*I am the right kind of hypocrite*” (Good)) because she deludes herself to think she is some kind of virtuous hero for the “Cause” of “Victim” (WOMEN). 60% women in the public service due to constant legislated discrimination against men is not enough for Peta. The Office of women is not enough there is “*Still more Work to be Done*” (*always was always will be*) to become more bigoted, corrupt and divisive. So Credlin, Plibersek, Wong, the Greens/Labour types, binary extremists, religious zealots, women (on average - quotas, targets, wages, victims), weak minded men and underdeveloped children - have a lot in common. Sky now promotes feminist books and their authors (Like the ABC regularly do) who claim that women don’t make false allegations of rape or violence - **in fact** its “*less than 2%*” (Robinson’s daughter) on 21/10/2022 - **they claim** as “**expert feminist man-hating barristers**” (who go on to become judges in all the courts) so therefore **violence is gendered** and situation and complexity can be reduced to **binary certain** Gender in a “*Kill all men*” kind of mob justice vigilante way. These bigoted corrupt feminist legal women - that Sky (Chris Smith), ABC and “the Project” fawn over - inhabit all of the courts, the SSAT, the legal services and especially the family court system - all working to demonize, undermine and attack men as a group from their positions of power. People like Wendy Styles in the family court system are a *dime a dozen*. See this open letter by incoherent barristers and legal types about how much women are victims of men. **Women Lawyers – Corruption – Feminism – Debate** *in this I use an open letter by female lawyers to explore debate and arguments using all my models. Using frame and models helps make some assessment of the quality and type of the argument and discover biases and questions which can then be explored to discover why people are so biased and corrupt* <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf>

Women corrupt the courts and court systems - making false allegations - protecting each other as judges and public prosecutors, “human” rights” groups, Charities, corrupting the legislation as politicians and corrupting trials as Jurors by breaking the law. Corrupting all systems - universities, business,, media and public service with constant tribal hatred of men and constant corruption of jobs, grants, money , awards and promotions all for women and declared blacks and women instead of better qualified men - in ANU and all universities - this is mandated and driven from the Budget - Office of Women - constant bias and tribal narratives around women around lies and propaganda of the “permanent victim” (wages, domestic violence, 18c “Offense”, averages, etc) narrative and groupthink of the binary tribal zealots who run most things now. Schools, universities, legal systems, politicians and media all corrupted by women with their sentient pet tribal binary virtue signaling men with other tribes (Blacks, etc) coerced or bribed with public money to join in the full on tribal warfare. **Society is in full breakdown now** with rampant tribalism and destruction of legal, education and public services with fully corrupt tribal lying politicians stealing as much as they can get away with as society crumbles around them. They value trinkets of “sparkle” and “awards” - **no value of humanity** in them. No point buying a bunker is New Zealand’s south island - that’s gone even more tribal and in total disarray.

There is no shortage of man hating feminists (bigots, haters, dividers, abusers) in politics, media, universities, courts, public services - not only Greens/Labour types but people like Amanda Stoker - who is also a bigot and supports groupthink and corruption (by spending public money motivated by electioneering - Aboriginal Flags - her declared idea of “Greatest Good”). If she gets re-elected she will be minister of “Women and Aboriginal Flags” so she can give more public money to women and blacks for “the cause” - herself, her blatant and obvious vote-buying corruption, her appealing to the mob, her groupthink, her victim narratives, her virtue. There are not enough Abo flags flying at the moment - Amanda will make sure every bridge, school, university, ABC broadcast, TV ad, shop, government building and public road flies the taxpayer funded Abo flag all the time - *“Coming to you from the land of “abos” supported by the ABC and Amanda - always was - always will be”*. All this will reported in a separate Budget paper under the *“For Women and Abo Flag Wavers”* special tribal booklet collection.

<p>Focus</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Self</td> <td>← Scope →</td> <td>Universe</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Emphasis</td> <td>← Coverage →</td> <td>Omission</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Simplicity</td> <td>← Usability →</td> <td>Complexity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Immediate</td> <td>← Time →</td> <td>Future</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Specific</td> <td>← Abstraction →</td> <td>General</td> </tr> </table> <p>↓</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Coherent</td> <td>Comprehensive</td> </tr> </table>	Self	← Scope →	Universe	Emphasis	← Coverage →	Omission	Simplicity	← Usability →	Complexity	Immediate	← Time →	Future	Specific	← Abstraction →	General	Coherent	Comprehensive	<p>Tanya chose her words very carefully - they were designed and she remembers how to say them and repeat them. She does not have conversation - she repeats memes and narratives. A script, a performance. You see many people do this. She first said “some women were coerced”. Now she said this quickly and as part of a longer sentence. It was her “get out” clause. (I never said/did that - a legal scum-bag lie) The intent was, though, to insist that these women and “their children” (as a group) are innocent victims of males - of men who joined ISIS. Peta Credlin and others fell for this deliberate and calculated lie - emphasis and omission. You see it is not an open debate or analysis - it is propaganda and coercion - appeal to sympathy and virtue (for women - not men)</p>
Self	← Scope →	Universe																
Emphasis	← Coverage →	Omission																
Simplicity	← Usability →	Complexity																
Immediate	← Time →	Future																
Specific	← Abstraction →	General																
Coherent	Comprehensive																	

Tanya Plibersek is deliberate and repeated liar. She lies by deliberate omission of the truth - she knows all the ages of the ISIS brides. Like the rest of the Greens/Labour types. *What Aldi bags of Chinese Cash? What red Shirts? What Melbourne Hotel Quarantine decisions?* The Labour/Greens party is run like a large scale crime syndicate - fully corrupt and not responsible or accountable for anything they do or say.

If one ISIS bride was aged 15 when she went to kill people - then ALL ISIS brides are “victims” (of evil men) - feminists victim narrative. ***Believe all women, all women are victims. The 15 year became older and killed and cheered on killings for years - many years into adult hood.***


Nearly All the ISIS brides were adults when they went, adults while they were there, enjoyed and participated in killings and torturing people and deliberately breed as fast as possible so they could make children to make a new Caliphate. If one ISIS bride was 15 when she went - then that is an EXCEPTION not the RULE and it also not BINARY and GROUPEd. It is a bit like one woman was a victim then all women are victims. One man is a perpetrator then all men are evil. Tanya knows this lie and does it every day of her life (like the rest of the binary zealots in Greens/Labour)- she does not tell the truth - and when called out - she personally attacks anyone who dares to question - like all the “mean girls” and tribal hatred filled women and weak minded men in the Greens/Labour party. Every day they corrupt all things - Office of Women, Discrimination Act, public service appointments, Quotas, systems, budgets, court processes, media, universities, schools, everyday - in every way they can.

Tanya is pushing the idea that **WOMEN ARE NEVER RESPONSIBLE OR ACCOUNTABLE** for what they do. Like Penny Wong “I apologize” or “oops” I have changed my mind. Or I like making False Allegations, it keeps me amused (Family Court, Domestic Violence). ***Women are always Victims and never Perpetrators.***

The **technique that binaries use** to **switch between** individuals and groups is part of the **binary switch mechanism** as a habit to treat people as **individual** victims - which then become **group** victims (if any women was raped then all women are raped “no-one is safe until we are all safe” - “if any woman was coerced - then all women are coerced” (see new laws on coercions), “believe all women” “(All (certain binary)) “black lives matter”) - from **individuals to group** - and the opposite direction from **group to individuals** - group perpetrators “**Pure Evil**” which goes from the group identity “bad people” to **certain named individual** humans to be targeted by the mob for abuse. This is a Binary certain switch mechanism related to the Drama triangle. **Single Bloody minded Certainty** - a **technique of the binaries**. See **What Am I Missing to 1300 continued** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/What-Am-I-Missing-to-1300-continued.pdf> (NB page 35) for more on binary switch and the drama triangle.

This **technique of constantly lying** that the Greens/Labour do “Abos were the first scientists” I heard some idiot in parliament say the other day - is deliberate and constant and the ABC, Guardian, etc support the narrative telling - the story of princesses victims, feminists, blacks, etc (See **Sympathy and Empathy** below in my document). A kind of virtue signal to victims of the drama triangle (binary groupthink). Now one extreme of this technique we can see in Chris Bowen - who wants everyone to scrap their cars and replace them with electric cars because it’s a “Good Idea”. Any idea he has is automatically abstracted and emphasized to be “Good”. Now what Bowen and the other feminists and green/Labour types - binary zealots, man- haters, tribal mobs, extremists , etc - is declaring that

GOOD is **what ever they say** and that the **very idea of debate** and exploration of ideas is BAD. See **What were you thinking 1300 to 1400** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/What-were-you-thinking-1300-to-1400.pdf>



Greens/labour tyrannical idiot **pre-mature ejaculation of "virtue"** types like these sit around having "Good ideas" - "How will I save the world today?", "What do feel like doing today?" and if they run a business - "How can I use all the personal data of customers I have collected to my advantage?". The ideas of **principles, sufficient reason and debate** - have **not penetrated** their narcissistic self obsessed minds. Their **Mirrors of Delusions** is all they see from their caves of certainty - certain "Good" (themselves).

But let us look at Penny Wong's attack on Kimberly Kitching - the idea that someone who does not have children would not know how the "World should be" or what is needed for the future of humanity (Penny is "saving" humanity itself - her grand delusion). Let us face reality - if the future of humanity was man-hating lesbians - there would simply be no future for humanity. The idea that Lesbians know how to "raise" children and that **we should all wave flags of joy (in a tribal mob way)** that **we tolerate (as a nation and community - tolerance of others** is a an IDEA - an **idea of the West)** these variations and "celebrate" **their (the mobs) diversity is absurd**. This is the **binary switch mechanism** from group to individual and back again. The **nation as a group tolerates difference**. The narcissistic then says "Look at me - I am tolerated". Then they say in a psychotic narcissistic deluded way - 'I am **CERTAIN Good BECAUSE I Am Tolerated (by the nation** is removed from the idea)". "Bow down before my certain goodness - me and my tribe - those who are certainly tolerated" -

"Take the knee to me (Be **Good**) on how well our nation (you) tolerate me and my mob". This is the tribal ceremonies (Smoke, welcome to country, gay flags, white ribbons, Abo flags, respect and other bullshit that these dimwits demand in a Tribal certain way. "Respect My tribe and bow down before me because - You (the others) or We (the nation) are tolerant of how diverse I (and my mob - my tribe) am" "Be a **slave to the great GOOD of tolerance you have of me - the certainly diverse**" - Without me being a man-hating lesbian tribal smuglifunt - you would have nothing to celebrate your **CERTAIN GOOD NESS of "Tolerance" with!!!!**

But Julia Gillard did not have children - by Penny Wong's argument/slur how would Julia Gillard know what the future should be for our children - given that she did not have any? How would Julia Gillard know how children need to be educated?

But Julia Gillard felt no hesitation in declaring the "Melbourne Declaration" on education of children about Blacks and Women http://www.curriculum.edu.au/verve/resources/National_Declaration_on_the_Educational_Goals_for_Young_Australians.pdf which is essentially a bigoted, activist, black propaganda piece - signed by childless **Julliard Gillard** and **Andrew Barr** a promoter and supporter of Legalizing drugs, Sentient

Animals, mandated criminal proceedings, orders made ex-parte and positive discrimination based on tribal identity - white ribbons and black flags. **Where is Penny Wong's personal slurs and attacks on them?**

*"This heightens the need to nurture an appreciation of and **respect for social, cultural and religious diversity**, and a sense of global citizenship"* - **compare this with the RESPECT campaign which is about respecting "Girls" (not boys - maybe boys are not diverse enough?)**

*"In particular, the development of partnerships between schools and Indigenous communities, based on **cross-cultural respect**, is the main way of achieving highly effective schooling for Indigenous students."* - Really?? - I don't think the Black Greens/Labour women respect "culture" at all let alone "Australian culture".

"Increase Indigenous participation in the education workforce at all levels;" **bigoted discrimination - corruption - nepotism - not merit**

But of course Albanese reckons he knows how the world should be and he will pile into the "education" and "ABC Constant Messaging" propaganda.

The religious types are up in arms that a man (**an individual**) was expelled from a job at a football club based on religious beliefs **ascribed to a group he belongs to**. Commentators are outraged and call it *"un-precedented"* - yet on a daily basis men and boys are constantly legally discriminated against (in legislation, courts (family court, domestic violence laws, sentencing for crimes, etc), public service, schools, etc) - in plain sight - every day - all the time - as a habit - yet **not a word** is spoken in outrage about that. But this also needs to be juxtaposed with the ABC Black employees who appear on ABC and then appear on the project expressing their personal opinion about Blacks and victimhood and stirring up tribal divisions and personal attack - and then be expected to go back to ABC to do their job "Impartially". So on one hand a person who is associated with a group of people of which one person expresses a tribal view that other tribes disagree with - is cancelled from his job outright and told to leave. Yet a Black Abo sports reporter man activist tribal extremist - playing the entitled victim card in public - as a cause - on another TV channel - is warmly encouraged to expressed his deeply held tribal views (the entitled victim narrative) and blame others and attacking individuals using his platform at the ABC or in public.

Jobs are given to women over men. Women are appointed to boards based on being a woman. Blacks are given money and jobs based on being declared Black. Money by way of grants, university scholarships, STEM training, Phds are given to women and blacks over men. Support by way of housing, charities, money, etc are given to women over men. The Office of women **exists to discriminate for Women** over men. All of this is in plain sight - obvious bigoted corruption yet none of the politicians or media I have written to in the last 4 years have responded to the question "Why do you think it is a GOOD IDEA to constantly discriminate against men - why do you think 60% women in the public service (based on constant bigoted discrimination) is a GOOD IDEA? Why do you think making ex-parte orders against a man in court based on allegations alone is a GOOD IDEA?"

This **practiced constant outrage** (women - on average, blacks, weak-minded, victim narratives, drama triangle, binary extremists, etc) is part of the current Australian FOCUS and culture - the

victim narrative as taught in schools, media, politics, etc. Selective outrage. I am only a hypocrite when it suits me - the occasional hypocrite. *Dip-shits* like Victorian Police and Courts are only too keen to **heroically** and violently attack anyone who is the **Alleged Perpetrator** of outraged victims (keeping us safe) - killing and shooting mentally-ill men in the streets for many decades, attacking and smashing heads of those who dare to question or chant “Freedom” and “Choice” and perverting the course of justice and the courts for anyone “**Alleged to**” have “molested” children. The **drama triangle** of the **binary mobs**.

This binary hate filled bigotry which dominates women (on average - victim narratives, quotas, targets, average Wages, etc) and weak minded men is based on virtue signaling and pig-ignorance which has been part of the education system and politics for at least the last 50 years.

Peta Credlin, Sky, Guardian, Stan Grant and **all the ABC types** are **opinionaters** - they are employed to continually publicly express their opinion. Imagine listening to a 15 year old child/princess travelling the world constantly express their opinion on everything in the world instead of **doing their homework**. They do not pretend to be educated, intelligent, unbiased, up-to-date, relevant, skeptical, learning, etc - they are expected to “have” opinions, a “cause” and be zealots about it (it’s a sign of commitment, you see - to the **certain cause**). We see this in Dan Andrews, Matt Keen - all the politicians, so-called “Scientists” (epidemiologists, predestinationalists and other keepers of temples of certainty) , women/blacks/tribes - everything is opinion, ego, narcissism, binary, spin, coercion, mobspeak, etc. If you don’t like someone’s opinion you don’t talk to them. Facts, Ideas and debates do not matter when everything becomes opinion in a Husserlian way.

Reporters used to be **unbiased** and **simply report the information** in a balanced way (like scientists used to be - be impartial and observant) and opinion was **usually left to one person in the organization** - the editor - who was very careful about how they expressed their opinions - they tried to be circumspect and considered - otherwise their reputation would suffer and they would considered a “Crank”. We are now in a period I call “The joy of Cranks and Crackpots” - rampant crankism based on narcissism and virtue signaling.

The **ABC** has become all “causes” and “opinions” - reporting has become corrupted and the **ABC board and Management encourage all their staff** (the **cause is mandated - “Always was, always will be, abo land”** - said before and after everything reported) **to be causists and have opinions** and use their opinions in public - as morally superior vigilantes. Then they use taxpayers money to pay their court costs of all their ABC staff.

Sympathy and Empathy

I have written about genetic differences between men and women before but the general notion that we must always talk about blacks, women and identity in Australia is **not because I prefer** to do that - it is because our country is obsessed with race, gender and identity so much that it has legislated in **draconian detail** (grifters, lower scumbags, dogma, totalitarian, etc) in all states in Australia and implemented a constant identity narrative - especially blacks, women and race. I prefer to talk about humans - hence **humanistman**. There are barely any **LARGE SIZE - GROSS - MAJOR - at large abstraction** - differences at all between humans and this aligns with the **idea of Plurality** as well. We have **many things in common** and a **very large number of things which are different**.

Sameness, in a group, high abstract sense, is not easy to understand See **Exploring the Rant - Measuring the Lie - Doubling Down on Delusion** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Exploring-the-Rant-Measuring-the-Lie-Doubling-Down-on-Delusion.pdf> (especially page 8 onwards)

To understand this you can examine human genome. <https://www.genome.gov/human-genome-project> Now even this project has been affected by Blacks and feminists and has produced a **"Diversity Statement"** about "Under-representation" (**Equality (on average)**) - hence scientists who do not understand science like most "scientists" of the last 50 years - no understanding of anything much except the "cause of identity". <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/educational-resources/fact-sheets/genomics-and-diversity-in-the-workplace>

Let us talk in facts rather than guilt/virtue/diversity/equality/inclusion.

E.g. why chose exactly which genes to "include" an in "inclusive" way and "equalize" in a certainly "diverse" way? Is it guilt and virtue or is there some "Science" - in a dogmatic Frankenstein lunatic draconian totalitarian Aryian superiority MRNA Vaccine kind of way?

There are **"ABOUT"** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human_genome 3 054 815 472 base pairs for Female X chromosomes and 2 963 015 935 base pairs for Males.

The biggest DNA difference 3% between humans - on average - is gender (apart from mitochondrial RNA) - but this **has to be considered** as not the exact number because of the PAIRING of chromosomes - humans have 23 PAIRS of chromosome where all are double X chromosomes - except for males which have ONE CHROMOSOME OUT OF 23 (called the sex chromosome) containing XY (males) instead of double XX (females).

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK22266/> **Chromosome Map** - the Y chromosome (50 million) has about 100 million less base pairs than the X chromosome (150 million) in the **SEX chromosome pair**. <https://askabiologist.asu.edu/cell-division>

$3\,054\,815\,472 - 2\,963\,015\,935 = 91\,799\,537$. This represents in percentage terms 3%. See **Gender** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Gender.pdf>

Now these numbers are very big. The difference in **possible combinations of 4 base pairs** between individual humans - regardless of gender - is **"so very big"** (Douglass Adams) that it has no meaning or comparison to anything we understand in our universe. i.e. every human is different to every other human that has ever lived or ever will live in many other ways - you simply cannot tell unless you examine every single humans' DNA - which of course is what the dogmatic binary extremists are doing via many websites now. **Understanding Human Genetic Variation - NIH National Library of Medicine** <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK20363/> *"The human genome comprises **about** 3×10^9 base pairs of DNA, and the extent of human genetic variation is such that no two humans, **save identical twins**" (Not correct - see below) ", ever have been or will be genetically identical. Between any two humans, the amount of genetic variation—biochemical individuality—is about .1 percent. This means that about one base pair out of every 1,000 will be different between any two individuals. Any two (diploid) people have about 6×10^6 base pairs that are different, an important reason for the development of automated procedures to analyze genetic variation." (ON AVERAGE)* https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human_genetic_variation *"No two humans are genetically identical.*

Even monozygotic twins (who develop from one zygote) have infrequent genetic differences due to **mutations occurring** during development and **gene copy-number** variation.”


And of course RNA and then there are other difference due to food, lifestyle, experiences, etc and other chaotic (95% of the universe is unknown to us) random mutations
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mutation> . Every human is constantly changing and replacing cells in their body everyday all the time

Which base pair is going to mutate next in which individual human and then be passed on?

<https://humanorigins.si.edu/evidence/genetics> “While the genetic difference between individual humans today is minuscule – about 0.1%, **on average** – study of the same aspects of the chimpanzee genome indicates a difference of about 1.2%. The bonobo (*Pan paniscus*), which is the close cousin of chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*), differs from humans to the same degree. The DNA difference with gorillas, another of the African apes, is about 1.6%. Most importantly, chimpanzees, bonobos, and humans all show this same amount of difference from gorillas. A difference of 3.1% distinguishes us and the African apes from the Asian great ape, the orangutan. How do the monkeys stack up? All of the great apes and humans differ from rhesus monkeys, for example, by about 7% in their DNA.”

But **somehow we are all Human as a group** in a kind of plurality way. i.e. most (90% or MUCH more on **average** - it is very complicated and subject to intense research and speculation) of our shared DNA is the same. But “humanity” is not only DNA - it is also behaviour. The Human condition.

One genetic difference (**on average**) has seemed to manifest itself in preferences about Sympathy and Empathy. We tend to identify sympathy with Women as their preference and Empathy with Men - but this so no big deal or even a problematic assertion. Some people prefer sympathy - some empathy - regardless of gender. Some can do both **in balance** or not at all.




The intense baby response of mothers (on average) is well documented

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3426791/> **Emotional Relationships between**


Mothers* and Infants: Knowns, Unknowns, and Unknown Unknowns

- Marc H. Bornstein, Joan T. D. Suwalsky, and Dana A. Breakstone.



So I skipped a little in explaining this. The people who express this idea of *unknown knowns* are **confused**. So they should **use a confusion matrix** as a tool. They are able to recognize that the concepts **Known** and **Unknown** are two different things - **two different declared concepts** but they still do not see them on a plurality curve. So they are a **little more conceptually advanced** than the simple binary extremist thinker (communists, feminists, Marxists, women (on average - quotas, groupthink, mobs) etc).

The next step is to map those two concepts and their opposites - the **Not** - being the idea of **different/not same** - to a **4 box model to help explore the confusion**. Then you can see how their language develops - but notice how the double negative (NOT/NOT - Neti/Neti) the NOT Known and the NOT Unknown **becomes ignored** - they simply cannot "see it" or register it as part of the model because it makes no "sense" to them. Because it is recursive (Not, Not) and seems like infinity it becomes habitually ignored. So this double not - I have put **as an explicitly stated infinity** line on the extreme top of my model rather than ignored or "overlooked". You should **know where your infinities** are. I suspect that they do not think the 4 box model is a real thing and that **each space is a thing declared with some meaning** and because they do not understand something - they simply ignore it (**Fat, Dumb** and **Lazy habit**) rather than try to **grapple with it's meaning** - to **notice** it. They **habitually ignore** the "other" space in the box - and therefore fail to understand the "form" - frame - the wider context. See **Fichte** and "Annihilation".




But I already explained this several times in my article about the binaries and how they struggle to do anything other than groupthink, mobs and certainty in a binary way. They do not understand the NOT NOT approach (Neti, Neti) - the approach of not being framed in their certainty See **Equality Diversity Proportion Value Trust** – I examine the difference between binary certain thinking and the continuum. Clear examples and explorations of the difference between "intersectionality" (the Binary Certain) and the continuum <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Equality-Diversity-Proportion-Value-Trust.pdf> and **Stepping Over The Bodies With Binary Certainty** –

examining this willfully blind incoherence from feminist, extremist and other weak minded humans and their complete lack of humanity <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Stepping-Over-The-Bodies-With-Binary-Certainty.pdf>

'It is, in fact, nothing short of a miracle that the modern methods of instruction have not yet entirely strangled the holy **curiosity of inquiry**; for this delicate little plant, aside from stimulation, stands mainly in need of freedom; without this it goes to wreck and ruin without fail. It is a very grave mistake to think that the enjoyment of seeing and searching can be promoted by means of **coercion** and a **sense of duty**.' - Author(**Albert Einstein**) Year[1949] Source_Document(Autobiographical Notes A Stubbornly Persistent Illusion: The Essential Scientific Works of Albert Einstein)

Keyword(Development Choice Individual) https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Albert_Einstein
https://www.brainyquote.com/quotes/albert_einstein_110039


Albert Einstein notices - the failing to notice idea (*curiosity of inquiry*) combined with the tendency to coerce people with Virtue Signals - a *sense of duty* - to the declared and unexplored - GREATER GOOD.




So Feminists, Blacks and other binary extremists (Communists, fascists, Marxists, Totalitarian Dictators, Religious Zealots, women (On average - quotas, targets, Wages, Victims, mobs) and weak minded men all ignore the double negative of declared is - things declared, Here I use only two declared things on a continuum themselves - i.e. what exactly is an Apple? What exactly is Black?

I struggled with this for some years but as I faced it and examined it and read more I became more comfortable understanding, exploring and explaining it.

As usual - when I discussed some of these ideas with my partner she gave me new perspectives. For example people “know” they cannot drive a car or hammer a nail or understand complex mathematical models. A kind of idea of things I know that I “don’t know”. In my model I have put this in the **corruption model** as separate things. **Knowledge of self** is a thing - **self awareness** - and is something the individual makes sense of for themselves - their own fears, their own knowledge, their own skills, their own capabilities - and these are tested on a daily basis in interactions with others in the group and other things. I **know these things about myself** - I am aware of how corrupt I am (a starting basis for learning and development). **The Human Condition.**





Knowledge of things **other than self** - in a general sense - i.e. not how we see ourselves but how we see **everything else** - (it gets explored as a “reality”, “truth”, “observable universe” debate). The idea about the knowledge of the rest of the world - the “Non-Ego” - as Fichte would describe.




I am not self-obsessed, but I am aware of my skills and corruptions. I **appreciate** what I can and cannot do. That is why I have gratitude for others in the community who can do things that I cannot do. I like chefs, waiters, shop workers, tradesmen, builders road workers, teachers, nurses, etc who do their work **Authentically** - it is like speaking or **thinking authentically** - they are not self-obsessed

and are aware of themselves (ego) and the rest of the universe (non-ego) in a **sufficiently balanced** way. I am **grateful** to live in a society which has **authentic doers** and allows choice and development rather than **totalitarian binary certain dogma** and control. A **thriving plurality** where the **corruption** of individuals does not dominate and **destroy the society**.

But also my partner pointed to another view of “Known Knowns” and “known Unknowns”. As she was explaining this it occurred to me that there seem to be some “Meta Models” - many which I have used and explained already - that fall into patterns of use - some people only use one meta model or none at all - rather than using different models in different ways and being able to understand which frames and models to use when. I will need to write another Meta Model document. In the meantime here is another way some people see this ‘Known, Unknowns’ - they use a two stage process - they represent it firstly as a binary and then as a continuum (which I represent as a Gaussian normal curve).



Binaries teen to ignore models and frames in general and only focus on simple things while ignoring other things. Everything is an “Intersection” of **declared iss** as a constant bias - along with “Victim groupthink” which **is now taught** as **the most advanced thinking you can have** in universities around the world. “Intersectional Theory” <https://www.ed.ac.uk/equality-diversity/students/intersectionality> **The University of Edinburgh** - *“Intersectionality and Privilege At the University, we know that students from less privileged backgrounds, black students, Trans and non-Binary students, amongst others, have not always been made to feel welcome by their peers.”*
victim narrative and binary tribalism combined



Now in my model above I will put Napier's constant - the e constant - in the bottom right box as it represents **an infinity** of definitely declared is (using the Unity number as the basis of the "thing"). Whereas **pi** - is an infinity of measurement (2 or more things compared?) - mainly - it seems to me - but I am not certain of where any of these constants go.

Now most of you are not only **Too stupid to understand how stupid you are** - but also too - **You are too stupid to understand how clever something is**.

For example, the amount of work I had to do to produce simple looking diagrams and words which describe complex and difficult issues in ways simple children might understand - is far more work than most of you will ever do in your lifetimes. Far more work than expressing tyrannical self-obsessed feelings and opinions or lies and corruptions and propaganda for a "certain cause". Most of you could never invent something useful in your entire life or appreciate the value of something that someone else worked on and built.

Indeed, (Sherlock) to do the work - to make complex things look simple - requires understanding many things about yourself and the world that many people will never reach in their entire lifetimes. Or , as Paul Erdos' epitaph reads *"I have finally stopped getting dumber"*.

When Archimedes had an epiphany *"euraka"* it was after spending a lot of time examining and exploring (hard work) an issue until it finally reached a point where he could do something to manifest it in reality - to show it - to describe it - to build it.

But you cannot appreciate things or have gratitude for what you have and what has been given to you unless you have **some** understanding of things. You cannot appreciate food unless you know you will die without it. You cannot appreciate thought unless you know what thought is. Privileged lesbian, black, feminists, tribal binary, etc types - who have done nothing all their life but whinge and complain and have money and advantage thrown at them by weak minded virtue signalers playing heroes in the drama triangle to their victim narrative performances - are stuck in a feedback loop of indulgence and corruption that they revel in to the destruction of any kind of humanity or human development. They are mere "performance pieces" in a sick theatre feeding the heroic virtue of "the good" and the "Morally superior" who prance and pout their way around their deluded narcissistic pleasure dome. A Peter Pan fantasy of infantile abusive violent underdeveloped people playing binary certain drama triangle games.

Continuing - So while emotional bonding - in a sympathetic way - triggered by “feelings” and “Emotions” seems key to early **human development** - **Empathy** extends that into a **deeper understanding of needs OTHER THAN FEELINGS AND RESPONSES**. Think Hume’s “Aught”, Mill’s “Utility” and Schopenhauer’s “Sufficient Reason”.

In human development it seems that the preference for mother baby bonding in baby hood is replaced **gradually** with father-child bonding as **empathetic development** and **support**.

As they are able to take on more, then more is given to them.

There is some developmental advantage to having slight differences in preferences between two parents raising children. For example seeing someone starving for lack of food you might feel sympathy and be prompted (habitually) to give them money or food or stick out your breast and feed them - yet a more empathetic response might be understanding the root causes and possible solutions to their lack of food problem and seeking ways to address that. Teaching them to Fish - for example - or better yet - **teaching them to learn how to get food**. Yet those stuck in habitual sympathy - maybe because of excessive exposure to babies or the willful pleasure in the baby bonding sympathy experience - may choose to keep those around in a constant state of babyhood for their own self-indulgence. Breast feeding 14 year old boys, for example so they can remain relevant, involved and codependent. **Infantilizing** everything. Some attention seekers do this as constant virtue signaling - along with smug mob violence against those who threaten their “feelings”. Others do it as **“Munchausens by proxy”** <https://www.webmd.com/mental-health/munchausen-by-proxy> . But if you notice this constant attention seeking of the “Sympathetic” you **notice how they declare the thing they care** for - the child, the world, the planet, women, blacks - is **“Sick”** and then **virtuously and heroically** demand action from others - attention and special support - special media and indulgence (ABC, Guardian, Seven) - support from other sympathizers (hysterical women on average and weak minded un-empathetic men) and then demonize and abuse and those who dare to question them or call out their delusions. A debate could be had but it is corrupted by feelings and “Sympathy”.

They call a thing “the victim” and associate themselves with “victimness” - the planet - *“The planet is dying - I can **“save”** it” - we must all do as I say to save the planet”* , an **alleged** crime against a woman, **alleged** crimes against anyone or anything, trees, koalas, panda bears, coral reefs, (Penny Wong *“So You are the victim here?”* - in a **passive aggressive** voice), etc - and then **associate themselves** with **victimness** and victim causes - becoming a MOB - becoming a binary tribal “causist” - marching on parliament house, writing books, lying with made-up statistics and reports, spending every day on the media in the ABC, Guardian and every attention seeking method they can devise - destroying art, tearing down statues, mobbing patrons in shops, restaurants, **corrupting every agency they work in** - human rights, public services, the law courts, (the High court, Canberra courts and Melbourne courts - see **Humanist Information Taxonomy** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Humanist-Information-Taxonomy.pdf> and **Women Lawyers – Corruption – Feminism – Debate** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf>) politics - asserting their “heroism” - their virtue - destroying everyone and everything in their way who questions (Bettina Arndt, Sky and others **attacked by the Australian senate**) their **allegations of victimness** - their mob-like, tribal, binary extremist, heroic, violent, abusive, certainty of the drama triangle - employing passive aggressive abuse or full on

“cancellation” and violence (like Magistrate Robert Cook and others in Canberra Magistrates court did to me - like Andrew Barr and Andrew Leigh, the ABC do to me and many others on a continuing basis, like all the politicians who ignore me, etc) - full on tribal attacks on anyone who **wants to debate** the very idea of “Victim” itself - the **idea of “Victimness”** and the idea of “heroism” - and the main idea of **“PERPETRATOR”** (Not “alleged”, “Alleged” has been removed to **become their certainty**) - because “believe all women” - and the **passive aggressive** “all violence is gendered” (ABC, professors and politicians), “Black Lives Matter” MEMES (and propaganda) - means - **ANY ALLEGATION OF VICTIMNESS - MUST BE BELIEVED AND ACTED ON BY THE TRIBAL MOBS** - and maybe **start talking about “The Human Condition” or the complexity of life instead.**

There is a trend lately in “scientists” (the “expert” class) to **parade their certainty** as a “virtue” - not only the women (on average) but also dim-witted men. They don their white coats and stethoscopes as a uniform and stare straight into the camera and tell their **certain lies** (which they call their lived experience and certain truth). One recent “certainty” is the idea that if you have a certain parts of your DNA in a certain sequence then this **guarantees** that you will get **Breast Cancer** if you are woman <https://www.breastcancertrials.org.au/genetic-testing-for-breast-cancer/> “*The thing is if you find nothing in that women, that’s quite reassuring but you **still don’t know** whether there is **a genetic fault** in the family.*”. Their **certainty** is called a “**Genetic Fault**” (the **declared evil perpetrator** - they have **no idea of the complex interactions of the body** so evil is manifested as **their certainty** - at best - they use “averages” - Statistics - they become “predictors” (Temples) of certainty). You might have a “**Genetic Fault**” consult with the oracle of certainty.

*“They usually start it in a risk management clinic where there’s special expertise and the availability of breast MRI for screening rather than simply mammography ultrasound and of course, **those women are also given the opportunity risk reducing surgery** for the breast tissue, which is taken up by maybe about 30% of high risk women.”* - The women (patient) has been **given the opportunity** to do what the doctor of certainty tells them so they can be **certainly “saved”**. **Selling risk to hysterical women is a sure-fire money maker.**

Hysterical, anxious and trusting women buy into this idea - having learned from the experts **how to certainly save themselves** - because these experts understand all DNA, probabilities and certainties of life itself (in a Frankenstein, Pure Aryan supremacy, Artificial Intelligence, etc, pig-ignorant, you have no real idea of how stupid you are - kind of way) - and will dictate how people **should** live their lives - (by **handing over** as much **money** and **power** as possible - feeding their fears and hysteria)

As a consequence, young women and babies are all being DNA tested so they can have their breasts removed as soon as possible so they can be certainly “saved”. This is different to Amazons of the Black sea (although other narratives place their existence in other parts of Asia) tribes in ancient Greek times who voluntarily removed one breast so they could be better archers on horseback to fight off the enemy attacking them.

We also see this genetic “certainty” being manifested by doctors and **sympathetic** “experts” - repeated and endorsed by governments, schools and kindergartens - to **mutilate and destroy the gender of children** based on “certainty” and “genetics” and **Guaranteeing TRIBAL/MOB/BINARY identity.**

*“You are **either certainly male or certainly female** and we will keep mutilating your mind and body until **certainty has been achieved**”* - They say. Or they say *“What ever you feel like doing at the time - today a girl - tomorrow a boy - please don’t have a tantrum”* **Binary, tribal, extremist, smug, certain dimwits (women - on average(quotas, targets, victims, mobs) and weak minded men).**

Most of this **binary certain** human abuse is supported by sympathetic virtue signalers, media, “celebrities”, “princes/princess”, tribal extremists, “victim groups” (mobs), binaries, women (on average - quotas, targets, victim, wages, tokenism, groupthink, bigots, etc) and weak minded men - especially those who work in schools, universities and the public service and want to **inflict their “certainty”** on others with Mob and Tribal behaviors and abuse (Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Greens/Labour, ABC, Guardian, Ita Buttrose, Canberra Courts, etc - types)

(See my article **The Great Adventure or Guaranteed Failure** – Mandating human failure.
<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/The-Great-Adventure-or-Guaranteed-Failure.pdf>

Differences and preferences cause a great deal of tension in families - especially around the idea of raising children.

“Blacks are Disadvantaged”

This is a **meme repeated** all over the western world and the major basis for *“positive discrimination”* (declared and legislated in bigoted Draconian detail as **special measures** in Australian Government Legislation see <https://humanistman.com/home/articles/> **Humanism Issue – Nation Law – Exceptions – Religion**) programs to help blacks in schools, universities, housing, employment and with large amounts of government expenditure. Or as Politicians around the world and all the Greens/Labour bigots types declare *“Isn’t about time we had a black woman high-court judge”*. Few people analyze or appreciate the meme for what it is. It comes from the Feminists, binary extremists, women (on average - victim narratives, quotas, targets, wages), weak minded-men types who see everything as drama triangles and groupthink. These are all sub-memes of the constant **entitled victim narrative** - which is based on the dram triangle and the **Binary certain Good/Bad** idea. **Mobs and Tribes**. The ABC, media in general, schools, universities, public servants, virtue signalers, etc constantly express these memes in a habitual pig-ignorant bigoted tribal way. See **Racism and Other Groupthink** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Racism-and-other-Groupthink.pdf>

Few people question this meme. Here is one person who does.


Thomas Sowell - Lifespan[1930 to] Rank(30) Keyword(Income Equality Philosophy)
<https://www.tsowell.com/> <https://www.hoover.org/profiles/thomas-sowell>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intellectuals_and_Society

- So what is disadvantage exactly and how would you measure and define it?

- Can people who are not black have the same disadvantage?
- If people who are not black have the same disadvantage what are they called?
- Why can't non-blacks who have the same disadvantage have the same support?
- What is more important - being black or being disadvantaged?
- Is every baby born disadvantaged? (the **permanent victim narrative** - See **The Great Adventure or Guaranteed Failure – Mandating human failure** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/The-Great-Adventure-or-Guaranteed-Failure.pdf>)

Currently, the Greens/Labour government are changing laws are running large public funded propaganda campaigns about Black disadvantage and "Rights". But this thinking is not limited to one political group - it is everywhere - tribal and binary extremists thinking is rampant and entrenched. E.g. the liberals have suggested that **Women** who separate from relationships (Lesbians for example, not Gay men or NON women) need to access their super to buy houses because they are **disadvantaged**. Rather than **thinking disadvantage** - they habitually and constantly think (They are not aware that they are doing it is a habit) "Women" and "Blacks" - tribal binary certain identity groups.

- Who is defined as black?
- How Black to you have to be to be black? (as well - how much a woman do you have to be to be a woman so you can get all the government money)



I need to explain the above diagrams because there is quite a lot going on. I need to help develop some **shared "understanding"**. The first step is that the binary extremists (the usual suspects) **declare a thing** as IS. This declared thing - in their mind - needs little or no explanation or exploration

- it is obvious (See Dan Dennett). It has been **“Determined”** (as Locke would say). Any debate or **discourse** about this thing called “Disadvantage” is to be shut down and ignored. Even if there was **“universal consent”** (Locke) (**Popularism/Tribalism**) that the world was flat - it would not make it **innately** True.

*“For, first, it is evident, that all **children and idiots** have not the least apprehension or thought of them.”* <https://www.pdfdrive.com/an-essay-concerning-human-understanding-clarendon-edition-of-the-works-of-john-locke-d159022109.html>

Then this declared thing “Black” - i.e. black human which cannot be discussed or explored - because it is **“certainly obvious”** - the binaries declare - even more so it is **legislated as crime to talk about** because it is misogyny, racism, hatred, offensive - as legislated under 18C and you will be cancelled, censored and killed from the tribe if you dare to discuss this. The Binary extremists have legislated that discussion, speech and debate is a crime like any totalitarian authoritarian regime throughout history.

- They **ignore/omit** the idea of debate of definitions
- They **ignore/omit** the idea of measurement

So you can see the plurality - continuum - idea has gone to be replaced by certain **IS DECLARED** (by the **tyrannical mob**). What is the opposite of apple? Many, many things (declared is) - far more than it is possible to imagine.

- They **ignore/omit** the idea of a continuum.
- They **ignore/omit** the idea of plurality.

But even using their declared IS - **IS BLACK HUMAN** and **IS DISADVANTAGE** - you can see in the 4 box model **they ignore/omit** the NOT, NOT - the double negative - the double “opposite” idea of many things. Things are **reduced to a singularity** - a binary certain thing - and the NOT NOT of many other things is ignored. So they combine their two declared and very binary certain things and make a **new concept** called **“Certain Black Disadvantage”** - which in their binary certain mind - is a certain “declared is” unrelated to anything else conceptually unless they DECLARE IT - in a tyrannical way - as **THEIR DECLARED CERTAINTY**. Everything is “declared” as **“certainly obvious”** not needing debate. That is how they construct their certain schemas.

- They **ignore/omit** the idea of a frame - a 4 box model
- They **ignore/omit** the idea of not,not - existence in the frame

The next step they do is based on the general model that binaries use called the **GOOD/BAD** model which is the DRAMA triangle. See **09 Humanism – Bad and Good, Version 1, date 02/04/2019** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/09-Humanism-Bad-and-Good.pdf> and meta model 9. **Equality and Diversity** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/07-Humanism-Meta-Frame-Equality-and-Diversity.pdf> (**same and different**)

So the binaries use the extremes to then bring binary good and binary bad into the model.





Disadvantage becomes binary Bad - and the opposite becomes binary Good.

They also take the binary opposite of **Black Human** and Create a concept called **“White human”** - which **they declare as certain** and the **opposite of Black Human** - in the Same way that “Certain Good” is the opposite of “Certain Bad”. So you can see how “virtue signallers” tend to adopt these same kind of binary certain techniques. Races are declared certainly black and white depending on which country you are in.

The next things they do is **ignore/omit** the “White bad” (White disadvantage) and “Black Good” (black not disadvantaged) to **emphasize** the “Black Bad” (Black disadvantage) compared to in a binary certain way - “White Good” (White not disadvantage). So the use **binary choices** and **emphasis** and **omission** to express their certainty and their “ideas” and when questions arise which expose their thinking they become angry and upset because their brains cannot cope with frames, concepts, infinities, etc - they **lack cognition**. They lack any abilities to try to understand and double down on their pig-ignorant binary certainty filled with “good” obsessed virtue signaling of a binary certain drama triangle. ABC, Politicians, feminists, Courts, Judges, Celebrity princesses, Women (on average), Heroes, etc.


- They **ignore/omit** everything other than their declarations - **their declared is**
- **The create a binary opposite** to their binary declaration with a **GOOD/BAD overlay**

The next step - for **EVEN those that recognize the frame** (but not the continuum or plurality or the debate of definition and measurement) is they use group **certain relativities** - percentages **“on average”** and groupthink.

		Disadvantage Not	
Black	 17%	 Black Good	But again this still is limited in emphasis and omission and still limited: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No debate of “Black Human” • No debate of “Disadvantage” • Measurement of “averages” - bias of statistics • Groupthink - mobs and relative difference between declared groups. • No debate of the measurement and definition of the “Averages” White disadvantage is ignored/omitted because it does not fit the groupthink/victim narrative AND - there is no recognition/appreciation of the GOOD as part of the context
Not Black	 83%	 White Good	

- They **ignore/omit** the any averages which do not fit their certain narrative and try to **re-frame** everything until they can get a number they prefer

The next step - for **EVEN those that recognize the frame** (but not the continuum or plurality) and now accept the 4 boxes of “averages” is they **ignore the statistics** - and use **their preferred relative statistics** - based on a **VICTIM focus** and **double down on their declared IS**.



- They **ignore/omit** every statistic which does not suit their declared narrative (**Black Disadvantage**)

So Hillary Clinton and Malcolm Turnbull will declare **“Women are the biggest victims of war”** - this a delusion and a **deliberate lie** based on heroically and virtuously signaling mob tribalism and a deep pig-ignorance of any kind reality or debate. **Tribal, binary certain, mob like determined pig-ignorance.**

They are **deluded**. Willfully and determinedly permanently deluded and violent and abusive about it in a mob like tribal binary certain, groupthink, (on average - quotas/targets, etc) virtuous ignorant zealot kind of way.

So you see the **Climate Change** is proved and declared because it is **stated as declared certainty**.

You also see the Kill all men and Prove that you are innocent. See **Corruption – Binary Thinking – Prove You are Not A Criminal** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Corruption-Binary-Thinking-Prove-You-are-Not-A-Criminal.pdf>

Now all of this omission is **mostly** pig-ignorance and a **determined avoidance of noticing** things that are uncomfortable - like infinity and frames. They double down on their pig-ignorance - Andrew Leigh, Andrew Barr, ABC, Feminists, Binary Zealots, Canberra Courts, Women (On average - quotas, targets, victims, etc) and weak minded men - “The hero” to the “Victim”. But some people are well aware of their lies and corruption - these are bad actors - the political staffers and university graduates - Helen Watchirs - ACT Human rights - women in the legal system - the barristers, family courts and the high court, women in the public service and politics (Penny Wong and Tanya Plibersek), most of the ABC board, management and staff - they deliberately corrupt everything and they know there is more to the story - but **they double down on their own lies and corruption** for the “Cause”. They are deliberate Bad Actors because they **believe** they will never be held to account. *“don’t worry darling - no court will convict you - you are a black and a woman and a princess”*

Now the dogmatists - scum bags (legal types) and other expensive draconian idiots are detailing ways where “experts” will determine (in a corrupt costly draconian nepotistic kind of way) - who exactly is declared as “black” sufficient enough to take all the public money (from the taxpayers and

society) and gifts of tribal corruption of the state. University professors pontificate about certain **“identity theory”**. Disadvantage is no longer “explored or defined” - it becomes associated - as a concept - **only with blacks, women (“respect all women” “Woman are victims” “Men’s Violence”)** - other victims that the tyrannical, binary extremists declare. Communists, Fascists, Marxists, Religious Zealots, Feminists, Women (On average - quotas/targets/victims/wages, etc) and weak minded men, etc.

So instead of dealing with disadvantage and rights for humans and support for humans - everything is expressed in Tribal terms. This is an extension of the Marxist model of demonizing the “Elites” and calling everyone else the “Oppressed” in a tribal binary certain way using the Drama Triangle. Karl Marx seems to be a binary extremist. See **What Am I Missing to 1300 Continued** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/What-Am-I-Missing-to-1300-continued.pdf> . So the “Oppressed” are Women and Blacks - as declared by women and blacks in a recursive, initial self-reference way. “Disadvantage” becomes defined - not in it’s complexity - but **only by Group Identity**, Mobs and Tribes. None of what I am saying is contentious - all this is in plain sight of everybody.

One of my friends believes in (**their**) God and he claimed that it is up to me to prove that God does not exist. This how the initial self reference, recursive believers work. This is how their minds operates. This is their narcissistic logic. Their stated claims (*lived experience*) is “truth”. And they will abuse anyone who questions.

Politicians are Tribal and every **self-declared** Women and Black politician does not work on behalf of humans - they work on behalf of women and blacks. They don’t work on behalf of **the community** - they work on behalf of **their community** (their tribe and friends - entrenched nepotism and corruption) - they hand out government money and Jobs to “their” friends, their family (like in 3rd world countries) - their colleagues - Like Andrew Barr did with Gordon Ramsay - like Katy Gallagher does with the current Budget. Like Labour with all of its political appointments. It is blatant, obvious and **explicit corruption** - virtuously stated - as Andrew Leigh - states - **“we are proud to constantly discriminate for women”** (or words with that exact meaning). Like Peta Credlin, Like Amanda Stoker - like most women and black identity driven binary tribal types.

The community no longer exists - only *theirs* and *ours* - *us/them*. People like Penny Wong, Greens/Labour women, Dan Andrews, Andrew Barr and Andrew Leigh - not only work for Women and Blacks (their designated victim groups and their virtue signaling pathway to heaven - themselves) - they positively hate and detest white men “the others” (in a tribal /binary sense) - and will demean and abuse them every chance they get - like Andrew Barr, Act Courts, the ABC and Andrew Leigh and their staff have done to me over the last 4 years. **Abusive Hate-filled Tribal Smuglifunts.**

It is all obvious and done in plain sight yet everyone tends to look away and *turn a blind eye* in determined pig-ignorance. And they double down and abuse anyone who points this out. **“None can call the power to account” (realizes Lady Macbeth)** - so they just keep on with their pig-ignorant tribal bastardry and abuse of those who point out their deeds. No amount of water can wash the spots of blood that those hate-filled tribal bastards and binaries have inflicted on humans. See Merv/Margiana/Merve Oasis/Alexandria/Antiochia in Margiana/Marw al-Sh-ühij-ün - Ancient_City[-3000 to 1790] and other *rivers of blood* cities that barbarians destroyed over hundreds of years

(many mentioned in the narrative of **Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo** - Lifespan[1360 to 1406]
Born_Loc(Clavijo, Spain) Rank(30) Keyword(Religion, Explorer, Travel, Mongols)).

See **Stepping Over The Bodies With Binary Certainty** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Stepping-Over-The-Bodies-With-Binary-Certainty.pdf> *“we believe in equality for women” – just think about that for a bit.”*

And **Binary Groupthink** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Binary-Groupthink.pdf> *..” When some activists say “Kill all men” they are simply expressing what some women feel but are too afraid to say – because if they were actual adults instead of meek constant victims needing a hero protector they would speak out and state clearly – “yes Kill all Men” or “NO THAT SEEMS WRONG”. So they, and weak men, do nothing”*

Student: *But hold Jon - just wait a minute - Are you saying that blacks are not disadvantaged?*

Jon: *How about you examine your own question? Have you heard of Aristotle and “begging the Question?” https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Begging_the_question Again, I say, do you care about disadvantage or tribal identity?*

Dimwit - now with blacks and women gathered around: *But Jon - statistics show that on average Blacks suffer greater disadvantage as defined and measured by the technique they are using to define and measure it. Experts measure this and they tell us this. They have studied and worked at universities for many years and are called professors (like Mark Kenny - he ignores my emails he is too busy with the feminist cause - his expertise - his paid employment at ANU).*

Jon: *Well let me address several of your points as you know many people are involved in statistics - some do it to make an argument for their cause (self profit) like Vaccines, Smoking, Green energy - others for their “virtue signal” (they have sympathy, you see) - blacks, women - they have already defined the groups and how to group identity and then proceed to divide all statistics by tribal identity - it is their sunk cost and confirmation bias - tribal identity. i.e. biased by definition.*

Then I come back to my previous point on disadvantage - a complex topic facing all humans in many ways - is it the disadvantage you care for or the relative difference between groups as measured by biased statistics? The human condition is more complicated than most people think - it is not only skin colour - for example.

Fuckwit - now with blacks and women gathered around - Stan Grant, others and the ABC: *So you think you know more than the “experts” and are better than everyone else - you bastard smart-arse. What you are saying is appalling - leave the room.*

Jon: *Now, now - let us try to be adult humans, Stan. Here you are - eat this banana while I try to explain - can you eat and listen at the same time, Stan? You see how I refuse to be framed by insane personal attacks? You see how I concentrate on the issue and ideas?*

Imagine for example - that there is a “more deserving group”. How more deserving - then becomes part the “relativity of groups” question - where each group is still assessed against each other group in a tribal identity way. Suddenly disadvantage and its complexity (the human condition) has been replaced by a constant tribal deserving special narrative - specially entitled - special victim. You have

heard of “tit for tat” and game theory? , the big red certain button of ultimate “revenge” and solution to your deeply held resentment? What if we replaced the “Kill all Men” narrative of ABC employees with “Kill All Evil” - it’s the same problem - you see that don’t you?

Instead maybe we could still use groupings - but not around identity but the values of the community. For example - those people who get up early in the morning to go fishing could be expected to be able to sleep so they can get up the next morning. This could be **accommodated** by asking people to generally not be noisy around the houses of fishers when they are sleeping. Others might go down the mines to work long hard days - they might be more deserving of health and recreation support and higher wages for their dangerous work. These things are complex and require thought and discussion and some measurement of real costs for the community. People might be **accommodated by an understanding community** rather than by **mandated** and expensive (Legal scum, courts, Dracos, Totalitarian Tribal Types) “rights” or “laws”.

Fuckwit, ABC, Mobs: It really does not matter what you say Jon - we have popularity on our side - everyone agrees with us. You disrespect our opinions, feelings, lived experience, expertise and virtue and replace it with your arrogant smart-arse mumbo jumbo. We know what must be done and how to do it. You call us pig-ignorant tyrants and disrespect our “authority”. You are cancelled!

Jon: it seems by killing me you think you are making your point - this is your delusion - in reality you are making my point.

(with apologies to Socrates)

Binary Certain Good and Bad

I have written about binaries and their lack of cognition - and also their tendency to violence and abuse of people (ABC, Fascists, Communists, Socialists, Feminists, Marxists, Women (On average - Quotas, Targets, Mobs, Victims, groupthink, etc), Weak-Minded men, Religious Zealots, Causists, Crusaders, Canberra Courts (Edwards, Cook, Walker, etc) , Politicians (Greens/Labour), Public servants where feminists and other binaries dominate, etc.


See

- **Racism and Other Groupthink 23/08/2019** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Racism-and-other-Groupthink.pdf>
- **Debates – Human Difficulties 15/10/2019** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Debates-Human-Difficulties.pdf>
- **Binary Groupthink 26/06/2020** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Binary-Groupthink.pdf>
- **Corruption – Binary Thinking – Prove You are Not A Criminal 07/07/2020** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Corruption-Binary-Thinking-Prove-You-are-Not-A-Criminal.pdf>
- **Optimizing Between Extreme Distributions – Social Justice 24/07/2020** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Optimizing-Between-Extreme-Distributions-Social-Justice.pdf>

- Equality – Diversity – Measurement – Notice 19/08/2020 <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/Equality-Diversity-Measurement-Notice.pdf>
- Binary Groupthink – Overcoming 09/02/2021 <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Binary-Groupthink-Overcoming-1.pdf>
- Stepping Over The Bodies With Binary Certainty 24/02/2021 <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Stepping-Over-The-Bodies-With-Binary-Certainty.pdf>
- What Am I Missing to 1300 Continued 2/11/2021 <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/What-Am-I-Missing-to-1300-continued.pdf>

I will first explore the idea of Optimizing and Accommodating - which are two neutral concepts - I have not declared anything as Good or Bad.

I am ignoring the **deeply imbedded** HABITUAL **GOOD/BAD** frame (life choices of survival).



Optimize is declared and I **engage in debate** about **definition** and **measurement**. I recognize that it is on a **plurality continuum** - that there are infinitely many other things on. I do the same with **Accommodate**. I use the dialectic method - the habitual binary comparison but think that synthesis - the emphasized focus in the top left box - **is all I need to focus on** and there is a continuum connecting the two concepts **Accommodate(Not Optimize)** and **Optimize(Not Accommodate)**.

So I tend to take little notice of the Not Not - after some time - it becomes **habitually ignored** and even a distraction to my process of “synthesizing” things into large complex **hierarchical schemas of my certainty** - my connected schemas of concepts. (my sunk cost and confirmation bias)



So I tend to fall back to a simple mode of comparing two things and allow variation between the two things on a continuum and variations of the synthesized view can be positioned, described and measured with debate.

So I tend to habitually do a **continuum debate** of **two certainties declared as** binary certain. (It is easier that way - **Fat, Dumb and Lazy**)

	<p>This becomes a binary habit of emphasis and omission - failing to notice the plurality ideas for each concept and the 4 box model itself as well as the general exploration of the NOT, NOT - which to humans looks very complex, and infinity - which we do not understand or want to deal with. I keep using a kind of Equality of concepts idea - “Balance” as my emphasis of the two declared concepts - the two declared is. See 9. Equality and Diversity https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/07-Humanism-Meta-Frame-Equality-and-Diversity.pdf</p> <p>I think that both concepts are “equally” valid. I Feel good about this synthesis because I helps build my schemas. I think I am certainly “balanced” and “Equal”</p>
--	--

Here we see the dogmatic certainty and equality ideas - constantly re-enforced on a **feedback loop of goodness**. It feels good. It feels “Right” and is **avoiding the chaotic uncertainty** of the infinite double negative - the Not Not. It is also avoiding the plurality of the two concepts in the first place “What is the opposite of Accommodate?” - an infinity of things.


So two infinities are being **deliberately avoided** - **infinity of things** (initial self-reference and recursion) and **infinity of Not, Not** (initial self-reference and recursion).

	<p>This next part is interesting because the habit of avoiding the 4th box - and emphasizing the Synthesis of two ideas as “Good” and the Chaos of double infinities as “Bad” happens simultaneously. The deeply ingrained avoidance of the chaotic uncertainty of the infinities is as strong as the fear of death and the habitual “Bad” we are taught all our lives about things that might “Kill us” or threaten our survival. See my humanism frames https://humanistman.com/home/frames/humanism-frames/ A little bad gets assigned to the Unsynthesized concepts and this tends to push us towards DOGMATIC TOTALITARIAN CERTAINTY (legal scum bags) and wanting to “Synthesize” everything to CERTAIN GOOD (without any bad)</p>
--	--

The general model of Bad avoidance - **certainly avoiding “Bad”** - I explored here **09 Humanism – Bad and Good, Version 1, date 02/04/2019** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/09-Humanism-Bad-and-Good.pdf> and we see the development of the idea of things in proportion - in my Meta Frame **10. Proportion** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/08-Humanism-Meta-Frames-Proportion.pdf> which can be read with **9. Equality and Diversity** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/07-Humanism-Meta-Frame-Equality-and-Diversity.pdf>

So I have a problem that I keep **habitually insisting** labeling everything is either Good or Bad in a binary way - based on biological and genetic ingrained habits from an evolutionary sense - of death avoidance. Many **simple** and **fast** responses to “Bad” (death) - which keep us alive and help us survive - deeply entrenched old life structures in most forms of life.

So even though I exploring concepts like **Accommodate** and **Optimized** - which are not **DEATH** or **UTOPIA** - I tend to fall to my binary patterns. So I need to overcome my cognitive bias. My sunk cost - my confirmation biases, etc.



You see - once the **Good/Bad binary certain habitual overlays** are removed - then other things can be explored - even widely different things that you already know which are not imagined as belonging in a binary continuum. But even “other” things can be explored - things that you have no knowledge of at all.


Now there is a risk of recognizing all the boxes and calling the 4th box **“other”**. It represents *“Things not dreamt of in your philosophy, Horatio”*. So when things turn up - don’t demonize it and attack it - it represents a possible thing to understand and include in your schemas or exclude it. But EVEN IF you exclude something from your schema of choices and preferences - it does not mean you have to annihilate it or demonize it.

Don’t demonize “other”

See **I Like Chefs but I Hate Some Food 23/04/2022** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/I-Like-Chefs-but-I-Hate-Some-Food.pdf> about ideas of plurality and tolerance.


So, as a society, you can put your preferences into a kind of **shared group schema** (shared maxims) while recognizing the “other” (infinities and not,nots). This shared schema may take the form of a plurality.

One idea is a balance of perspectives - not allowing oneself to dominate everything - nor allowing everything to dominate one self. Something in the middle.



Another idea is the **Smorgasbord** idea. When you are chef to humanity you arrange a large array of foods for the tourists to eat before they hop on the bus for their next journey. Common foods which are available and widely consumed and mixture of less common things to provide variation. When I stayed in Dubrovnik - the bus loads of German tourists all rushed the Smorgasbord as early as

possible to grab as much lobster and other specialist seafood they could get in a greedy way. I went up and took a little bit of things I liked and wanted to try - ate that and then went back if I was still hungry to try some other things. The Fat Greedy tourists were hell bent on getting “certain value for money” and stuffing their faces with as much food as they thought was “certainly valuable” - their plates were piled sky high with simply too much food - in a pig-ignorant, greedy, deluded value, binary certain, tribal way. See Baubles, Bangles and Bright Shiny Things (Kismet). It was disproportionate - not in proportions. See my Meta Frame 10. **Proportion**
<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/08-Humanism-Meta-Frames-Proportion.pdf>

 <p>It is NOT bad to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Not Accommodate •Not Optimize 	<p>There are many things which can be examined on binary continuums and they tend to be much more focused on useful, helpful, workable, good, effective ideas which the group endorses.</p> <p>Not everything is all certain Good or all certain Bad in a binary extreme certain way.</p> <p>A kind of plurality - while recognizing there are many connected things that are not optimized or accommodated very much at all - they still all fit with the group’s tolerance of ideas.</p> <p>And things not immediately understood - can be tolerated as well.</p> <p>A mixture of choices - not mandated binary certainty and dogma.</p> <p><i>Virtue/Vice</i> is not a binary debate.</p>
--	---

Now these corruption and lying techniques or avoiding things and ignoring the elephants in the room are used in many ways- especially by binary extremists, the cognitively impaired, women (On average quotas, targets, wages, victim narratives) and weak minded men - virtue signalers and “causists” - they invent the cause and the deny all reality to pursue their “cause” of certainty.

For example when a high court Judge was accused of allegedly inappropriate behaviour which “offended someone” - see 18C in the Discrimination legislation for “offending someone” - rather than committing an offence i.e. a “crime” (the language of **offense** has been turned into “**crime**”) - the **head of the high court** said

- This is a **gender based offence** (the **binary certain tribal mob** agenda)
- The alleged “victim” is a woman
- Therefore the only way to have a fair investigation is to avoid any due legal process and have the matter investigated by a woman.
- **Publicized the internal matter widely in the media** so the accused could be demonized by the Mob

The head of the High Court is a self declared woman who is also a feminist - and has been appointed to the position based on being a woman - in the same way governments around the world appoint people based on being a Women or Being Black - or in the latest USA appointment on being a “Black

Women". As a consequence the standards of thinking drop and become more tribal as each tribal appointee appoints similarly tribal people below them.

The accused is denied a fair trial, the process is purely gendered (tribal women) and the due process of the law is continually undermined.

So it is not only the ABC and the Guardian and other feminist dominated media which always undermine due process (like virtue signaling politicians who show no regard for due process) - especially when they are attacking one of their enemies - men or Non Green/Labour party humans - but all courts from the high court down where women and other tribal types dominate the process (feminists, blacks, causists). This is now throughout all courts - Family court, Magistrates courts, federal court and the High court - and most government agencies, universities, schools, etc.

See **Women Lawyers – Corruption – Feminism – Debate** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf>

In a recent court trial - once again **played out in the media** where politicians around the country virtue signaled their tribal certainty around "gender" - in a mob like tribal binary - the ability to have a fair trial has been completely compromised by the insistence of feminists to ignore the law and rouse large tribal mobs to march on parliament house and dominate all media coverage. **Bow down before us or you will be executed.** *You are either with us or against us* - cries them mob.

This is what **ABC** and Guardian and always have, and continue to do and encourage their staff to do every day as major "**Mob justice**" campaigns (**always was and always will be Black land**) . These are the townfolk with their flaming torches ready for vigilante "burning" and "executing" people we see in BLM (Blacks) and Extreme Causists - around the world - dating back to Witch trials, Crusades, Religious Wars, Blues and Greens in Roman times, etc.

Now - none of these women and weak minded tribal men - who undermine the rule of law and the authority and trust in the legal system - will ever be called to account - because **THE EXAMPLE HAS ALREADY BEEN SET BY THE HIGH COURT ON HOW TO BEHAVE.**

It is worth considering the models and technique I have illustrated above about how binary thinkers lack cognition and lie about everything and absolute certainty.

- Firstly - the high court investigates everything based on gender - and sets/reinforces the tribal binary example using the media to influence and support its decisions - rousing the mob.
- Then a Jury is selected where there are 8 women and 4 men to hear a trial against a man
- The trial is heard before a women judge
 - If the jury was made up of all men - there would be outrage(18C) and claims of bias
 - If the jury was made up of mainly men - there would be outrage(18C) and claims of bias
 - If the jury was made up of mainly women - there be claims of fairness and balance
 - If the jury was made up of All women - there be claims of fairness
- If the trial is heard before a male judge - there would concerns of influence and bias

None of the women - politicians, barristers, lawyers, media who are in full contempt of court and have been for months - **are ever called to account**.

This is the same as women who make false allegations - who lie - who manufacture completely false narratives - are **never called to account**.


This is the same as the women who accused me of raping and killing her (3 times) - was **never called to account** - in fact she was fully supported by judges, magistrates and staff throughout the process. Instead anyone who dares to object to these lies and false accusation **is abused** - (5 years now) by anyone (Politicians, Media, Human Rights, Ombudsman, Canberra Courts and staff, etc) they raise the issue with and cancelled.

The system is **unredeemably fully corrupt** - dominated by women (feminists) blacks and tribal cause agendas - from the high court to the family court and other courts and legislative systems all over Australia.

Politicians are deluded as we know - but none more so than the pig-ignorant Greens/Labour types - not only the women (the feminists caustic types) - but also the fully corrupt men (it is all about gender and themselves - their virtue - their profit - their tax rorts - their government systems and entitlements rorts - their friends and family) - who, when they get elected claim a "popular Victory". They deluded - they are not "Popular" - in fact they are **MOSTLY HATED AND NOT TRUSTED** to be capable. The **Opposite of "Popular"** and "having a mandate"

If they **kept this reality in mind** they might moderate their behaviour - but instead they fill themselves with smug delusion and superior bully and abuse - like Magistrates like Robert Cook in the A.C.T. Magistrates court stroking their own virtue and looking to getting "declared victims" to stroke them and praise them for being 'Good'. They are deluded narcissistic psychopaths - all too willing (backed by tribal media - the ABC, Stan Grant, Laura Tingle, etc) to abuse other people in smug violent and abusive ways.


Here let me explain their delusion using my models. As you know all voting systems come down at some stage to comparison between two candidates - sometimes via long processes of elimination and comparisons - but at the end it is only two so we can use my models.



So the Me candidate gets 10% as a single vote of the population. 30% either cannot, invalidate their vote or do not vote. At this stage the idea that 10% is a popular vote is absurd. So instead they change the numbers and say well - there were 8 candidates and out of the 7 other candidates none

got more than 10% of the single candidate only vote - therefore I am “Popular” with the population. The next higher single vote for any of the others was 8% - therefore the “first past the post” system would claim that 10% was the “Most popular”.

Then it goes to preferences where the combinations are all worked through until there are the last two left. The final two candidate race. Now there are **many variations of this** where the candidates not in the running in the others category can take the votes given to them and hand them to the Me candidate or the Other 1 candidate - **ignoring** the **NON preferences** of the people who voted for them. In this example I do not do that.




Preferences were distributed and the single **other 1** candidate left against **me** got 6% of preferences to go from 8% to 14% and Me got 5% of preferences to go from 10% to 15% - in other words the preference voters preferred **other 1** more than **Me**. As a total only 29% of the population was considered in the end. 30% did not vote or could not vote (invalid) in some way, 29% of preferences were not distributed, 12% of the single votes for others were discarded.

So in this example - at most stages of the vote and distribution process - the finally successful ME candidate is the least popular choice. In all regards this a process **designed to chose the “least worst” candidate** - the least hated and despised - yet for some reason these deluded psychopaths walk around saying they have a “Mandate” and they are “Popular”, Nothing could be more demonstrably **FURTHER FROM THE TRUTH** - especially then when you combine it with other seats in the house and senate and their results and all the wheeling and dealing between individuals and groups and **STILL** someone claims “Popular Mandate” is **Deluded narcissism** - is insane and specifically against the idea of **DEBATING** issues in parliament.

In the model below the box of with me is really partially with me partially with opposition. The same with the other diagonal box Against me is really partially with me partially with opposition. The other thing to note is the Good Group - is a group of individuals. The binaries then use the Binary switch mechanism of the “winning” “Good” **group** to declare themselves as “Certain Good” **individuals** and thus excuse all of their own behaviour in a **psychopathic deluded narcissistic tribal abusive zealot** way. Like we see in Argentinean Boca soccer matches with tribal riots and tribal soccer match riots in Europe. (Good/Bad - Hero/victim - Victim(entitled victim narrative)/Perpetrator - Hero/Perpetrator (drama triangle). Like we see historic Rome and Green and Blue tribes. Like we see with the various religious zealot groups. Like we see with Magistrates and Judges - who consider themselves “Good” in an intellectual and morally superior way. Like all the Board, Management and Staff at the ABC

who “win” by getting public funding therefore they are “Good” they “SAVE LIVES” (THEY DECLARE on regular basis) How Good is THAT!!! and like all “good” they are never held to account.




Regardless - the idea that the process is a “Popularity Process” is absurd. It is an **election process** - then **responsible debate starts between the elected**. You do not **cancel debate** because you “won” or think you are “Good”. This was an “**idea of the West**”

Questions to Ask before a Debate

For me to assert an idea - I need to do some work. **Have I done enough** to prepare for a debate about this Topic? I need to use my frames to examine things - I need to take responsibility for my own learning and deal with my own corruptions. I must understand my biases and failings as well as which parts I am Emphasizing or Omitting - my confirmation biases and habits. I should try not to appeal to popularism (or **casually call out the mob to demonize the other**) - it is not whether many people like my ideas - it is whether they make sufficient sense- whether they survive Schopenhauer’s **Sufficient Reason** examination or anyone else’s examination for that matter. I am always willing to learn and improve - this might provide some kind of concept of personal individual “justice” as existence as human being

I treat the idea of debating important Topics as essential for groups - like the Greeks did with Aristotle, Plato and Socrates. Much of the world history is based on the idea of debates and what happens when you do not have them or the process becomes corrupted.



I understand that we all have problems trying to make sense in a debate and their will people who bring different ideas. See **Observe The Fool and the Expert** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Observe-The-Fool-and-The-Expert.pdf>

Tyrants, dictators and narcissistic deluded psychopaths like Andrew Barr, Andrew Leigh, Penny Wong, Albo - all the Greens/Labour politician - Russian Dictators, Chinese dictators, Tin Pot third world regimes - they do not debate anything - they just "do" - they do not actually believe there is any need to debate anything with anyone - not even themselves - that's why they even attack and demean their own - Kimberly Kitching - they kill internal "dissent in the ranks" that's why Bill Shorten calls people who complain and demonstrate about Mandated lockdowns "far right extremists" - like the ABC, Guardian - the mobs and the tribes do - but they also attack and demean anyone who dares to debate. A best they will run "publicity" and "education" programmes for anyone who dares to suggest a debate - or simply kill them or demand that they leave any forum where a debate is being stage managed and framed in a **begging the question** kind of way - "How can we do more for women and blacks?", "How can we advance the great Chinese Tribe" for example.

For example Andrew Barr legalizes drugs for everybody, mandates domestic violence laws against any man who has an allegation made against them by a woman, sentience, marriage, human rights, Trams throughout Canberra - and anything he feels like doing at the time. What he will not do is fix the roads, clean the lake, trim the trees, stop corruption, reduce the size and power of himself and political friends and reduce the costs of the public service.

Like most political leaders now - he is a psychopathic dictatorial authoritarian Tyrant - a corrupt liar (I am not corrupt is one of his biggest lies) - banal - he does not care how many people of the state agree with him or support his ideas (it does not enter his head that debate is required or that the people exist in any way other than to be told what to do and do as he says) - like the Greeks had tyrants to be ultimate dictator of the city - that's why they got Draconian laws - that's why their cities went to a mess - that's why Solon was called in. They exercise their power - in secret - not even in public - they lie and hide any evidence of their decisions ("**I can't recall**" "**Someone else did it**" - says Dan Andrews, Gladys, Qld and all the politicians) there is no debate - it is a totalitarian dictatorship - records are not kept - lies are told every day - everything is kept as a shared conversational secret corruption between all the parties involved - those who smile, nod, wink, laugh and sneer at the "others". They do what ever they like whenever they like - the only "**sufficient reason**" is themselves - the self - they exist - they are the "ultimate" authority and will do whatever they like. That is the way things are done "around here" - Brisbane, Melbourne, Canberra, ABC board management and staff, all the feminist lead organizations - the courts, schools, universities, boards, local councils, public services, charities - rampant self interest and corruption. They all do it - "**that's the way we do things around here.**"

For them there is no idea of "corruption", or "debate" - only Power - the power of "self" to do whatever self thinks is a "good idea" at the time. The "good" tyrannical dictator.

- Where did you get your assertions?
- Ideas become abstracted out of self but the need to be explained - too often people say "That is difficult to explain in your Language" or "you would not understand" - if you cannot explain it then you don't understand it sufficiently.
- What work did you do to get your ideas?
- Are you prepared to stop and re-investigate?
- Come back when you have done more work and know a bit more about what you are talking about.

The idea of people engaging with and exploring everyone else's ideas could be considered an "idea of the west"

To prepare for a debate you should read widely and educate yourself. **Plato** and **Aristotle** to begin with.


The Not Not - Not for Self, Not for Others

An **asserted certainty**. A Base - a starting point - and avoidance of corruption.

Jordan Peterson Self-Authoring - try to do something - pick anything and try to do it. Try something you have no idea about or not good at. Try some things try many things - try things you enjoy.

Overcome binary thinking and try to understand the not not. See all my articles.

Adult Development - something to strive for



I'm on Top the World - The Carpenters

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Top_of_the_World_\(The_Carpenters_song\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Top_of_the_World_(The_Carpenters_song)) "your love has put me at the top of the world"

Useful Hierarchies of Abstraction

A meta model and technique explained.

e.g. - Nation, Queen, Flags, Songs, Traditions, Ceremonies. High level **nonspecific, non draconian** stuff and routines and collective activities - like sport, art shows, county fairs, farmers markets, show days, theatre, humour, debate, jokes, songs, poems, etc.

Cubism <https://www.tate.org.uk/art/art-terms/c/cubism> , <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cubism> was an artistic movement in 1900s lead by **Georges Braque** and **Pablo Picasso** but had developed from general explorations of **abstractions** from **impressionism** (seeing things from the ego's perspective).

Deconstructionalism <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deconstruction> emerged as both philosophy and art from this time as a continued extension of exploration of the ego make sense of the universe.

You could see "enough" of the art to get the idea of a "woman" or "guitar" or "some thing".

Surrealism, Dadaism, Futurism and other artistic movements played around with abstractions and deconstruction - I liked **Umberto Boccioni 1882-1916 Unique Forms of Continuity in Space** sculpture of what seems like a human walking stridently - bringing in a time dimension. I also liked Pablo Picasso's Don Quixote inks - which started as a detailed abstractions until there were versions which

seemed like a few simple brush strokes to try to capture the idea. Like Chinese/Japanese ink calligraphy - pictograms.



But also Picasso's Guernica [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Guernica_\(Picasso\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Guernica_(Picasso)) and the depiction of the Spanish Civil war (which I have not studied in detail) - which seem to have all the hallmarks of utopian fantasy, anarchy, brutality, tribalism and despair which affected George Orwell so much and has left a lasting legacy on the Spanish people - especially the tension between Madrid and Barcelona - which is still very evident today.

Certainty became manifested in philosophy https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jacques_Derrida and things were dogmatically reduced to binary certainty - like lawyers. Reducing things to binary certainty is a dangerous and totalitarian approach to things - having some kind of "right amount" of general abstraction is a difficult initial self-reference and recursive idea to grasp.

<https://antilogicalism.files.wordpress.com/2017/07/speech-and-phenomena.pdf> *Noticing "significant" "signs", having "ideas", Frege's - Sense/reference, Simple/Atoms - difference -signs - expressions as meaningful signs." For all these reasons, the distinction between **indication** and **expression** cannot rightfully be made as one between a non-linguistic and linguistic sign.*

So the idea of **sufficiently vague - becomes enjoyable and creative** - as with jokes and all the various forms of joking and playing around with ideas. In Greek plays, Roman speeches, Medieval architecture, Madrigal songs, Poems, etc. Then the idea of doing plays - playing - **allowing and encouraging people to connect their own schemas** - of doing art of doing songs of doing creative things - of play and enjoyment - rather than **optimized certain dogma - the totalitarian "State" Statism - "Absolute Certainty"**.

Thinkers and writers of their time explored the madness around them and tried to **play with idea** and get some engaged with thinking. Alexander Pope was despised for his truth-filled insights. Kierkegaard was pushing the envelope of the tribal certainty he found himself living in.

Alexander Pope - Lifespan[1688 to 1744] Rank(30) Keyword(Philosophy, Poetry)

<https://www.poetryfoundation.org/poets/alexander-pope>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexander_Pope <https://oll.libertyfund.org/title/boynton-the-complete-poetical-works-of-alexander-pope>

Søren Aabye Kierkegaard - Lifespan[1813 to 1855] Rank(40) Keyword(Philosophy, Logic)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/S%C3%B8ren_Kierkegaard

<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/kierkegaard/>

https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/S%C3%B8ren_Kierkegaard

The Proper Sphere of Government (Rank:50) :Author(**Herbert Spencer**) :Year[1843] Age(23)
:Keyword(Group Nation Politics) <https://oll.libertyfund.org/page/spencer-proper-sphere-of-government-1843> <https://www.amnh.org/research/research-library/search/research-guides/herbert-spencer-cyclopeda>
[https://philosophynow.org/issues/40/Forgotten Philosophers Herbert Spencer](https://philosophynow.org/issues/40/Forgotten_Philosophers_Herbert_Spencer)

So when we organize nations we can have a **separation of powers** concept
[https://www.aph.gov.au/About Parliament/House of Representatives/Powers practice and procedure/00 - Infosheets/Infosheet 20 - The Australian system of government](https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/House_of_Representatives/Powers_practice_and_procedure/00_-_Infosheets/Infosheet_20_-_The_Australian_system_of_government) ,
<https://peo.gov.au/understand-our-parliament/how-parliament-works/system-of-government/separation-of-powers/> but not only for three structures - but for many structures with the nations. The idea of separation of powers is to reduce corruption and totalitarian certainty and to **set up boundary layers** where **questions are bravely asked** and **corruption detected** and exposed. **People are called to account** for their actions in government. This is an idea of the West. In totalitarian regimes - the state controls all layers of the nation - there is no separation of powers. Nepotism, Corruption, Lies, Propaganda, Tribalism, etc - are all encouraged and supported in **tyrannical totalitarian regimes**.

Power tends to corrupt (Lord Acton) and humans become tyrannical and totalitarian which leads to violence, destruction and disarray. "Certain Justice" is often the tyrant's **destructive cause**. Binary certaintists.

Lord Acton/John Emerich Edward Dalberg-Acton Acton - Lifespan[1834 to 1902] Rank(10)
Keyword(History, Philosophy) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John Dalberg-Acton, 1st Baron Acton](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Dalberg-Acton,_1st_Baron_Acton)
<https://www.acton.org/research/lord-acton-quote-archive> <https://oll.libertyfund.org/person/john-emerich-edward-dalberg-lord-acton>

Plato introduces the art of the question - of all matters - the **question and discussion of all things** is displayed as normal day-to-day activity. **Humans discuss everything** - constantly questioning. Comparing and contrasting things - comparing two things at once - abstracting ideas - taking things to extremes and imagining the questions and consequences - linking ideas together once they have explored ideas and reached some kind of solid foundation - the **constructions of shared schemas via dialogues**.

Aristotle extends the philosophical discussion and **Well Ordered State**, Community and **constitutions** are explored. What are things called and how are they different - using mainly a compare and contrast binary comparison with recognition of something else emerging in the middle somewhere What makes up a state? People - citizens? Ruler/ Subjects? Adult/Child? Authority (Agency to Act)? Just/Unjust? Many variations and ideas explored and discussed with a recognition of a difference between Good and Bad versions - deviant,Just - exploring between binary certain. A middle between the extremes - a "Middle Class" is also explored.

Polybius documents the history of his recent times and then starts to **formulate ideas of patterns** of change in city states - how they arise and de-generate over time.

Montesquieu/Charles-Louis de Secondat, Baron de La Brède et de Montesquieu extends the discussion and resolved the **balance of powers** between **three** specific structures - The Legislative

Authority, Executive Authority and Judicial Authority. Most current democracies are based around these ideas. The idea that the number 3 (something in-between the binary 2) on balance - would reduce degeneration to extreme tyranny, despotism, totalitarianisms, etc.

Montesquieu was a student of history as well as Polybius - so he was developing his ideas based on trying to understand history and observing and noticing things around him.

Also see **Thomas Aquinas**, Giannozzo Manetti, Niccolò Machiavelli, Jean Bodin, **Thomas Hobbes**, **Francis Bacon**, James Harrington (1611-1677), William Petty (1623 -1687), Jean-Jacques Rousseau, Adam Smith (1723-1790), Thomas Paine, Marquis of Condorcet, Karl Marx, **Herbert Spencer**, Thomas Henry Huxley, **John Locke**

Two Treatises On Government (Rank:10) :Author(**John Locke**) :Year[1689] Age(57)
:Keyword(Individual Philosophy Liberty) <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/author/2447>
<http://www.yorku.ca/comninel/courses/3025pdf/Locke.pdf>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Locke

An Essay Concerning Humane Understanding (Rank:1) :Author(**John Locke**) :Year[1690] Age(58)
:Keyword(Individual Philosophy Humanism) <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/author/2447>
<https://www.pdfdrive.com/two-treatises-of-government-by-john-locke-d37895274.html>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Locke

Of The Conduct Of Understanding (Rank:1) :Author(**John Locke**) :Year[1704] Age(72)
:Keyword(Group Development Humanism, Learning, Understanding)
https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Of_the_Conduct_of_the_Understanding
<https://archive.org/details/lockesconductofu00lock/page/n9/mode/2up>

Montesquieu/Charles-Louis de Secondat, Baron de La Brède et de Montesquieu - Lifespan[1689 to 1755] Rank(10) Keyword(Philosophy, Politics) <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Montesquieu>
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/montesquieu/> <https://oll.libertyfund.org/page/montesquieu-and-the-separation-of-powers>

The Republic - Document[-380] Rank(10) Author(**Plato**) Age(47) Keyword(Individual Nation Justice, Nation) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Republic_\(Plato\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Republic_(Plato)) <https://www.iep.utm.edu/republic/>
<http://classics.mit.edu/Plato/republic.html> *Introduction "A real element of Socratic teaching, which is more prominent in the Republic than in any of the other Dialogues of Plato, is the use of **example** and **illustration** ('taphorhtika auto prhospherhontez'): "Let us apply the test of common instances." "You," says Adeimantus, ironically, in the sixth book, "are so unaccustomed to **speak in images**." And this use of examples or images, though truly Socratic in origin, is enlarged by the genius of Plato into the form of an **allegory** or **parable**, which embodies in the concrete what has been already described, or is about to be described, in the abstract. Thus the figure of the cave in Book VII is a recapitulation of the divisions of knowledge in Book VI. The composite animal in Book IX is an allegory of the parts of the soul. The noble captain and the ship and the true pilot in Book VI are a figure of the relation of the people to the philosophers in the State which has been described. Other figures, such as the dog in the second, third, and fourth books, or the marriage of the portionless maiden in the sixth book, or the drones and wasps in the eighth and ninth books, also **form links of connection** in long passages, or are used to recall previous discussions."*

Yes, I know.

And the government is the ruling power in each state?

Certainly.

And the different forms of government make laws democratical, aristocratical, tyrannical, with a view to their several interests; and these laws, which are made by them for their own interests, are the justice which they deliver to their subjects, and him who transgresses them they punish as a breaker of the law, and unjust. And that is what I mean when I say that in all states there is the same principle of justice, which is the interest of the government; and as the government must be supposed to have power, the only reasonable conclusion is, that everywhere there is one principle of justice, which is the interest of the stronger.

Now I understand you, I said; and whether you are right or not I will try to discover. But let me remark, that in defining justice you have yourself used the word 'interest' which you forbade me to use. It is true, however, that in your definition the words 'of the stronger' are added.

A small addition, you must allow, he said.

Great or small, never mind about that: we must first enquire whether what you are saying is the truth. Now we are both agreed that justice is interest of some sort, but you go on to say 'of the stronger'; about this addition I am not so sure, and must therefore consider further.

Proceed.

I will; and first tell me, Do you admit that it is just or subjects to obey their rulers?

I do.

But are the rulers of states absolutely infallible, or are they sometimes liable to err?

To be sure, he replied, they are liable to err.

Then in making their laws they may sometimes make them rightly, and sometimes not?

True.

When they make them rightly, they make them agreeably to their interest; when they are mistaken, contrary to their interest; you admit that?

Yes.

And the laws which they make must be obeyed by their subjects, --and that is what you call justice?

Doubtless.

Then justice, according to your argument, is not only obedience to the interest of the stronger but the reverse?

What is that you are saying? he asked.

I am only repeating what you are saying, I believe. But let us consider: Have we not admitted that the rulers may be mistaken about their own interest in what they command, and also that to obey them is justice? Has not that been admitted?

Yes.

Then you must also have acknowledged justice not to be for the interest of the stronger, when the rulers unintentionally command things to be done which are to their own injury. For if, as you say, justice is the obedience which the subject renders to their commands, in that case, O wisest of men, is there any escape from the conclusion that the weaker are commanded to do, not what is for the interest, but what is for the injury of the stronger?

Nothing can be clearer, Socrates, said Polemarchus

Also See **temperance** <http://classics.mit.edu/Plato/charmides.html>


Politics - Document[-325] Rank(1) Author(**Aristotle**) Age(59) Keyword(Individual Nation Justice, Nation) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Politics_\(Aristotle\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Politics_(Aristotle)) <https://www.iep.utm.edu/aris-pol/> <http://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/politics.html> <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aristotle-politics/>

Histories - Document[-146] Rank(1) Author(**Polybius**) Age(54) Keyword(Group Nation History, Library) <https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/Polybius/home.html> <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Plb.+toc&redirect=true> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Histories_\(Polybius\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Histories_(Polybius))

<http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.01.0234%3Abook%3D6%3Achapter%3D3> *"But in my opinion the **question** might fairly be put to them, whether they name these as being the **only ones**, or as the **best**. In either case I think they are wrong. For it is plain that we must regard as the best constitution that which partakes of all these three elements. And this is no mere assertion, but has been proved by the example of Lycurgus, who was the first to construct a constitution—that of Sparta—on this principle. Nor can we admit that these are the only forms: for we have had before now examples of absolute and tyrannical forms of government, which, while differing as widely as possible from kingship, yet appear to have some points of resemblance to it; on which account all absolute rulers falsely assume and use, as far as they can, the title of king. Again there have been many instances of oligarchical governments having in appearance some analogy to aristocracies, which are, if I may say so, as different from them as it is possible to be. The same also holds good about democracy." .." Originally then it is probable that the condition of life among men was this,—**herding together like animals** and following the **strongest and bravest as leaders**. The limit of this authority would be physical strength, and the name we should give it would be **despotism**. But as soon as the **idea of family ties and social relation** has arisen amongst such agglomerations of men, then is born also the idea of kingship, and then for the first time mankind conceives the notion of **goodness and justice and their reverse**." .." **How Kingship Turns into Tyranny** .. But when their royal power became hereditary in their family, and they found every necessary for security ready to their hands, as well as more than was necessary for their personal support, then they gave the rein to their appetites; imagined that rulers must needs wear different clothes from those of subjects; have different and elaborate luxuries of the table; and must even seek*

sensual indulgence, however unlawful the source, without fear of denial.” “Degeneration of Constitutions”

The Spirit of the Laws - Document[1748] Rank(40) Author(**Montesquieu**) Age(59) Keyword(Group Development Politics) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Spirit_of_the_Laws
<https://oll.libertyfund.org/title/montesquieu-complete-works-vol-1-the-spirit-of-laws>
https://archive.org/stream/MontesquieuTheSpiritOfLawsCambridgeIntegral/Montesquieu%20-%20%27%27The%20Spirit%20of%20Laws%27%27%20%5BCambridge%2C%20integral%5D_djvu.txt



Sufficiency or Optimized

So this plurality idea is useful. Imagine the certain dogma all in a **"book of certainty"**

- Who wrote the book?
- How often should the Book change?
- Who makes the changes?
- Who can't make changes?
- Who chooses to ignore the book of certainty?
- When should debate of change be guillotined?

Something in-between? I keep constantly begging the question by **framing things too closely**.

Is being sufficiently vague enough? What about not extremely vague and not extremely certain? I will have an apple - you choose - as long as it is an apple - thanks.

Now the Monty Python Cheese shop sketch is an example of the too much specification problem (dogma) - to go through the entire list of cheeses. But then another version is to ask questions like "What size", "What colour", "When", How many, which country, what animal, etc - in an infinity of dogmatic certainty.

An Encouraging Word - Hope Springs Eternal

You have no idea what is going to happen next. No-one does. No-one can tell you. No scientist, philosopher, parent, priest, book, politician, doctor, epidemiologist, statistician, etc. You can be


afraid of this or you can embrace this and appreciate it. Many enjoyable things emerge naturally - for family, friends and society in general allow for good things to happen. Love. Hope.

Nation is a concept of **Hope**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Home_on_the_Range Dr. Brewster M. Higley "My Western Home" "...A home, a home where the deer and the antelope play, Where **never is heard a discouraging word** And the sky is not clouded all day."

Hope that tribalism, hate, binary extremists can **rally around a concept of tolerance and cooperation** - which is Nation. The idea of "Nation" is an idea of the west. Solon knew it. Greeks knew it.

The idea of a group of people overcoming the binary tribalism and tit-for-tat groupthink resentment - this is an "idea of the West".



Student: But Jon, why do you keep abusing people and calling them names?

Jon: Describing behaviors in a schematic way - that is connected to other ideas is different to calling them "Evil" and asking the tribe to "exterminate them" - it is more complicated than that. Even highly paid public servants need to communicate with the people they serve from time to time - no matter how much it upsets their complacency. Russian, Chinese, Feminist, Communist, etc tyrannical dictators exists everywhere throughout time - cancelling or killing one does not stop the next one from coming along.

Student: But you are so harsh in your communication.

Jon: 18C. Sometimes when things are spinning **closer to chaos** they start wobbling and wobbling and shaking until all the collected things are about to fall apart and explode - the system needs a "shock" - a "Push" - just enough to help it stabilize but not too much to break it apart.

Student: But you hate women so much Jon.

Jon: How interesting that you should think that - firstly it begs the constant Group identity question. Secondly - it is women who call themselves "Women" - I am simply using their *preferred pronouns* in a clearly demeaning, legally mandated and indulging way - like children - they are being indulged.

Germaine Greer could engage with life at a human level - while still recognizing herself as a "human" and a woman who did not to be restricted, defined and controlled by labels or expectations of others. Hannah Arendt did not want to be called a "Jew" or a "woman" - it was her ideas that mattered. Those people who do not publicly declare themselves women are not affected by my conversations. It's a bit like a baby walking up - as now a fully grown adult - walking around and saying to everyone - "I'm a baby - now everyone do what I want or I will cry and throw a tantrum" (think "mean girls", the "Stare", the threat of violence and tantrum) - is that a way to have

communication and cooperation - or is it only tribal moblike abuse and passive aggressive “victimness”?

Stop expecting to be treated specially because of your identity.

To explain bit more - if you spend a lifetime telling people that they are “Women” or “Blacks” and “Special” and “entitled” and - worse still “Victims” - then they are going to be held back from discovering and exploring the idea of humanity. They have been **pigeon-holed** - you see that? If you then make everything easier for them in life - easier schooling, easy money, easy houses, no consequences for lying and criminal conduct, rewarded for whinging, rewarded for public displays of wallowing in self-pity, easy gifts, all their lies go unpunished, free grants to go to university, free jobs in “Human Rights”, the ABC, public service (Office of women), “the voice” and constant focus and attention on their pigeon-holed performances - like over-indulged babies - then they turn into spoiled narcissistic psychopaths - who not only destroy themselves - but seek to destroy everyone and everything around them. It is on a feedback loop - a reinforcing circle which leads to chaos.

You end up with people (Women (on average - quotas/targets/victims) and blacks, etc) lying on the ground with the feet and hands in the air - throwing public tantrums - crying, winging and complaining like babies and spoiled 2 year olds.

Student: You hate tribal groups then - see, you are a hater.

Jon: You can form into all the groups you like - even tantrum groups - but the **concept of Nation** - which has been developing in humanity over many thousands of years now is a different concept to “empire” or “Tribe”. In nations - the nation is a **deliberately partially obscure concept** (Sufficiently vague, Sufficiently certain - a sufficient level of abstraction) which is worked on by the people at the time. It is to be understood, appreciated and recognized as something to be grateful for - over all the other possibilities. It is hope. This requires some education about history, philosophy, maths, geography, science and many other things - quite wide education and the idea of learning. The idea of learning is an idea of the west - this is a different idea to the idea of dogma - which is an idea of the “east” and tribal binary types.

So - for me - the tolerance idea - is an idea of “the West” - is not flag waving for blacks, jews, trans, women, gay LGBTIQWERTY+ (which is rampant tribalism) - because then I am stuck with taking the knee to every mob flag raised by some identity group. A constant virtue signal to the constant tribe. It is not only tedious - it tends to become tribal passive aggressive abuse and power games.

For example - I don’t need to wear coloured ribbons for each cause - or march in “pride” events for each group - as a “Conforming with the group” or “proving I am innocent” obsequiousness - because I am proud and grateful of the “Nation” idea - that this “Nation” tolerates everyone - within the rules of law which we have. I don’t have to wave everyone else’s group flag all the time - I can **get on with things** and appreciate the nation I live in. We could have a national day - let us call it “Australia Day” - where we celebrate tolerance - not by having tribal ceremonies - but coming together as individuals - not tribes - a plurality - which share a nation?

Waving the flag of blacks or Jews or Women within nations destroys the idea of Nation and demeans the very general tolerance we have and replaces it with rampant tribalism. Now that the legislators

are fully tribal - the laws and the courts and public services have become more tribal and draconian and there is no shortage of historical comparisons how this goes - in my prognosis.

Student: So you do not tolerate all flag wavers - just some flag wavers - doesn't that make you a hypocrite?

Jon: When you see people gathering around a flag - they are gathering around a concept - an **idea**. Some ideas are very detailed and specific - some are suitably vague. For example the Black flag is not a "proud flag" - it is a victim and passive aggressive abuse flag designed to divide a nation and blame, shame and abuse others. The Gay pride flag was originally a **proud tolerance flag** - not of the Gays themselves - because now many **trans activists** have become the **least tolerant tribal and violent abusive types of humans** (like the blacks - BLM, feminists and other violent tribal extremists) - but that the **society they are in is/was tolerant** and recognized differences in the nation - the **nation was saying** - *"Sure - you can fly a group flag if you like because in this nation we tolerate differences in humans"*.

See - it is a kind of Plurality idea within a nation (plurality is a idea of "The West")

But now these flags are no longer celebrating tolerance and plurality within a nation - they **have become weapons** of the **mobs/tribes** and the binary extremist type cognitively impaired we see in the Greens/Labour tribes - Feminists, Marxists, fascists, communists, women (on average - groupthink, quotas, targets, victims, mobs, etc) and weak minded-men. If you do not fly their tribal flags - the mob will attack you and abuse you. If you do not employ a trans, black, pronoun obsessed, tribal identity type then you are cancelled - in a binary, tribal, mob vigilante, zealot extremists kind of way. If you constantly fail to "take the knee" to these fuckwits - the tribal mobs seek to destroy you. See, the tribes gather together and work together as tribal mobs - like the Mongols and the Tartars working together to destroy everything and exert power over everyone else.

See/Notice they celebrate tribal divisions, hatred and the entitled victim narrative rather the hope of nations - or the plurality and tolerance of groups of people around the idea of a nation.

Student: But all you are doing is foisting your ideas on everyone else - why should anyone choose tolerance and plurality over tribalism, power, money and corruption.

Jon: Well that is something to think about isn't it? Why **should** anyone do anything? I mean, individuals operate at quite low levels in island tribes and Asian countries - everything is family and tribe - rampant self-interest and corruption - the nation concept is missing or not understood. Well I suppose if you look at my group Telos model - what I have observed is this idea of communication, cooperation, trust and justice has been a sustainable idea that ramps up from small size (in small life forms, quantum, cells and other models) - within a family to quite large size. And then as sizes and the number of people in this group idea changes we see other issues emerge. Corruption becomes more difficult to control. But we also see the ability to share resources and develop things which require more cooperation than a single tribe or family should ever be capable of. But we also see the power and the deluded narcissistic psychopath who wants to control the world - *now that this idea has ramped up in size - then why not make the whole planet just one Nation (under my tyrannically certain control)* - is the question they ask. One giant Jellyfish (colony of creatures). You do not want people to become "too big for their boots" and powerful deluded narcissistic psychopaths.

Nation is a complex idea and not one that pretend to fully understand - especially what the World "should do" about nations.

So the idea of balance and right sizing is something to be aware of - it is not so much "Optimizing" because that is a weapon of the binary extremists - it is the idea of "about the right size" or "That will do Pig" (**Babe**) or "Sufficiently cohesive and coherent" rather than "extremely efficient and effective in a fascist, Nazi, Communist binary extremist, Genetic mutilation, religious zealot kind of way. The story of Prometheus might help. You have to careful when playing with fire.

I do not have a Humanist Manifesto - I have a few ideas to communicate with others. I hope others can communicate with me but I seem to living in times where any expectation of communication seems quite low - its mostly anger, abuse and tribal narratives now - except in small places where I search out for other humans I can talk with.

It's a bit like books and conversations - you can read and discuss with others - anything you care for and are interested in. This is an "Idea of the West".

Music is good too - especially when you are young - enjoy music - play it loud and dance your heart out to it - scream and shout and the madness and chaos around you. Join with others and mingle your minds, bodies and ideas. All the great classics - but also the new music the ideas - the **ideas and explorations of the youth** - because what is "new" now, may become a classic with time.

Play the **NEW RADICALS** - "You get what you give" - "*You Get What You Give*" is a 1998 song by the New Radicals. It was an international hit, the first and most successful single from their album *Maybe You've Been Brainwashed Too.* on **full volume** and sing the Lyrics while dancing or playing along (I found around G#m7 / C#m and a few suspended 4th chords - the base line is strongly juxtaposed along the variations of 7ths - very few straight major chords - but it depends on tuning, - they seem to be playing A,D minor - etc)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DL7-CKirWZE>

<https://www.lyrics.com/lyric/10359821/The+New+Radicals/You+Get+What+You+Give>

1, 2,

1, 2, 3

Wake up kids

We've got the dreamers disease

Age 14 we got you down on your knees

So polite, you're busy still saying please

Frienemies, who when you're down ain't your friend

Every night we smash their Mercedes-Benz

First we run, and then we laugh 'til we cry

But when the night is falling

You cannot find the light

You feel your dreams are dying

Hold tight

You've got the music in you

Don't let go

You've got the music in you

One dance left

This world is gonna pull through

Don't give up

You've got a reason to live

Can't forget

We only get what we give

Cohesion and Comprehensiveness - Sufficiency

if you are going to assert a collection of ideas - a narrative - and architecture of laws - a framework of ideas - a group Telos, etc then you **might** (it's a choice) want to look at the examples in books - some of the dogma and some the attempts others have made over the years.

This idea of looking at books and records to examine the ideas and schemas is one **review** idea. Other review ideas are to explore and examine the landscape - examine the world - look at maps - "**look up**" and around - travel the world and universe. Then explore the imagination of poetry, drama, music, art and other creative works. Examine cultures and differences. Try different things - make different choices.

Then you might want to examine some meta-frame - some general principles of architecture - sufficiently vague and sufficiently certain. These might be things like **Aught/ought** (David Hume) and **Utility** (John Stuart Mill). The **Greater Good** and **Least Harm** emerge as competing principles - the idea of ideas balanced and compared with each other.

So we get the **idea of sufficiency** which is really the balancing of two general concepts - **that will never work** and **that is sufficient enough** stand the **test of time** and **challenges of chaos and uncertainty** - a kind of sustainability.

So while chaos and uncertainty are some ideas and challenges - we know through our research - that there are some ideas which manifest themselves in base human behaviour that we need to be aware of and try to avoid. To do this we need to recognize and understand them - not as actions of a magical demon *"The devil made me do it"* - but as part of the reality of the human condition.

The Fourfold Root Of The Principle Of Sufficient Reason (Rank:10) :Author(**Arthur Schopenhauer**)

:Year[1813] Age(25) :Keyword(Individual Philosophy Choice)


<http://www.gutenberg.org/files/50966/50966-h/50966-h.htm>

<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/schopenhauer/>

https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/On_the_Fourfold_Root_of_the_Principle_of_Sufficient_Reason

*"THE divine Plato and the marvellous Kant unite their mighty voices in recommending a rule, to serve as the method of all philosophising as well as of all other science.[7] Two laws, they tell us: the law of homogeneity and the law of specification, should be equally observed, neither to the disadvantage of the other. The **law of homogeneity** directs us to collect things together into kind, by observing their resemblances and correspondences, to collect kinds again into species, species into genera, and so on, till at last we come to the highest all-comprehensive conception. Now this law, being transcendental, i.e. **essential to our Reason**, takes for granted that Nature conforms with it: an assumption which is expressed by the ancient formula, entia præter necessitatem non esse multiplicanda. As for the **law of specification**, Kant expresses it thus: entium varietates non temere esse minuendas. It requires namely, that we should **clearly distinguish one from another** the different genera collected under one comprehensive conception; likewise that we should not confound the higher and lower species comprised in each genus; that we should be careful not to overleap any, and never to classify inferior species, let alone individuals, immediately under the generic conception: each conception being susceptible of subdivision, and none even coming down to mere intuition. Kant teaches that both laws are transcendental, fundamental principles of our Reason, which postulate conformity of things with them a priori; and Plato, when he tells us that these rules were flung down from the seat of the gods with the Promethean fire, seems to express the same thought in his own way." - He states plurality up-front.*

*"...Should I succeed in showing that the principle which forms the subject of the present inquiry does not issue directly from one primitive notion of our intellect, but rather in the first instance from various ones, it will then follow, that neither can the necessity it brings with it, as a firmly established **a priori principle**, be **one and the same in all cases**, but must, on the contrary, **be as manifold as the sources of the principle itself.**"*



*"Pseudo-philosophers, on the contrary, use speech, not indeed to conceal **their thoughts**, as M. de Talleyrand has it, but rather to **conceal the absence of them**, and are apt to make their readers responsible for the **incomprehensibility of their systems**, which really proceeds from their own*

confused thinking. This explains why in certain writers—Schelling, for instance—the tone of instruction so often passes into that of reproach, and frequently the reader is even taken to task beforehand for his assumed inability to understand.”

“Its importance is indeed very great, since it may truly be called the basis of all science. For by science we understand a **system of notions**, i.e. a **totality of connected**, as opposed to a **mere aggregate of disconnected, notions**. But what is it that binds together the members of a system, if not the **Principle of Sufficient Reason**? That which distinguishes every science from a mere aggregate is precisely, that its **notions are derived one from another as from their reason**.”

“Considered by daylight, however, and without prejudice, this famous Ontological Proof is really a charming joke. On some occasion or other, some one excogitates a conception, composed out of all sorts of **predicates**, among which however he takes care to include the predicate actuality or existence, either openly stated or **wrapped up for decency's sake in some other predicate**, such as *perfectio*, *immensitas*, or something of the kind. Now, it is well known,—that, from a given conception, those predicates which are essential to it—i.e., without which it cannot be thought—and likewise the predicates which are essential to those predicates themselves, may be extracted by means of purely logical analyses, and consequently have logical truth : that is, they have their reason of knowledge in the given conception. Accordingly the predicate reality or existence is now extracted from this **arbitrarily thought conception**, and an object corresponding to it is forthwith presumed to have real existence independently of the conception.”

(he continues to explore the differences between **Cause** and **Reason** - along the lines of allowing **reason** to connect concepts together without demanding causality, effect, truth or existence - these are our **schemas** which we can explore and share with others

<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/schema/> - but don't become obsessed with dogmatic certainty)

He understands initial self-reference and recursion. *à priori*.

We have still to record various **fruitless attempts** which have been made to **prove** the Principle of Sufficient Reason, mostly without clearly defining in which sense it was taken: Wolf's, for instance, in his *Ontology*, § 70, repeated by Baumgarten in his "Metaphysics," § 20. It is useless to repeat and refute it here, as it obviously rests on a verbal quibble. Plattner[48] and Jakob[49] have tried other proofs, in which, however, **the circle is easily detected**. I purpose dealing with those of Kant further on, as I have already said. Since I hope, in the course of this treatise, to point out the different laws of our cognitive faculties, of which the principle of sufficient reason is the common expression, it will result as a matter of course, that **this principle cannot be proved**, and that, on the contrary, Aristotle's remark:[50] λόγον ζητοῦσι ὧν οὐκ ἔστι λόγος. ἀποδείξεως γὰρ ἀρχὴ οὐκ ἀπόδειξις ἐστι (*rationem eorum quaerant, quorum non est ratio: demonstrationis enim principium non est demonstratio*) may be applied with equal propriety to all these proofs. **For every proof is a reference to something already recognised**; and if we continue requiring a proof again for this something, whatever it be, we at last arrive at certain propositions which express the forms and laws, therefore the conditions, of all thought and of all knowledge, in the application of which consequently all thought and all knowledge consists: so that certainty is nothing but correspondence with those conditions, forms, and laws, therefore **their own certainty cannot again be ascertained by means of other propositions**.

Debates - Recording Both Sides of a Debate

This idea of **debating two sides** (Dialectic - At least this helps the binaries get started to explore plurality) goes back a long way. In some religions it is put as the **ongoing argument** between Good and Bad - where both concepts are personified in a Devil/Lucifer and God/Son of God - both are spawned from a declared absolute certainty which is the initial self-reference and recursion point. In justice it is the scales of justice and weighing up things. The Greeks and others already had a plurality and continuum model but it regressed to binary certainty as the tribes became less educated and more smugly violent and powerful. It is the Fisher's hypothesis test and the Type 1 and Type 2 errors for choice and survival.

In literature it is the **conscience discussing** things. The human who explores things in their own mind before acting. Weighing up things. **Having a conscience** - being aware and awake to the issues. In Walt Disney's world it is Jiminy Cricket who has been **manifested as one conscience** to replace the devil and the angel on each shoulder speaking into the left and right ears of the human.

Katy Gallagher is not a scientist but she is in charge of finances for the commonwealth government. She told the world that 95% of grants for science projects go to men and that she would *"fix that"*. More money for women <https://womeninstem.org.au/latest-news/budget-2021-22-women-in-stem/> regardless of talent skills or interest. The women are victims narrative is "researched" and promoted by the **government funded** Office of Women and the "Gender pay Gap" ("equality" means 60% women in the public service through decades of positive discrimination) - both full time working on the **tribal binary zealot cause** of **women are victims** narrative.

https://budget.gov.au/2022-23-october/content/womens-statement/download/womens_budget_statement_2022-23.pdf The usual feminist claptrap. *"Men are over-represented in leadership roles across all industries"* yet women are "over-represented" in the public service (60% up from 40%) - it is all about the "right" kind of selected equality in a binary certain tyrannical way.

Katy is a man-hating **tribal feminist (this is not a secret** - she is **proudly defensive of her feminism and constant bias**) with no scientific understanding or any idea about life other than the tribal, groupthink, identity, feminist victim mantra and the entitled bigoted corruption that she and all the other Greens/Labour politicians - women and the weak-minded men - display on a daily basis. There is nothing but tribalism and bigotry in their brains. They are "Banal". There is no debate - everything is binary extreme, tribal and groupthink - they are the totalitarian dictators who whimsically decide on "how the world should be" based on a delusion of "Goodness" which they manifest in themselves as they preen, prance, sneer and smugly display "their virtue". They have no conscience and you can see from Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Andrew Leigh and Andrew Barr (and the rest) - they abuse and attack of the idea of debate or anyone who dares to suggest non-tribal narratives.

The Russians say they are Victims of 'the west', The Chinese and Koereans say the same thing. Katy Gallagher says - she is a victim of white men - like all the women have been trained to do. The Canberra lawyers, magistrates, public servants, universities, politicians - media - it is all that goes on in their heads - every day - all the time on a feedback Loop **So "I'm the victim here"** declares Lesbian, man hating Asian "Penny Wong" playing her heroic smug tribal identity card. Like All Blacks - Stan Grant (ABC/SBS/Abo channel) and other "entitled victims" in a constant aggressive and passive aggressive way. **"Always ways - always will be - victims"**

Now all of these dimwit tribal politicians - including that pig-ignorant corrupt man-hating binary extremist feminist victim Katy Gallagher - I have written to over the years I have asked the question "Why do you think 60% women in the public service up from 40% based on positive discrimination for women is a GOOD IDEA - is it Diversity, Inclusion or Equality (DIE)?" They refuse to answer and ignore me and the question - this goes for all the politicians - especially the tribal self-obsessed women and blacks - but also the media, human rights groups and other binary tribal abusive violent extremist types.


Most them OPTIMIZE their public service salaries and entitlements using Trusts and accountants at the same time maximizing the government entitlements - travel - cars - accommodation allowances and staff payrolls - as well use using their inside knowledge to help the partner and family members reap large amounts of government funding. Knowing the system and taking advantage of the public purse as much as possible.

An **idea of the west** is to **debate things**. But most **people forget** that the debate is not about winning or losing it is about exploring many sides of an argument. That is why the idea of a side "Winning" the debate is nonsensical - unless "winning" means **having a "better" debating performance** then all it becomes is a **substitute for tribal warfare**. This **idea of recording the debate** is an **idea of the west** and has been forgotten by humans. We can **learn from examining and exploring the arguments**. The Population can learn from the best debates - not on **how to kill your opposition** but how to **bring ideas to debate** which help people understand and explore the issues.

I explored this in **12 Humanism – Corruption – Hypocrisy, Version 1, date 18/06/2019**

<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/11-Humanism-Corruption-Hypocrisy.pdf>

I will now update and highlight the model from that humanist frame.



Aristotle, Plato, Romans and others understood debate and the problems with them and tried to ensure the idea of debates was carried forward in time.

See Aristotle - **Topics** <https://onemorelibrary.com/index.php/en/books/major-collections/book/aristotle-collection-307/topics-organon-v-topica-739> "OUR treatise proposes to find a line of inquiry whereby we shall be able to reason from opinions that are generally accepted about every problem propounded to us, and also shall ourselves, when standing up to an argument, avoid saying anything that will obstruct us. First, then, we must say what reasoning is, and what its varieties are, in order to grasp dialectical reasoning: for this is the object of our search in the treatise before us."

i.e. How to discuss Topics in groups

Aristotle - **On Sophistical Refutations** <https://onemorelibrary.com/index.php/en/books/major-collections/book/aristotle-collection-307/on-sophistical-refutations-organon-vi-de-sophisticis-elenchis-740>

Deluded, tyrannical, binary extremist, totalitarian dictators don't value the ideas of debate or having coherent frameworks. Like all the Greens/Labour women and weak minded men - Penny Wong, Andrew Barr, Andrew Leigh, Tanya Plibersek, Katy Gallagher, Mark Butler, Mark Dreffuss, Dan Andrews, Canberra courts, women in the public service and media, ABC, Guardian, etc - everything is narcissistic tribalism - abuse, sneer, snort, chortle, mock, attack, provoke, call out the mob, vigilantism, etc. They are corrupt Bad Actors masking their corruption - like the three monkeys (eyes, ears, mouth) with tribal virtue signaling - in a self deluded way (zombie like habitual delusion).

So these Barbarians do not engage in debate or discussion - they are Tribal, hate filled abusive mobs (think women/black mobs and green activists mobs) - Binary extremists. **Even when Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo** and the rest of his party of Ambassadors - on Wednesday and Thursday 30/4/1404 - 1/5/1404 somewhere along the road in Turkey from the port of Trabzon/Trapezous/Trapizoni/Trebizond/Trapezus to Acilisene/Erzincan/Arzinga/Erzinjan/Erzinga/Eriza/Arzendjan - the robbers they encountered **at least** sat down **tried to have a conversation** and **mount an argument** for their behaviour.

<https://archive.org/details/b31354932/page/116/mode/2up> *“From this Stronghold of **Cadaca** (I have not located this place **sufficiently exactly** to a point on a detailed map or corresponding village) therefore there now came forth a band of men who demanded toll of our party and were intent to levy customs on the baggage that we were carrying along with us [and this we had to pay] The place belongs indeed to the lord Cabasica, whom we have mentioned above, and **there he had quartered his band of brigands and evil folk, for that lord is a man of evil sort and kind.**” ... “The man further explained that his master had his residence in those mountains for the purpose of maintaining a band of soldiers to make war on the Turks, and that his sole revenue was from this toll levied on those who passed through the country, or from such booty as he could gain by raiding in enemy lands. We on our side however answered proposing that we should go up to the castle to have speech with that lord, and pay him our respects as was due from ambassadors. However those of his people who had now come down to us would in no wise consent to this, saying that there was no need that day for our visit, seeing that the following morning he himself intended to leave his caste and visit us. In sooth the next day Thursday, which was the 1st of May, in the forenoon the lord Cabasica issued from his stronghold, appearing at our camping place, and accompanying him were thirty of his horsemen, armed with their bows and arrows.” ...“... and **all sat down: he causing us ambassadors to come near and take seat beside him.** He **proceeded to explain to us** that he lived in that barren land, where indeed we found him now at peace, but that he had continually to defend himself against the Turks who were his neighbours on all sides, against whom he was ever at war. Further he said he and his men had nothing to live on, except it were what they could get given them by those who passed through their country, or what they could come to by plundering the lands of their neighbours, and hence he, Cabasica must now implore of us to give him some aid as a free gift in the form of money or goods. In answer we stated that we were ambassadors and no merchants, being envoys whom our master the King of Spain was sending to the Lord Timur, and that further we carried no goods with us except what we were bearing as gifts to Timur. That [Tartar] ambassador of Timur, who was our travelling companion, here broke in saying that though he well knew the Emperor of Trebizond was the overlord of all that country, he was in faff none the less a vassal potentate tributary to Timur, wherefore it was incumbent on him, Cabasica, that we all should be allowed to pass those borders without let or hindrance. To this Cabasica backed by his men replied that this all might indeed be very true, but that they were in a state only able to exist by what they could obtain in the manner that had been set forth to us; averring that by necessity their stress of **wherewithal to eat** would cause them even to plunder and raid into the home lands of the Lord Timur. At length and finally therefore we found it was needful for us to come to terms, and seeing no way out of it we produced of our store a piece of scarlet cloth and a silver cup,...*”

So even the people **described as “evil”** by the narrator - those in the 1440s in the brutal tribal areas of Turkey - sat down and tried to debate the issue. Compare this with the A.C.T. Magistrates court, Human Rights (Helen Watchirs), Andrew Barr, Andrew Leigh, the ABC, etc who all ignore me and

refuse to respond to any of my emails or questions over the last 4 years - they double down and continue to abuse me.

See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ST6kj9OEYf0> **Political correctness: a force for good? A Munk Debate** 2,372,854 views May 20, 2018. Michael Eric Dyson, Michelle Goldberg, Stephen Fry, Jordan Peterson.

In this debate above we see the smug sneering black religious zealot rouse the mob with personal attack and the victim narrative. The Women - plays the passive aggressive victim narrative and tribal warfare - once again with personal attack. Stephen Fry brings history, Greeks and his passion for logic. Peterson brings a wealth of knowledge and shows an authentic attempt to grapple with complex issues from all sides - especially individual humans - and he is mocked for his efforts.

Penny Wong, Tanya Plibersek, Andrew Barr, Andrew Leigh, Politicians, Canberra Magistrates - especially Robert Cook, Lorraine Walker and the Man hating feminists in the Canberra court, Greens/Labour women, Feminists, Religious Zealots, Communists, Fascists, Dictators, Totalitarian deluded psychopaths, Public service, Canberra "Human Rights" groups (Helen Watchirs), women (on average - tribes/quotas/targets/wages/victim narrative), ABC, Guardian, media in general, weak minded men (TV personalities, Celebrities), Actors, Princesses, indulged children, tantrum throwing 2 year olds - have real problems with the idea of a debate and the purpose of a debate.

Their purpose is outrage, tantrums (Robert Cook, Penny Wong, Andrew Leigh, etc) and war - usually in a **violent abusive arrogant smug sneering pig-ignorant tribal (Smuglifunts)** way similar to the behaviour described in the detailed and interesting record of **William of Rubruck** - of the **rat eating cannibalistic tribal barbarians** - the **Mongols/Mo'al** and **Tartars** under **Genghis Khan**.

Genghis Khan/Chinggis Khan/Temujin - Lifespan[1158 to 1287] Born_Loc(Delun Boldog, Mongolia) Rank(80) Keyword(Politics, King) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Genghis_Khan https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khamag_Mongol <https://asiasociety.org/education/mongol-dynasty>

The Secret History of the Mongols (Rank:50) :Author(many) :Year[1300] :Keyword(Group Change History)The Secret History of the Mongols - Document[1300] Rank(50) Author(many) Keyword(Group Change History) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Secret_History_of_the_Mongols https://altaica.ru/SECRET/cleaves_shl.pdf https://www.worldhistory.org/The_Secret_History_of_the_Mongols/

William of Rubruck's Account of the Mongols (Rank:30) :Author(William of Rubruk) :Year[1255] Age(45) :Keyword(Group History Geography, Culture, Exploration) <https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/rubruck.html> <https://factsanddetails.com/china/cat2/4sub8/entry-5483.html> [http://www.public-library.uk/dailyebook/The%20journey%20of%20William%20of%20Rubruck%20to%20the%20eastern%20parts%20of%20the%20world%201253-55%20\(1900\).pdf](http://www.public-library.uk/dailyebook/The%20journey%20of%20William%20of%20Rubruck%20to%20the%20eastern%20parts%20of%20the%20world%201253-55%20(1900).pdf)

I have mapped his journey in detail on my Google map - on my website <https://humanistman.com/> or map directly [Humanistman - People 1000 to 1400 - Google My Maps](https://www.google.com/maps/d/edit?mid=12eISBVB-ZfywosgWX7UkATWmd8Gh68U&ll=0.019753109181806394%2C0&z=2) (<https://www.google.com/maps/d/edit?mid=12eISBVB-ZfywosgWX7UkATWmd8Gh68U&ll=0.019753109181806394%2C0&z=2>)

“They catch also rats, of which many kinds abound here. Rats with long tails they eat not, but give them to their birds. They eat mice and all kinds of rats which have short tails. There are also many marmots, which are called sogur, and which congregate in one hole in winter, twenty or thirty together, and sleep for six months; these they catch in great numbers.” ..” The men shave a square on the tops of their heads, and from the front corners (of this square) they continue the shaving to the temples, passing along both sides of the head. They shave also the temples and the back of the neck to the top of the cervical cavity, and the forehead as far as the crown of the head, on which they leave a tuft of hair which falls down to the eyebrows. They leave the hair on the sides of the head, and with it they make tresses which they plait together to the ears.” ..” And the women there are wonderfully [J: astonishingly] fat, and she who has the least nose is held the most beautiful. They disfigure themselves horribly by painting their faces. They never lie down in bed when having their children.”

“When one is accused by a number of persons, they torture him so that he confesses.”

(Barbarians - John Howard, Andrew Downer - Guatanemo bay, ABC, Greens/Labour, Andrew Barr, Canberra Courts, Melbourne Police and Courts, etc)

*“For two months, from the time we left Soldaia to when we came to Sartach, we never slept in a house or tent, but always in the open air or under our carts; and **we never saw a city**, but only **Comans' tombs** in very great numbers.”*

*“On this same day this captain (Scatay) gave us a man to guide us to Sartach, and two to take us to a camp which was five days off, as oxen travel. And they gave us also a goat for food, and several skins of cows, milk, but only a little **cosmos**, (Mare’s Milk - Christians are forbidden to drink it) for it is held very precious among them. And so we set out due north, and it seemed to me that we had passed through one of the gates of hell. The men who conducted us began robbing us in the most audacious manner, for they saw that we took but little care. Finally, after losing a number of things, vexation made us wise.”*

*“We came finally to the end of this province (of Gazaria), which is **closed by a ditch (running) from one sea to the other**, and outside of it was the camp of these (Mongols); and when we came among them they were such horrible looking creatures that they seemed like lepers.” (This is the Perekop Ditch in Crimea 46.14944, 33.67222 - Reached after travelling from Chersonesus/Chersonese/Cherson/Sevastopol/Mangup/Principality of Theodoro/Dory/Doras/Doros/Kalamita - Historic_City[-500 to 2022] 44.61665, 33.52536)*

“To add to this, when we were seated in the shade under our carts, for the heat was intense at that season, they pushed in most importunately among us, to the point of crushing us, in their eagerness to see all our things. If they were seized with a desire to void their stomachs, they did not go away from us farther than one can throw a bean: they did their filthiness right beside us while talking together, and much more they did which was vexatious beyond measure. Above all this, however, I was distressed because I could do no preaching to them; the interpreter would say to me: “You cannot make me preach, I do not know the proper words to use.” And he spoke the truth; for after awhile, when I had learned something of the language, I saw that when I said one thing, he said a totally different one, according to what came uppermost in his mind. So, seeing the danger of speaking through him, I made up my mind to keep silence.”

*“The country beyond the Tanais (Don River) is most beautiful, with rivers and forests. To the north are great forests, inhabited by two races of men: to wit, the **Moxel**, who are without any religion, a race of pure pagans [J: have no law and are exclusively heathen]. They have no towns, but only little hamlets in the forest. Their chief and the greater part of them were killed in Germany; for the Tartars took them with them to the borders of Germany, and so they have formed a high opinion of the Germans, and they hope that through them they may finally be **freed of the Tartar yoke**. If a trader comes among this people, he with whom he first puts up must provide for him as long as he sees fit to stay among them. If one sleeps with another's wife the husband cares not, unless he sees it with his own eyes; so they are not jealous. They have swine, honey and wax, precious furs and hawks.”*

*“I was unable to learn anything concerning them, only the following circumstances of the **death of their master Buri**. Not finding his pasture lands good, one day while drunk he spoke to his men, saying: "Am I not of the race of Chingis Chan as well as Baatu? (for he was the nephew or brother of Baatu) Why should I not go to the banks of the Etilia like Baatu, to graze there?" Now these words were reported to Baatu, and he wrote to Buri's men, telling them to bring him their lord in chains, and this they did. Then Baatu asked if he had spoken such words, and he confessed that he had, though he sought to excuse himself as being drunk, for they usually condone the offences of drunken men. But Baatu replied: "How dare you mention my name in your drunkenness!" and he had his head cut off.”*

*“One day a priest from Cathay was seated with me, and he was dressed in a red stuff of the finest hue, and I asked whence came such a color; and he told me that in the countries east of Cathay there are high rocks, among which dwell creatures who have in all respects human forms, except that their knees do not bend, so that they get along by some kind of jumping motion; and they are not over a cubit in length, and all their little body is covered with hair, and they live in inaccessible caverns. And the hunters (of Cathay) go carrying with them mead, with which they can bring on great drunkenness, and they make cup-like holes in the rocks, and fill them with this mead. (For Cathay has no grape wine, though they have begun planting vines, but they make **a drink of rice**.) So the hunters hide themselves, and these animals come out of their caverns and taste this liquor, and **cry "Chin, chin,"** so they have been given a name from this cry, and are called **Chinchin**. Then they come in great numbers, and drink this mead, and get drunk, and fall asleep. Then come the hunters, who bind the sleeper's feet and hands. After that they open a vein in their necks, and take out three or four drops of blood, and let them go free; and this blood, he told me was most precious for **coloring purples**. They also told me as a fact (which I do not, however, believe), that there is a province beyond Cathay, and at whatever age a man enters it, that age he keeps which he had on entering.”*

[The Khan's Letter to the King of France]

*Finally, the letter he sends you being finished, they called me and interpreted it to me. I wrote down its tenor, as well as I could understand through an interpreter, and it is as follows: "**The commandment of the eternal God is, in Heaven there is only one eternal God, and on Earth there is only one lord, Chingis Chan. This is word of the Son of God, Demugin, (or) Chingis 'sound of iron.'**" (For they call him Chingis, 'sound of iron,' because he was a blacksmith; and puffed up in their pride they even say that he is the son of God). "This is what is told you. Wherever there be a Mo'al, or a Naiman [J: Whosoever we are, whether a Mo'al or a Naiman], or a Merkit or a Musteleman, wherever ears can hear, wherever horses can travel, there let it be heard and known; those who shall*

have heard my commandments and understood them, and who shall not believe and shall make war against us, shall hear and see that they have eyes and see not [J: For the moment they hear my order and understand it but place no credence in it and wish to make war against us, you shall see that though they have eyes they shall be without sight]; and when they shall want to hold anything they shall be without hands, and when they shall want to walk they shall be without feet: this is the eternal command of God.

"This, through the virtue of the eternal God, through the great world of the Mo'al, is the word of Mangu Chan to the lord of the French, King Louis, and to all the other lords and priests and to all the great realm of the French, that they may understand our words. For the word of the eternal God to Chingis Chan has not reached unto you, either through Chingis Chan or others who have come after him.

"A certain man by the name of David came to you as the ambassador of the Mo'al, but he was an impostor ; and you sent back with him your envoys to Keu Chan. After the death of Keu Chan your ambassadors reached this court. And Camus his wife sent you nasic stuffs and a letter. But as to affairs of war and of peace and the welfare and happiness of a great realm [J: (and) subduing the wide world and discerning how to act for the best], what could this woman, who was viler than a dog, know about them?" (For Mangu told me with his own lips that Camus was the worst kind of a witch, and that she had destroyed her whole family by her witchcraft.)

"These two monks, who have come from you to Sartach, Sartach sent to Baatu; but Baatu sent them to us, for Mangu Chan is the greatest lord of the Mo'al realm. Now then, to the end that the whole world and the priests and monks may be in peace and rejoice, and that the word of God be heard among you, we wanted to appoint Mo'al envoys (to go back) with these your priests. But they replied that between us and you there is a hostile country, and many wicked people, and bad roads; so they were afraid that they could not take our envoys in safety to you; but that if we would give them our letter containing our commandments, they would carry them to King Louis himself. So we do not send our envoys with them; but we send you in writing the commandments of the eternal God by these your priests: the commandments of the eternal God are what we impart to you. And when you shall have heard and believed, if you will obey us, send your ambassadors to us; and so we shall have proof whether you want peace or war with us. When, by the virtue of the eternal God, from the rising of the Sun to the setting, all the world shall be in universal joy and peace, then shall be manifested what we are to be. But if you hear the commandment of the eternal God, and understand it, and shall not give heed to it, nor believe it, saying to yourselves: 'Our country is far off, our mountains are strong, our sea is wide,' and in this belief you make war against us, you shall find out what we can do. He who makes easy what is difficult, and brings close what is far off, the eternal God He knows."

Remark: The narrator of this travel story seems observant, capable and educated. Educating and learning is something to be appreciated and having people as educated, self-aware and observant - as he seems to be - as **Ambassadors** - seems to be a good idea - rather than ex-politicians and corrupt staffers. An idea of the West - **educated and impressive Ambassadors** - **suitably qualified Ambassadors**.

Lately the idea of "**national security**" is being raised because Australian Air Force pilots are being recruited by the Chinese. A bigger national security threat might be our **demonstrable uneducated pig-ignorance** as a country - represented by **identitarians** - blacks, feminists and binary extremists

zealot types with no understanding of history, the world, education, learning, etc. This ignorance, lies, binary zealotry, causes - pushed by the ABC, politicians, universities and schools - are far more of a threat to our national security - it seems to me - for even the barbarians think they are superior to the Australian tribes. Chinese students have engaged with the idiot classes in Australian universities enough for China to know how stupid and weak we have all become. Government departments and companies are hacked by Chinese and other computer hackers every day. Our education systems are pushing out zealots and causists - not educated thinkers and explorers willing to notice a wide array of things in the world.

Jordan Peterson's "A" Conservative Manifesto

I wrote **Why Not A Humanist Manifesto** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Why-Not-A-Humanist-Manifesto.pdf> on 19/08/2021. Jordan Peterson was working on his conservative manifesto - as a publication - April/May 2022.

A selection of ideas and a discussion of their worth for humans. I started my work and thoughts before I saw his work. It is often the case that his ideas and mine appear in a similar timeframe - in that way I can fully engage with his notions and assess them along with my ideas. He seems to be of my time.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h2yHGcmhbEk> **Jordan Peterson's Vision for Conservatives | Part 1**

*Crisis of attack on the west. Lack of trust and constant discord. Ignorance of **certainty** of principles and values - leading to a lack of grappling with asserted set of values. Petty idols, identity, division and enmity. Apocalypse panic and hysteria. Some certain values need to be asserted to avoid the problems.*

*Humility, Learning, Liberty, Autonomy - multiple solutions to difficult problems (Adaptation, Evolution, Resilience, **Optimization**)*

When Jordan said this word "**Optimization**" I was reluctant to write it down - **I wanted to censor it** - because I found it was discordant with the general very careful narrative which he uses - **optimization** is a dangerous concept and can be used by binaries, extremists, feminists, Marxists, women (ON AVERAGE) as another certain God word. It **triggers their desire for controls** and **totalitarian certainty**. Hitler might say he was **optimizing the population**. So I would not have used the word optimization without much further explanation and the idea of balance - which he does in many places - and plurality - which he also describes also in many ways. So in the context of words and ideas - this **one word** could be **grabbed by the binary types and misused** instead of the **whole schema of thoughts and ideas** which he is presenting in quite a concentrated and succinct narrative.

For example if I use the word "**Balance**" binary dim-wits turn this into legislated **quotas** and "**targets**" based in binary identities. They love waving their flags of "certainty", you see?

*..., Truth, Agency, Merit, Responsibility, Community, Stewardship, Citizenship, Justice, Tradition, Unity. The Social contract. To develop - **Authentic Thought***

This **Authentic Thought** idea of Jordan's is a cohesive and coherent development of the **idea of Authenticity**. It means someone who is no longer self-possessed, bad actor, narcissistic and driven by fear, lying, deluded and all the other corruptions. I presume someone like this is more likely to be willing to debate with other authentic thinkers - yet the people who are not developed enough for authentic thought must also be included in all debates as part of the **social contract**. Hence we need to practice habitual accommodation - tolerance - of a variety of techniques and ideas. Hence the baby - at the extreme - having a tantrum - must be shown and helped with other ways and techniques.

The **Social Contract idea** can be explore further here:

The Social Contract & Discourses - Document[1761] Rank(10) Author(**Jean-Jacques Rousseau**) Age(49) Keyword(Individual Philosophy) <http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/46333>
<https://archive.org/details/therepublicofpla00rousuoft/page/n5>
<https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/rousseau-the-social-contract-and-discourses>

Sur l'homme et le développement de ses facultés, ou Essai de physique sociale - Document[1835] Rank(40) Author(**Adolphe Quetelet**) Age(39) Keyword(Group Development Humanism)
<https://archive.org/details/surlhommeetled00quet>

Social Statics, or The Conditions essential to Happiness specified, and the First of them Developed - Document[1851] Author(**Herbert Spencer**) Age(31) Keyword(Group Philosophy Morals)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Social_Statics <https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/spencer-social-statics-1851> <https://archive.org/details/socialstaticsor06spengoog/page/n9/mode/2up>

Foundations of Social Evolution - Document[1998] Rank(20) Author(**Stephen Alan Frank**) Age(41) Keyword(Planet Philosophy Evolution) <https://stevefrank.org/foundations/foundations.html>
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318725812_Foundations_of_Social_Evolution
<https://www.nature.com/articles/6885351>

Also the works of Plato and Aristotle show techniques and ideas around this as well - the **inclusion of all in debates and discussions**. **This is an idea of the West.**

Free markets - unconstrained free choice - feedback and adaptation - resilience. (Rather than mandated totalitarianism - certain "good")

*Truth a range of thought - many perspectives - unifying a shared idea - motivations - "Final Truth" - **striving for Truth** is "Truth" not "ultimate absolute Truth". **Truth is a process** - and adventure. A shared truth serves a purpose - not only for its own sake **for the group** but for the idea of **alignment with a "reality"** - not "lived experience" Husserl) - not a shared delusion (the emperor has no clothes) and not many other things.*

See **The Great Adventure or Guaranteed Failure** 27/07/2022 <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/The-Great-Adventure-or-Guaranteed-Failure.pdf>

The desire of the individual to strive forward, urge to adventure, engage in life shoulder responsibility and be part of the state. Sustainability.

Identity - not feelings, communication and interactions with others - **sovereign citizenship** - at all levels of society - families, local groups, states, etc. Away from grievance and "Rights"

Merit - Pareto. Solve problems for society.

Responsibility - a purpose for the individual - individual connections to civic, state and individuals.

Meaning and responsibility. Antidotes to nihilism, lack of hope and self-centered pleasure. Atonement through responsibility.

Community - "Highest must serve the Lowest" - sanity negotiated in the social surround awareness of happiness and hope, love - through trial and tragedy.


Stewardship - recognize and value humanity, history. Unintended consequence by panicky decisions based on fear and catastrophe. Hope for the future.

Justice - rewarding all citizens rather than infantile virtue

Tradition - this is an idea of the west - the citizen - the power of the citizen. Marriage, Stable relationships, free markets, productivity, generosity, "Abrahamic Canon"

Unity - cooperation, gratitude, trust, appreciation.

(this is my group Telos)



35:44 The **insistence of the idea** the "**Truth is an Illusion**" (Husserl, "lived experience" instead, French philosophers, etc) and **nothing but Power truly rules**. (Marxism - a failed analysis (Binary certain groupthink - mobs) and a misuse of the ideas of "A will to power" https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Will_to_power by Friedrich Wilhelm Nietzsche) .

Here is Jordan's prognosis - but we can see how the "lived experience types" typically the ignorant and women (on average) **avoid reality** or some kind of shred truth for their preferred ignorance. Truth becomes MOB and POPULARITY. It is the idea of truth which has been abandoned for virtue, power (money) and violent mob like tribalism (Chimpanzees, sentient dog packs).

The Powerful - as we see with the narcissistic psychopaths feminists and weak minded men in all positions of power - courts, united nations, politics - all become fully corrupt and never face any form of justice because the justice system (legal scum bags - judges, magistrates, lawyers, etc - feminists activists, Canberra courts, Family courts, politicians) itself has become fully corrupt. Hence we see violent revolutions in an absence of debate and change and lack of justice for those who abuse power and ignore reality or "Truth" or the idea of seeking truth.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5a81_JNaok **Jordan Peterson's Vision for Conservatives | Part 2**

Gratitude, mixed skill sets. Community services - Conscience and State - avoidance of greed and nihilism - caring and charity.

Meaning - motivation - avoidance of self-possessed nihilism. Benefits of adult-hood.

Sufficient meaning and intent.

Permanent Sexual relationship - a Marriage, Family - constant tensions and reliability - a stability and role models for younger children. A Model, Not certain, mandated.

We all need to work. Civic engagement. Nation and Country.

Motivation for accomplishment - avoiding Corruption, self and nihilism. Wealth , opportunity and un-imagined exploration. "More for all", Shared values and assumptions - a shared schema , a CANON of western values. Hope

Other thinkers also tried to put together some ideas to consider. See **Binary Groupthink** 26/06/2020 <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Binary-Groupthink.pdf> (page 18) A **Liberal Decalogue** (Rank:20) :Author(**Bertrand Russell**) :Year[1951] Age(79) :Keyword(Group Development Philosophy) <https://www.brainpickings.org/2012/05/02/a-liberal-decalogue-bertrand-russell/> <https://www.panarchy.org/russell/decalogue.1951.html> <http://userpages.bright.net/~dclose/10command-phildef.pdf>

Perhaps the essence of the Liberal outlook could be summed up in a new decalogue, not intended to replace the old one but only to supplement it. The Ten Commandments that, as a teacher, I should wish to promulgate, might be set forth as follows:

- 1. Do not **feel absolutely certain of anything.***
- 2. Do not **think** it worth while to proceed by **concealing evidence**, for the evidence is sure to come to light.*
- 3. **Never try to discourage thinking** for you are sure to succeed.*
- 4. When you meet with opposition, even if it should be from your husband or your children, **endeavour to overcome it by argument** and not by authority, for a victory dependent upon authority is unreal and illusory.*
- 5. Have no respect for the authority of others, for there are always contrary authorities to be found.*
- 6. Do not use power to suppress opinions you think pernicious, for **if you do the opinions will suppress you.***
- 7. Do not fear to be eccentric in opinion, for every opinion now accepted was once eccentric.*
- 8. **Find more pleasure in intelligent dissent than in passive agreement**, for, if you value intelligence as you should, the former implies a deeper agreement than the latter.*
- 9. Be scrupulously truthful, even if the truth is inconvenient, for it is more inconvenient when you try to conceal it.*
- 10. Do not feel envious of the happiness of those **who live in a fool's paradise**, for only a fool will think that it is happiness.*

Strategic Risk - Ignorance

Not that we are ignorant of strategic risk - ignorance IS our strategic Risk. This smug, superior, fat, Dumb, Lazy, feminist, communist, fascists, totalitarian, binary zealot, etc thing.

You do not "Collect intelligence" you "are intelligent" - that's is to say - it is not how many pieces of information you have - video images, data matching, phone records, internet logs, street cameras and the constant surveillance, marketing campaigns, etc.

You have no idea of how stupid you are.

Like when you appoint women (on average politicians, public servants, etc) to any job they say “lets get a contractor in” because they themselves do not know anything or how to do anything. It’s like Greens/Labour - **“Lets import people to do work who know how to do things - it’s a crises - it’s an emergency”**. Having completely corrupted everything of value - schools, universities, courts, public service, politics, media, charities, business and education - the feminists and black identitarians want to sit around all day while “someone else” does all the work for them. It is like the Greens who declare **“We have a plan”** the plan is to *“legislate that we make up a new department full of really clever people who will tell us what to do to solve all the climate problems and then make sure all business and everyone complies.”* That is our “good idea” - our legislation of draconian certainty of **imported cleverness**.

The Chinese know how stupid we are - they send their people to our universities, they talk with Labour politicians, they watch the ABC - they are well aware of how stupid we are as a country.

So the idea that the Chinese want to employ a few ex Military pilots is nothing new - they already know our weaknesses and ignorance. ASIO building plans are hacked, university databases are hacked, governments are hacked and private enterprises are hacked every day - many without even knowing it.

This is because idiots everywhere say things like “I have a good idea - how about we create a database of everything - especially everyone’s personal information and put it online”. It is like when I had a meeting with the National Gallery manager many years ago when they **decided to put their member database online as a “Good idea”**. I said to them **you have no idea what you are doing or why** - They said - *we don’t know much about computers - we have lots of good ideas though- we like art.*

Privacy is big issue now.

Data Collection and Privacy

1. Individual personal information is **owned by the individual** it is to be valued and respected
2. Individuals give their information to organizations on a need to know basis
3. Using information collected for other purposes is not automatic and is restricted by Law - **Use Limitation Principle**
4. Not for sale and profit

Principles

- Individual owns the information about themselves - **Individual Participation Principle (2, 9, 13)**
- Need to know - **Purpose Specification Principle (8)**
- Open transparent documented agreement - **Openness Principle (1, 5, 7, 9)**
- Reasonable - **Collection Limitation Principle (3)**
- Due care and security - **Security Safeguards Principle (11)**
- Managed - **Accountability Principle, Data Quality Principle (4, 7, 8, 10)**

Problems

The idea of a privacy Policy has become weaponized where the ideas of privacy have been replaced by the organizations stated aims of data matching, Marketing and giving data to other parties - either by way of profiteering or deluded "service" - i.e. many organizations - clubs, sales firms, etc - have become **data matching agencies** or use the excuse *"Just In case someone breaks the law we can give all their details to the Police"* (Keeping us safe). So de-facto extensions to the police force and hence a **police state** - yet even the police do not demand (mandate) that people keep these records.

The Ministry of Truth - or the Ministry of Human Rights (men don't have any under in Domestic Violence allegation cases in A.C.T. law) - the ABC media, Christian Porter, ACT law and Dan Andrews police state.

Recent changes to the privacy principles (over 200 pages of principles) is allowing/normalizing - marketing - as if just because you buy a product that organization has the right to "Market" to you - like an "All Mormons Welcome" sign on your front door.

Much of these abuse of privacy was lead by the Labour Party/Greens - who are not the brightest people on the planet and who tend to Totalitarianism and the reduction of liberty (as binary extremists) - especially when they EXEMPTED the politicians from the privacy Act by allowing politicians to send mobile phone message in mass political advertising campaigns (cheaper than paying for advertising on a TV, Radio or traditional media outlet.

The example having been set - has lead to creeping Totalitarianism and authoritarianism by clubs and other organizations who believe they own your personal data and can use it for their own profit. Decisions are usually made at low levels - by tyrannical uneducated managerial staff - who would have similar or less educational qualifications and sense of "reasonableness" and "debate" of any of highly promoted and selected Greens/Labour politician - which gives a new meaning to "you have no idea how stupid you are".

Of course with Totalitarian states like Canberra installing surveillance cameras all over the city and roads - number plate recognition, automated crime detection using "artificial intelligence" (mobile phone usage - seat belt) - the authoritarian state is in full swing and of course places like Woolworths and Bunnings would feel that it is OK to collect and store face information and do face recognition - because "they feel like it" and "they can" rather than having any justifiable purpose and the topic is not for discussion - exploration or debate - even with the customers of the service or the owners of the "club" (the members). That is to say - their justifiable purpose is whatever they say - which is pretty much how the Greens/Labours deluded narcissistic psychopaths say on a daily basis. It is the statement of Tyrants.

Government identifiers - numbers - membership numbers, passport numbers, Pension numbers, bank account numbers - are in many systems now - not only government - but also clubs and private agencies. Your identity is everywhere - your identity can be stolen easily and used to clear out bank accounts, superfunds, etc. Huge client databases containing detailed and linked information are spreading throughout police, government, public services, and business. Banks have most sensitive information in the private sector but only the large banks have any sense of duty or a capability to

understand and manage the data responsibly. Smaller banks, health funds, insurance companies lack the skills or size to be able to keep anything secure.

Some Background

Records lie and are not “Truth” - the presumption of innocence - partial information is not the whole story. Rush to judgement.

One of the problems with this jumping to conclusions - a rush to judgement of the extremists - the fat, dumb and Lazy - the complacent - is that they cherry pick a few observations and facts and then invent a whole narrative. They don't examine things widely and deeply across many layers of abstractions because they prefer to rush to a binary certain view.

Climate Change, Kill all men, etc - based on little or no - evidence - partial information - avoidance of frames - and binary switch mechanism to continually avoid information which contradicts your mob like tribal abusive virtue filled certainty. The declared war against the others - the “evil” and the declared wine for the “Good”

I realize now that in my generation I am one of the experts in computers, data, matching, client information, analytics, policy, procedures - having helped modernise the Tax office and convert large databases into structured information, examined the whole data matching world - hands on experience - detailed understanding - run training courses on privacy - educated people about the rule of law and accuracy and precision issues with data and its use. Also 12 years in ABS on data, statistics and systems has give me skills and experience.

I cannot expect anyone to know what this means. No-one can understand anything I say unless they have some question **or some basis of knowing anything**.

So dim-wits like the Labour Attorney general - Mark Dreyfuss - is legislating more fines for people who don't comply with privacy. As if that will “solve the problem”. His “skill” is law and draconian certainty and his ability to minimize his tax and avoid the rules of anti-corruption for himself and family business interest. - not systems or privacy.

But no-one is interested in this debate. We are a nation of virtue signalers and tribes. Most systems are fully corrupted now.

Privacy links

<https://privacy.org.au/resources/fundamentals/data-collection/>,

<http://www.rogerclarke.com/DV/Privacy.html> **Privacy as a Human Right**

For example, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR 1948, Article 12) states that “*No one shall be subjected to **arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence**, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks*”, and Article 17 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR 1966, Article 17) is expressed in very similar terms.

In the European Convention on Human Rights (1950), Article 8 is entitled 'Right to respect for private and family life', and states that "Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence. There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise

of this right except such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others". Unlike the previous two, this Article enumerates categories of factors that can result in compromise to the right, and it has generated case law.

The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (2000) deals with privacy in Articles 7 and 8, and there are many specific European Directives. Many national Constitutions and Bills of Rights also encompass privacy.

But these documents evidence a considerable degree of inconsistency. The instruments generally do not define the term 'privacy', and its scope interleaves with a range of other freedoms and rights. These Notes endeavour to tease out the range of possible meanings, and propose the interpretation most appropriate to contemporary needs.

For Your Information: Australian Privacy Law and Practice [2008] ALRC 108 ALRC Report 108

<http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/lawreform/ALRC/2008/108.pdf>

OECD Privacy principles **OECD Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data**

<https://www.oecd.org/sti/ieconomy/oecdguidelinesontheProtectionofPrivacyandTransborderFlowsOfPersonalData.htm>

- Collection Limitation Principle
- Data Quality Principle
- Purpose Specification Principle
- Use Limitation Principle
- Security Safeguards Principle
- Openness Principle
- Individual Participation Principle
- Accountability Principle

Review of Australian Privacy Law [2007] ALRCDP 72 ALRC Discussion Paper 72 Review of Australian Privacy Law [http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/viewdoc/au/other/lawreform/ALRCDP/2007/72.html?context=1;query=data%20matching%20privacy;mask_path=#5)

[bin/viewdoc/au/other/lawreform/ALRCDP/2007/72.html?context=1;query=data%20matching%20privacy;mask_path=#5](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/viewdoc/au/other/lawreform/ALRCDP/2007/72.html?context=1;query=data%20matching%20privacy;mask_path=#5)

Privacy Policy <https://www.oaic.gov.au/privacy/your-privacy-rights/what-is-a-privacy-policy>

What must be included: An organisation or agency's privacy policy must tell you:

- their name and contact details
- what **kinds of personal information** they collect and store
- **how they collect** personal information and **where it is stored**
- the **reasons** why they need to collect personal information
- how they'll **use and disclose personal information**
- how you can access your personal information, or ask for a correction

- how to **lodge a complaint** if you think your information has mishandled, and how they'll handle your complaint
- if they are **likely to disclose** your information outside Australia and, if practical, which countries they are likely to disclose the information to

If an organisation or agency's privacy policy says that your personal information is likely to be sent overseas, if something goes wrong then they may be legally responsible.

A privacy policy may also include other information. For example, **how long your personal information is kept** and if it must be scanned.

If information handling practices change: An organisation or agency must update their privacy policy **when their information handling practices change**. They must publicise the updated privacy policy, for example on their website and through email or postal lists.

<https://www.oaic.gov.au/privacy/australian-privacy-principles/australian-privacy-principles-quick-reference>

APP 1 Open and transparent management of personal information, Ensures that APP entities manage personal information in an open and transparent way. This includes having a clearly expressed and up to date APP privacy policy.

APP 2 Anonymity and pseudonymity, Requires APP entities to give individuals the option of not identifying themselves, or of using a pseudonym. Limited exceptions apply.

APP 3, Collection of solicited personal information, Outlines when an APP entity can collect personal information that is solicited. It applies higher standards to the collection of sensitive information.

APP 4, Dealing with unsolicited personal information, Outlines how APP entities must deal with unsolicited personal information. **(linking or matching other data)**

APP 5 Notification of the collection of personal information, Outlines when and in what circumstances an APP entity that collects personal information must tell an individual about certain matters.

APP 6 Use or disclosure of personal information, Outlines the circumstances in which an APP entity may use or disclose personal information that it holds.

APP 7 Direct marketing, An organisation may only use or disclose personal information for direct marketing purposes if **certain conditions are met**. <https://www.oaic.gov.au/privacy/australian-privacy-principles-guidelines/chapter-7-app-7-direct-marketing>

APP 8 Cross-border disclosure of personal information, Outlines the steps an APP entity must take to protect personal information before it is disclosed overseas.

APP 9 Adoption, use or disclosure of government related identifiers, Outlines the limited circumstances when an organisation may adopt a government related identifier of an individual as its own identifier, or use or disclose a government related identifier of an individual.

APP 10 Quality of personal information, An APP entity must take reasonable steps to ensure the personal information it collects is accurate, up to date and complete. An entity must also take reasonable steps to ensure the personal information it uses or discloses is accurate, up to date, complete and relevant, having regard to the purpose of the use or disclosure.

APP 11 Security of personal information, An APP entity must take reasonable steps to protect personal information it holds from misuse, interference and loss, and from unauthorised access, modification or disclosure. An entity has obligations to destroy or de-identify personal information in certain circumstances.

APP 12, **Access to personal information**, Outlines an APP entity's obligations when an individual requests to be given access to personal information held about them by the entity. This includes a requirement to provide access unless a specific exception applies.

APP 13, **Correction of personal information**, Outlines an APP entity's obligations in relation to correcting the personal information it holds about individuals.

Act Privacy ACT <https://www.legislation.act.gov.au/a/2014-24/> **promote the protection of the privacy of individuals;**

A Story of Barbarian Brutality

There are many stories in history and some of today's commentators call the barbarians "innovative" and part of a "great migration". This is done in the same way Christians and other Religions claim that their history of brutality is "special" and "godly" and the "Savior" of the "west" and humanity. In other words - people tend to delude themselves about history of humans and themselves - they do not recognize the truth and reality of the human condition. So here is a story as narrated by the witness Ruy Clavijo about Timurlane (one of the many Mongol chiefs) in Smaraqand and how he behaved with the people he **already controlled and lorded over** - for more than 200 years - cities across Asia were controlled by these people and their brutal underlings - those which had not already been massacred and destroyed outright and made into **rivers of blood**.

Embassy to Tamerlane, 1403-1406 by González de Clavijo, Ruy, -1412

<https://archive.org/details/b31354932/page/248/mode/2up> A royal wedding was taking place so he decided to hold some public **celebrations...**


*"Timur had commanded that **great gallows should be set up**. By proclamation at the same time he let it be known that whereas he intended thus to **gratify and give enjoyment to all the common-folk** at his festival, he also intended to give a warning and example of those who had **offended him**" (18 C) "and done evil deeds, and he would proceed to the public execution of the criminals.*

*The first of those to suffer his justice was the Mayor in Chief of Smaraqand, a man whose name was Dina, a personage the greatest in all that land of his Empire. Aforetime when Timur had set out on his late expedition, namely six years and eleven months before this present date at which we were come, this man had been appointed to be the chief magistrate, and Timur had left him to be governor of Smaraqand. But his Highness since his return had come to know that this man had betrayed his trust using his office to misgovern and oppress the people. He therefore now commanded this Dina the Chief Mayor to be brought before him, and after **judgment forthwith he was taken out and without delay hanged**; all the wealth that he had so unjustly gathered to himself being **forfeited to the state**. This act of high justice condemning so great a personage to death, **made all men to tremble**, and notably he had been one in whom his Highness had reposed much confidence. Then again in regard to a certain man the **friend of that Dina and who had sought to intercede with Timur for his pardon**, his Highness **likewise caused him now to be hanged**, thus sharing the fate of his companion. There was also a **privileged and very favourite courtier** of his Highness, whose name was Burunday Mirza and **he too had interceded with Timur seeking to obtain the pardon of the Chief Mayor**. To this intent he had **offered his Highness to pay in ransom a sum of 400,000 Pesantes**, and each of these Pesantes is of the value of a silver real [which may be*


four shillings]. Forthwith *Timur had answered that gladly he would accept the gift, but the moneys had no sooner come into his treasury than he ordered **torture to be given to that unhappy man**, if possible to get more money from him, and when none could be obtained it was ordered that he be hung on the **gallows by the feet head downwards till he died.***

A Story of Alum

Alum Trade from -10000 to 1400





Alum - is a generic name for rocks containing combinations of things. Some of these combinations of things include different concentrations of elements. One of these elements is what we call aluminium - which is a metal at room temperature on the periodic table at 13 and is the 3rd most common element on earth after oxygen and silicon. Aluminium has an affinity to oxygen and may have been used in the mummification process in Egypt. Alum can help fix dyes to clothes in the clothes dying process. It is a valued commodity.




I place **Berber** tribes scattered amongst the mountains and oasis of northern Africa and interacting with **Mega lake Chad** from -10,000 - well **before** the Egyptian Nile civilizations began to settle down (-3500). **Kharga** became a **major trading hub** and the **road south** from **Kharga** represents thousands

of years of history of camel trains - up to 1000 camels at a time travelling backwards and forwards across the deserts and watering holes to the southern and central parts of Africa.

	<p>https://satellites.pro/Egypt_map#22.000442,29.570995,19</p> <p>At some places on the road south from Kharga we can zoom in on the many tracks - some recent - which are probably overlaying the historical routes - there are so many places where there are vehicle tracks - but also we see signs of wear across the hard rock surfaces - some from wind erosion - or other types of erosion or maybe be the thousands of years of travel as humans passed as well.</p> <p>The outlines of recent or old habitations near the tracks</p>
---	---

	<p>For example of satellite detail - https://satellites.pro/Algeria_map#25.826114,8.174171,17 this image is near Tassili n'Ajjer - Historic_Location[-10000 to 2022] which contains some of the oldest human rock art. This point in the middle of the elevated area in the Sahara where river beds and water stores and caves were - was a place of settlement in a nomadic herder lifestyle - as well as travel between other inhabited areas. What looks like weathering of landscape can also be signs of human use. Nearby is Djanet</p>
--	--

Maybe the **Berber** traders (as a general collective name for the northern Africa tribal groups) and tribes by various other names like https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Toubou_people were picking up rocks from around the area of the volcanoes like **Emi Koussi** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emi_Koussi (*Emi Koussi rises to a height of 3,415 metres (11,204 ft) on the southern side of the volcano,[13][14] towering 3 kilometres (1.9 mi) above the surrounding terrain.[15] It is **considered by some astronauts to be the most recognizable landmark on Earth**, as seen from orbit.[15] Supposedly the entire Tibesti can be seen from the northern summits*) and lakes and travelling to Kharga to trade with the Egyptians - salt and other things as well.

	<p>Then later - trade systems developed between the areas until in the 1200 to 1400 we see the growth of the Genoese and Venician traders who boasted to one of our recent travellers (William) that they cornering the market on Alum - and thus making huge profits. Trade and travel between Paris, Rome and Egypt was regular. But then the Genoese and Venetians entered South Hampton and London - at the time that the wool industry and clothes was booming - thus the need of chemicals to Fix the dying process. This main mine was the Phokia/Foca Mine near Chios and controlled by the Genoese. Rome then opened up a mine Tolfa_Alum - Mine[1461 to 2022] 42.1567, 11.90108</p>
---	---

Recent Investigations

Maps and historical travel narratives

Recent People

Alfraganus/Abū al-‘Abbās Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Kathīr al-Farghānī Al-Farghani - Lifespan[820 to 861] Born_Loc(Quva, Uzbekistan) Rank(40) Keyword(Maths, Astronomy, Astrolabe)

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Al-Farghani>

<https://www.oxfordreference.com/view/10.1093/oi/authority.20110803095810636>

<https://www.muslimheritage.com/uploads/Alfraganus2.pdf>

William of Rubruk/Willem van Ruysbroeck/Guillaume de Rubrouck/Willielmus de Rubruquis

Rubrouck - Lifespan[1210 to 1270] Born_Loc(Rubrouck, Flanders) Rank(70) Keyword(Religion,

Explorer, Travel, Mongols, China) <https://factsanddetails.com/china/cat2/4sub8/entry-5486.html>

<https://iranicaonline.org/articles/william-of-rubruck>

<https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/rubruck.html>

Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo - Lifespan[1360 to 1406] Born_Loc(Madrid, Spain) Rank(30)

Keyword(Religion, Explorer, Travel, Mongols, China)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ruy_Gonz%C3%A1lez_de_Clavijo

<https://archive.org/details/narrativeembass00markgoog/page/n6/mode/2up>

<https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/clavijo/cltxt1.html>

<https://iiif.wellcomecollection.org/pdf/b31354932>

<https://archive.org/details/b31354932/page/352/mode/2up>

https://archive.org/stream/narrativeembass01markgoog/narrativeembass01markgoog_djvu.txt

about Timour/tamerlane "He says, " I went to assist Ameer Hosein, in Badakshan, and at this time Kai Kobad, son of Kai Khosro Khutelany, who had killed the king of Badakshan, waited on me, and began to flatter me, but as I had no confidence in him, I put him to death."

I mapped his journey on my Google maps and discovered some more details - added a few more locations and confirmed some alternative names for places. Dates, places and names are all subject

to his memory, record and the ability of translators over time - yet I take them at face value - not necessarily as facts - but as information that I can try to link with all the other information I have.

His detailed descriptions of the people and places gives insight to the place and time of the people and the customs. It is also **what he does not say** which is interesting - as an ambassadors of the **King of Spain**, also an Ambassador in the party from **Timour Beg/Timur** and also strongly aligned with the church https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ruy_Gonz%C3%A1lez_de_Clavijo "*Clavijo, a nobleman of Madrid and chamberlain to the king, set sail from Cadiz on 21 May 1403 in the company of Timur's ambassador, Muhammed al-Kazi, a Dominican friar, Alfonso Páez de Santa María, one of the king's guards, Gómez de Salazar, and other unnamed Castilians.*" - he avails himself of food, lodgings and provisions seemingly without the idea of money or goods changing hands. Having stayed in **Tarbezoid/Trebizond/Trabzon** (A major trading port and this road was a major trading road to Arsinga) in a Genoese castle near the Venetian castle - he is on the **road to Arsinga** - Which I take to be **Acilisene/Erzincan/Arzinga/Erzinjan/Erzinga/Eriza/Arzendjan** - Historic_City[300 to 2022] 39.74679, 39.49112 - <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erzincan> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marco_Polo "*Greater Hermenia...begins at a city called Arzinga, at which they weave the best buckrams in the world. It possesses also the best baths from natural springs that are anywhere to be found.*"

Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo - Travel[1404] Month[04] Day[27] No places found except Sigana may be **Zigana** "*slept near a river called Pexic*", "*castle belonging to the emperor, called Pilomazuca, built on a very high rock.*", "*at night they encamped near a castle called Sigana, which is on the top of a high rock, the only entrance to which was by a wooden bridge, leading from a rock to the gate of the castle. The owner of the castle was a Greek knight, named Quirileo Arbosita.*", "*came to a castle, on a high rock near the road, called Cadaca, on one side of which there was a river, and on the other a precipice, and the road led through a very narrow pass, between the river and the foot of the castle rock, so that only one man could pass at a time.*", "*Three leagues beyond this castle there was a tower, on the top of a high rock, in a narrow pass ; and at the hour of vespers they approached a castle, on a high hill, called Dorile, which looked very beautiful, and the road came close to it.*"

Here he explains his encounter with one of the castle owners and their men

"On Thursday, the 1st of May, Cabasica, the lord of the castle, came to the place where the ambassadors were encamped, with thirty men on horseback, armed with bows and arrows. They all got off their horses, and sat down, and Cabasica made the ambassadors sit down near him, and said to them that his country was barren and craggy, as they might see, that he was always at war with the Turks, who were his neighbours, that he and his people had nothing to live upon, except what was given them by those who passed that way, and what they robbed from their neighbours. He, therefore, desired that they would help him, with some clothing and money. The ambassadors replied that they were not merchants, but ambassadors, whom their lord the king of Spain had sent to the lord Timour Beg, and that they had nothing but what they were taking to the said Timour ; and the ambassador from Timour Beg said that he knew well that the emperor of Trebizond was lord of that land, and that he was a vassal of Timour Beg ; adding that the things they had with them belonged to Timour, and that they ought to be allowed to pass safely through that land. They of the castle replied that what he had said was true, but that they had nothing to live on, except what they had already described, and that, at all events, they must give them what they demanded. The

ambassadors, seeing their determination, produced a piece of scarlet, and a silver cup ; and Timour Beg's ambassador gave a scarlet cloth made in Florence, and a piece of fine linen ; **but they were not satisfied with all this, and asked for more.** Notwithstanding all the courteous speeches that were made to them, they cared nothing for them, but continued to insist upon being given what they demanded, and declared that words were worth nothing. **The ambassadors therefore bought a piece of camlet from a merchant who was with them, and gave it to the people of the castle.** At last they were satisfied, and the lord of the castle said that the ambassadors should be guarded on their road, as far as the land of Arsinga, which then belonged to Timour Beg.”

One of the reasons I am having trouble with the detailed route through Turkey and Armenia for **Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo** is because the merchants they are travelling with seem to know the less “taxed” (optimized) routes which may not be the obvious roads on the map - but more the back roads and high mountain passes. There are many road networks in this area - one of the oldest areas for roads and paths on the planet - all weaving their way through the hills avoiding rivers in flood and high mountains in winter. While the Romans had built bridges over the rivers (and the Ottomans much later) - these too would also be places where tax collectors and bandits would wait for travelers to pass by.

Franz Brentano/Franz Clemens Honoratus Hermann Josef Brentano - Lifespan[1838 to 1917] Born_Loc(Marienberg am Rhein ,Rhine-land, Prussia, German Confederation) Rank(80) Keyword(Philosophy, Phenomenology) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franz_Brentano <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/brentano/> <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/brentano-judgement/>

Jean-Baptiste Tavernier - Lifespan[1605 to 1689] Born_Loc(Paris) Rank(80) Keyword(Merchant, Trader, Explorer, Anthropologist) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean-Baptiste_Tavernier <https://onlinebooks.library.upenn.edu/webbin/book//lookupid?key=olbp92675> <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=eebo;idno=A63439.0001.001>



Sir John Chardi/Jean-Baptiste Chardin - Lifespan[1643 to 1713] Born_Loc(Paris) Rank(80) Keyword(Merchant, Trader, Explorer, Anthropologist) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean_Chardin <https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/chardin/chardin.htm>

Recent Documents

The Science of Knowledge (Rank:60) :Author(**Johann Gottlieb Fichte**) :Year[1794] Age(32) :Keyword(Group Development Knowledge) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Johann_Gottlieb_Fichte <https://antilogicalism.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/science-of-knowledge.pdf> <https://ia802703.us.archive.org/18/items/thescienceofknow00fichuoft/thescienceofknow00fichuoft.pdf> ..” Fichte found he **finite ego** necessarily limited by the **non-ego** in order to produce consciousness. God therefore, he had at first reasoned, cannot be a conscious ego because He cannot have a **not-me like man**.(page Xi (34)) .. In a letter to Reinhold, cited by Professor Kuno Fischer (*Geschichte der neuern Philosophic*, Bd. V. s. 453), Fichte says “My system is from beginning to end only an **analysis of the idea of freedom**.”

I Am I (Fichte - Ego)

An Absolute Act




Fichte –
Idealism (Abstraction)
Materialism (Realism)

<https://antilogicalism.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/science-of-knowledge.pdf>

Arthur Schopenhauer would argue against Fichte along the ideas of **subject** and **object** and presupposing the existence of - the A-priori argument. In Fichte's statement above - I is both the subject and object - the subjective I is observing the objective I and declaring that the I exists. **I Am I**. This is the initial self-reference and recursion problem that Schopenhauer describes himself but admonishes Fichte thinking he is stating it plainly as an **Absolute Act** - and not only as starting point (we all have to start somewhere) for the **discussion of the relationships** Ego (I) has with other things in the frame and the various problems with those relationships. I suspect that Schopenhauer was so insistent on the A-priori idea that he was sensitive to those who did not state in plainly **as a problem of certainty**.


I think Schopenhauer emphasizes the objective - the "sufficient reason" as a strong certainty and he sees Fichte emphasizing the Ego as strong certainty. In my model I take a **duality** (not only of **measurement** and **definition** (e.g. like quantum effects) but also of **Ego frame** and **objective frame**) and **infinitely recursive** (circular) ideas. Now maybe all am doing is moving my frames of certainty around - but I stress - that I do not speak with the certainty that others choose to use. It is a model to aid discussion and thought.



Remark: Idealism is a concept with mixed meanings - on one hand there is tendency to use it for morality and greatest good type meanings and also it is used as general abstraction method - meaning a "higher" level of abstraction - where apples are described as "fruit" for example - which clearly not a Moral statement. **Materialism** is also mixed up with a "moral" view of objects in that being material is NOT being Moral and the idea of the objects themselves - the material they are made up of - which again is a kind of lower abstraction level. These words are often compared and contrasted in a **dialectic** (Hegel) way - *thesis, anti-thesis, synthesis*. Much of this mix-up is to do with the **habitual bias** of the **religious types** who use the language of religion to describe their analysis - in many cases assuming "god" or **religious text ideas** as an unstated starting point. "Higher ideals", "Aim Higher".


Fichte is making a great effort to **declare an opposite thing** which is NOT the NOT e.g. what is the opposite of “Apple”. Fichte positions things against each other (*posits*) - like in my plurality model. He puts the subjective self - the ego inside the Knowledge space - which is my Declared Is space - which is the same thing. He worries about initial self reference and recursions and calls the infinite NOT, NOT *impossible* and *annihilation*.

In a group Telos sense, each person declares their own knowledge from their own perspective. Yet groups pass on and **communicate information** - including their declared is , **ego knowledge**, and **hypothesis** - objects they perceive in the **non-ego space**- their declared frame (the plurality model) but are yet to posit as **ego knowledge** (infinitely recursively) - to form a kind of shared schema of group knowledge.



My analysis would indicate that my plurality curve has an infinite opposite/not of the declared thing (knowledge - the thing determined by the Ego) specifically on the bottom line at the infinite right hand side of the curve - where Fichte has included this in the Right hand Space - which I call the “Unknown” in my model. So he has put two things in the space - Infinite Opposite (Non-Ego) and Unknown - (Hypothesis) and the curve line itself becomes the boundary (Limit, Positioning, Cause). So I am a little more specific in my placing of infinities. He also mentions the idea of “God head” - which is the same as my get-out clause of the model - the question mark - which still allows the frame to exist but with the all the possibilities outside the subjective EGO wide open. The A-priori argument (initial self-reference and recursion) has been positioned in the EGO space as a **Determination** which is my **Declared is** space.

Fichte – Ego/Non-Ego Frame



“Here we have, therefore, a still higher contradiction : The Ego posits itself one time as infinite, and then again as finite. How can this contradiction be reunited ? The Ego posits itself as infinite in so far as its activity is directed upon itself, or returns in itself; for then the product of this activity, being the Ego - again, is infinite. (Infinite product infinite activity, and vice versa.) The Ego posits itself as finite in so far as its activity is directed upon a Non-Ego which it opposes to itself. This is no longer pure (infinite) but objective, limited activity.” (page 266 , page 313 of pdf)

..” The Ego opposes absolutely to itself an object, an opposite Non-Ego. In the positing thereof the Ego is utterly independent. That is, the Ego may posit the object where it chooses, but is conditioned in this, that by positing the object it limits itself. The Ego is finite, because by positing the Non-Ego it limits itself; it is also infinite, because it may posit the Non-Ego infinitely. It is finite in its infinity ; infinite in its finity. It is utterly independent, absolute in positing an object ; it limits itself simply because it does. But this **absolute self-limitation is opposed to I**, to the absolute self-positing the Ego, and hence impossible”

'The One thing I Know with Absolute Certainty is that Absolute Certainty Does not Exist' -

Author(Jonathan Pearson) Year[2019] Keyword(Humanism Certainty Individual)

<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Absolute-Certainty.pdf>

<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/One-Thing-I-Know.pdf>

Fichte’s work is very advanced and he is an explorer of ideas and willing to write all this down. On the basis of the analysis of his thinking in this document I have moved is ranking to 1 - along with many other great minds. See how I use my frames to rate people in **Evaluating Humanity**

<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Evaluating-Humanity.pdf> and **Women**

Lawyers – Corruption – Feminism – Debate <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf>

He **explores concepts** like *balance, tendency, impulse, feelings, annihilation , limiting* (like my **Bounds and Constraints** idea) and it seems to me he is describing a picture and concepts related to each other - very similar to my diagrams - conceptual models and frames - that have a high degree of cohesion and comprehensiveness about them.

Fichte recognizes the problem with positing the ideas between EGO and NON-EGO in two directions which has been described by many others as the ability to notice things, how do we “see” things, how we make sense of the world, how much to we see or understand, the general “Why?”, etc. He labels this as the **Third Link**. This Third link idea seems to have been misunderstood or overlooked by **Arthur Schopenhauer** who seems to have no comprehension of my frame and the infinities or the idea of initial self-reference and recursions (but get gets some of it - circular - A priori) but instead **manifests his preferred certainty** in “*Sufficient reason of being in Space*” - but I am yet to fully evaluate is book

[https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/On the Fourfold Root of the Principle of Sufficient Reason ..](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/On_the_Fourfold_Root_of_the_Principle_of_Sufficient_Reason..)”
*But if I had to indicate the particular form of this principle by which **Fichte** was guided in making the **Ego spin the Non-Ego out of itself**, as the spider its web, I should point to the Principle of the **Sufficient Reason of Being in Space**; for **nothing but** a reference to this principle gives any sort of sense or meaning to his laboured deductions of the way in which the **Ego produces and manufactures the Non-Ego out of itself**, which form the contents of the most senseless and—simply on this account—**most tiresome book ever written.**”*

I agree that you can read Fichte and regard his ideas as “**all about**” (**binary extreme** emphasizing) the EGO and miss the frame of concepts being presented.

*Fichte: REMARK. **All centripetal power in the world of nature** is purely product of the **power of imagination of the Ego**, in virtue of a law of reason to gather the manifold into unity. But both directions can not be distinguished, unless **a third link** is found whereby they can be related to each other. As yet this third link has not been found ; both directions are as yet undistinguishable, and consciousness, therefore, impossible.” (Page 279, page 326 of PDF)*

Another way on seeing this and exploring **Arthur Schopenhauer’s** concerns is the idea of **Knowledge** and **Reason** - the EGO knows something as knowledge by the Reason - the reasoning - the method - the collection of abstractions, **frames** and ideas which form the basis or organizing, *revealing, providing authority, understanding nature, etc* to that knowledge
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Scotus_Eriugena “***Eriugena** explains that reason is necessary to understand and interpret revelation. “Authority is the source of knowledge, but the **reason of mankind** is the norm by which all authority is judged.”*”

John Scotus Eriugena - Lifespan[800 to 877] Born_Loc(Ireland, UK) Rank(30) Keyword(Philosophy, Translator, Greek, Religion) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Scotus_Eriugena
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/scottus-eriugena/>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/De_divisione_naturae

Periphyseon - The Division of Nature - Document[867] Rank(20) Author(**John Scotus Eriugena**) Age(67) Keyword(Individual Development Philosophy, Religion)
<https://archive.org/details/periphyseon-the-division-of-nature-by-eriugena-5435315-z-lib.org>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/De_divisione_naturae <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/scottus-eriugena/>

Another way of looking at this part of Fichte’s discussion is to ask the question “Did the Universe imagine us or did we imagine the universe?” initial self-reference and recursion. It is a balanced

question but later philosophers started to over-emphasize the “lived experience” perceptions model in the face of huge advances in science and a **tendency to dogma and certainty** - especially ..

Edmund Husserl/Edmund Gustav Albrecht Husserl - Lifespan[1859 to 1938] Rank(30)

Keyword(Philosophy) <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/husserl/>

<https://archive.org/details/IdeasPartI/mode/2up> <https://www.iep.utm.edu/husserl/>

This over emphasis of Perceptions, EGO and “Lived experience” was particularly popular with feminists, women (on average) and weak minded uneducated men who were more inclined to see the world as their EGO and feelings dictated rather than engage with a broader framework and do the work themselves to understand the complexity of the universe. Fat, Dumb and Lazy complacency. They stoked their own feelings of resentment and feelings in others. The tendency to narcissistic tyrannical delusions about the reality of the world is very common amongst Communists, fascists, Marxists, feminists, religious zealots, women (on average - groupthink, victim narratives, quotas, targets, anxiety, etc) and weak minded men. The world became dominated by fear, anxiety, personal outrage and tribalism in the face of the lack of discussion of broader more balanced philosophical frames.

Children remain open to explore and discover things for themselves - despite the propaganda and indoctrination of the binary zealots.

William of Rubruck's Account of the Mongols (Rank:30) :Author(**William of Rubruk**) :Year[1255]

Age(45) :Keyword(Group History Geography, Culture, Exploration)

<https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/rubruck.html>

<https://factsanddetails.com/china/cat2/4sub8/entry-5483.html> [http://www.public-](http://www.public-library.uk/dailyebook/The%20journey%20of%20William%20of%20Rubruck%20to%20the%20eastern%20parts%20of%20the%20world%201253-55%20(1900).pdf)

[library.uk/dailyebook/The%20journey%20of%20William%20of%20Rubruck%20to%20the%20eastern%20parts%20of%20the%20world%201253-55%20\(1900\).pdf](http://www.public-library.uk/dailyebook/The%20journey%20of%20William%20of%20Rubruck%20to%20the%20eastern%20parts%20of%20the%20world%201253-55%20(1900).pdf)

References

All extracts are public domain and/or for research, fair use, education, not for profit, public good, in good faith, my emphasis for discussion, no malice or injurious intent.

1. **Wikipedia** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page Larry Sanger and Jimmy Wales https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Founder_of_Wikipedia **Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0**
2. **Many Universities, government , museum, library and public websites**
3. **Internet Archive** - Internet Archive Founder, Brewster Kahle - <https://archive.org/>
4. **Project Gutenberg** - Michael Hart, founder of Project Gutenberg, invented eBooks in 1971 and his memory continues to inspire the creation of eBooks and related content today. <https://www.gutenberg.org/> **public domain**
5. **Michael Stern Hart** (March 8, 1947 – September 6, 2011)



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael_S._Hart

by Marcello GDFL 1.2

6. A Conservative Manifesto https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5a81_JNaok - **Relative Poverty** - Paterno distribution graph - the curve. You are not poor because of social system failures or a lack of worth. I think he understands my plurality curve.
7. Piers Morgan and Jordan Peterson <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=COBAq5j9nLY>
8. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scholasticism>
9. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cappadocian_Fathers
10. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gregory_of_Nazianzus
11. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carolingian_Renaissance
12. Saka <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saka>
13. Silk Road - Khan Empire <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0787/7/2/32/htm>
14. [http://www.public-library.uk/dailyebook/The%20journey%20of%20William%20of%20Rubruck%20to%20the%20eastern%20parts%20of%20the%20world%201253-55%20\(1900\).pdf](http://www.public-library.uk/dailyebook/The%20journey%20of%20William%20of%20Rubruck%20to%20the%20eastern%20parts%20of%20the%20world%201253-55%20(1900).pdf) Friar John of Pian de Carpine to the Mongol court in 1246, that I will translate it in full. " That the joys of mortal men be not enduring, nor worldly happiness long lasting without lamentations, in this same year {i.e., 1240} a detestable nation of Satan, to wit, the countless army of the Tartars, broke loose from its mountain-environed home, and piercing the solid rocks (of the Caucasus), poured forth like devils from the Tartarus, so that they are rightly called Tartari or Tartarians. Swarming like locusts over the face of the earth, they have brought terrible devastation to the eastern parts (of Europe), laying it waste with fire and carnage. After having passed through the land of the Saracens, they have razed cities, cut down forests, overthrown fortresses, pulled up vines, destroyed gardens, killed townspeople and peasants. If perchance they have spared any suppliants, they have forced them, reduced to the lowest condition of slavery, to fight in the foremost ranks against their own neighbours. Those who have feigned to fight, or have hidden in the hope of escaping, have been followed up by the Tartars and butchered. If any have fought bravely (for them) and conquered, they have got no thanks for reward ; and so they have misused their captives as they have their mares. For they are inhuman and beastly, rather monsters than men, thirsting for and drinking blood, tearing and devouring the flesh of dogs and men, dressed in ox-hides, armed with plates of iron, short and stout, thickset, strong, invincible, indefatigable, their backs unprotected, their breasts covered with armour ; drinking with delight the pure blood of their flocks, with big, strong horses, which eat branches and even trees, and which they have to mount by the help of three steps on account of the shortness of their thighs. They are without human laws, know no comforts, are more ferocious than lions or bears, have boats made of ox-hides, which ten or twelve of them own in common..."
15. **Contemporaries of Marco Polo** <https://ia800300.us.archive.org/23/items/contemporariesof00komr/contemporariesof00komr.pdf> I found several Franks in Iconium, and a Genoese trader from Acon, Nicholas by name, from Santo Siro, who with his partner, a Venetian called Benefatius de Molendino, had **monopolized all the alum in Turkie**, so that the Soldan could sell none of it to any save these two; and they resold it so dear that what used to be sold for fifteen besants is sold for fifty.
16. <https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/marignolli.html>
17. [The system of relays for fresh horses, and the conditions of travel beyond Tabriz.]
18. <https://depts.washington.edu/silkroad/texts/clavijo/cltxt1.html#relays> It is to be noted that from Tabriz all the distance to Samarqand Timur has established relays of horses kept ready

at command so that his messengers may ride on his missions night and day without let or hindrance. The post-houses have been built at intervals of a day's journey apart, or sometimes of half a day's Journey. In some post-houses a hundred horses will be found, in others only fifty, while in a few there may be as many as two hundred: and thus the high road all the way to Samarqand is served. We were told that from Tabriz to Cairo they count it to be ten days' journey, and the city of Baghdad lies to the right hand of one going thither.

19. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enrique_de_Villena

20. Kharga Oasis - Alum

https://jaauth.journals.ekb.eg/article_196205_d634d3f27feb66fc7d54cb21d05c40b2.pdf

21. Alum Crystal <https://naturalspasupplies.co.uk/blog/the-history-and-uses-of-alum-in-britain/>
“The earliest use of alum is first known from linguistic studies in Mesopotamia where it was used as a mordant for madder dye. Alum use does not seem to begin in Egypt until the end of the eighteenth and twenty-first dynasty (approx 1500 BC to 1000 BC) also for dyeing. Herodotus, writing in the fifth century BC, informs us that the Egyptians were using alum as an agent for mummification – presumably to deodorize the corpse! Reenactors needn't to go this far with their experiments! It is more difficult to envisage how alum came to be used in many craft and industrial processes. Yet, the third century Stockholm and Leiden Papyri tells us how to use alum to make (fake) silver, pearls and applications for precious crystals, as well as how to dye textiles. Changing the appearance of base metals was clearly considered analogous to dyeing cloth. Generally artisans must have been very well versed at the subtleties of alum use. In fact these papyri may well have been ‘tawed’ or hardened and protected from fire and mould with alum. Pliny the Elder, the Roman historian (d.79 CE), had described some of the uses for different types alum in Chapter 52 in his 35th book of his Natural History and various applications: wool dyeing, leather tawing, leather tanning, for creating special metal and glass finishes, for medicinal and cosmetic uses. According to Pliny, alum, ‘... has the effect, also, of checking and dispersing perspiration, and of neutralizing offensive odours of the arm-pits.’.. “As demand and availability grew, textile workers turned to alum as a mordant to fix otherwise ephemeral vegetable colours. From the 12th century, alum was established as vital to our growing wool dyeing industry. At this time, alum could not be manufactured from alum shale, so British dyers were completely dependant on importing naturally formed alum (potassium alum). For textile dyers some of the earliest alum recipes are recorded in the Stockholm Papyrus of the third or early fourth century, and such recipes continue with minor variations until our time. Alum was used not only as a mordant when dyeing textiles, wood and bone, alum was used to precipitate some pigments. In the papyrus, the mordanting process is explained in several ways. Here is an extract from Book 3 of Africanus, taken from the Papyrus. ‘Mordanting for any color is done in the following way. First the animal, or else likewise only the wool is washed; then one can allow the mordanting agent upon it. One should then dissolve alum in vinegar and coat the wool, which one desired to dye, with it. After drying in the sun it is washed, and when it is freed from its moisture admit it to any coloring. One must pay attention to that which is mordanted for a day and a night during the mordanting.’ Note the option between mordanting the wool while it is still on the sheep, or waiting until after is has been shorn! Perhaps you could dye a sheep on the hoof too. The Papyrus gives other methods of using alum for dyeing specific colours such as quince yellow, leek green, rose, madder purple, scarlet red.”

22. Alum Essay <http://www.wovepaper.co.uk/alumessay1.html> "As said earlier, alum occurs in small quantities naturally; otherwise it has to be manufactured from two principal sources, alunite (alum rock or alum stone) and alum shale (alum ore or alum schist). Small quantities of natural alum ($K_2SO_4 \cdot Al_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 24H_2O$) could be found, as clearly recognizable crystals, in the Egyptian deserts (south-west of the Oasis, El Khârga). It was brought in by the Bedouin and shipped down the Nile to Alexandria. Another ancient source came from the Yemen. This, however, was crude aluminium sulphate and needed skilled treatment to convert it into ammonium alum. Urine was added to it, the solution boiled and the alum allowed to crystallize out of it on cooling. Sources of this kind were clearly limited.".. "Deposits of alunite ($K_2SO_4 \cdot Al_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 2Al_2(OH)_6$) were found not only in Asia Minor, but all over Italy, for example, close to sites of recent volcanic activity (Piombino, Volterra, Pozzuoli near Naples, Elba and the Aeolian islands). Large quantities of trachyte found in this environment (formations of igneous rocks mainly composed of aluminium silicates) were converted into alunite by local discharges of sulphurous fumes or acid. Alunite was only a half-way stage to alum. It was necessary to separate excess alumina from it by roasting and lixiviating the ore. Roasting often made the alum rock porous, facilitating the extraction of the alum, which could then be further purified by boiling and recrystallization."
23. Embassy to Tamerlane 1403 to 1406 <https://archive.org/details/b31354932>,
<https://iiif.wellcomecollection.org/pdf/b31354932>
24. Good Regulator Theorem https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Good_regulator
25. **Perception: Chaos and Order** | Dr. Karl Friston | #298
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=feS1zuKz2N8> "The simple model is most accurate" - "optimal simple explanation" - **bullshit and wrong** - but interesting discussion on noticing things. Patterns - schemas.
26. Met Sudan, 8000–2000 B.C. <https://www.metmuseum.org/toah/ht/02/afs.html>
27. <https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/the-universities/2022/10/take-your-xy-chromosomes-and-begone/>