

Equality Diversity Proportion Value Trust

Author: Jonathan Pearson

Version: 0

Date Started: 09/05/2021

Date First Version: 26/05/2021

Date Updated: 26/06/2021

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Preamble.....	2
Introduction	2
Framework.....	4
Population.....	5
Questions	5
Initial Conditions	5
Self reference.....	5
Initial Thoughts	5
Recent example	6
What are the issues which have been raised so far?.....	8
The Point About The “Trans” Gender debate.....	14
The Occasional Partial Hypocrite	15
The Choice for the Occasional Partial Hypocrite - Explored.....	19
The Situation.....	20
The Layers of Hypocrisy	21
The Choices for The Hypocrite.....	21
Intersectionality – Groupthink – Binary Certainty - Complexity.....	22
Situational Identity.....	26
Equality and Diversity	28
Proportion and Value.....	30
Trust.....	31
Abuse of Language and Concepts - Corruption	32
Measurement - Averages - Exceptions - Boundaries.....	35
Lollies in the Playground - the development of humanity	35
A Parable - Golf, Noticing and the Continuum.....	37
Tyranny - Heretics - Socrates - Grotius - Galileo - Spinoza - Pope - Kierkegaard, etc.....	37
Recent Investigations.....	39
Recent People	39
Recent Documents.....	40


Preamble

The God of certainty destroys humanity. Aztec, Maya, Rome, Egypt, etc. This Certain God rules and commands the gods of corruption, war and self deceit. Tyrant, Dictator, Communism, Facism, Feminism, Pharisee and other certain extremist dogma.

'Your Smug Certainty is only exceeded by your ignorance, incapacity, fear, hypocrisy, virtue and self interest' :Author(Jonathan Pearson) :Year(2021) :Keyword(Development Certainty Individual)

Introduction

As I investigate the continual decay of humanity and the corruption, violence and abuse by smug elites I try to explore the underlying issue – a root cause analysis – and the types of things which might help break out of bad habits of humanity. The daily incoherent assault against humanity by media, “intellectuals”, politicians, celebrities and rabble rousers – is all so obvious to me yet for many of these people - their failings in everything which might be regarded as “humanity” or “civilization’ (vague concepts I agree) is either a high level of complete ignorance or a deliberate hypocrisy and incoherence most aligned with the most determined Bad Actors that society can produce.



<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Measurement-and-Implementation.pdf>

There is little doubt that the education and media systems have been dominated by binary certain causists and underdeveloped human beings who are determined to reduce complexity, uncertainty and their anxiety on their certain journey to certain utopias creating their own legacy of virtue for all time. Currently the cause is “feminism” but there have been many binary certain causes throughout history. **(Certain Dogma)**

Most humans have no idea of how ignorant they really are. You have to do an immense amount of work to discover some view of your ignorance and capabilities and this can be a highly rewarding and interesting exploration and human endeavor. **Fat, Dumb and Lazy** - complacent societies – are not given enough of the day-to-day hypotheses and challenges that enable that development to take place with enough regularity to **Sustain** the **understanding** of **Corruption** and it’s sources to support the **exploration** of the concepts of “humanity” and “civilization”. **Excessive self-focus** of the narcissists – especially feminists, tyrants, idiotologists, (binary certaintists), women (on average we must speak about women all the time with averages as designated by government in a groupthink/mob kind of way) not only detracts from human development but actively seeks to dismantle any human development which may have taken place. Excessive destruction of group

structures by certain causers is a part of a change cycle but when out of control it “goes too far” – things which **should be conserved** are thrown away, things that **should not be kept** are **progressively re-enforced** in the **name of the cause**.

This change cycle itself “the cause” **cannot adapt to change** itself – and corruption and **tyranny becomes entrenched** until total destruction (the big bang – universal cycles).

	<p>Debates – Human Difficulties</p> <p>https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Debates-Human-Difficulties.pdf</p> <p>The “cause” can push and pull, in all directions and in incoherent ways.</p>
--	--

In my research and exploration - I have identified **binary thinking** – the **avoidance of the continuum** – and many related ideas - to being part of the underlying issues. **Incoherence** too – especially in Women but also weak minded men – which is more than just momentary hypocrisy but **deeply entrenched and defended** ability to be **incoherent all the time** and using the focus model – **emphasize** (usually with virtue signaling) – their certain selected humans and omit “others”.

The A.B.C. broadcaster proudly claims “without bias or agenda” when in fact it’s whole “cause” is to **emphasize** feminist, women and **victim narratives** and **omit** male (white men especially) and things it does not agree with - which they then proclaim as “evil” or “toxic” - this is their editorial policy. 2+2 = 5 according to the A.B.C.

Crimes by men are “evil” - crimes by women are mental illness due to pressures of society.

	<p>https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Extremists-Feedback.pdf</p> <p>Media corrupts it’s focus in what it chooses to cover – emphasize and omit. They claim “virtue” and “good” as their binary certain cause (corruption and self focus) – instead of hypothesis and exploration.</p> <p>Emphasis/ Omission – bias, blindspot, inconvenient truth, specially entitled, etc – incoherent and uncomprehensive</p>
--	---

This **blatant incoherence** – or **constant lying** – is embraced widely – not only by the media and politicians but also schools, universities, charities, public services, courts, judiciary and the high

court. This **constant bias** and **agenda** is **the way they think things should be** – but yet they deny that they are doing it and fail to justify it with debate, facts or argument. Most of the time they use the **“Permanent Victim Narrative”** and **“Virtue Signaling”** as a lazy quick justification – *“out the way here comes the designated **certain victim**”*. Violently opposing those who dare to question. Slogans are often used to sway the mobs. George Orwell, observed and predicted much of this. He explored the constant surveillance by government, censorship and propaganda - internet (blocked, logged and shared with USA), mobile phones, emails, surveillance cameras, random police checks, doublethink, correctspeak, lack of privacy, government dogma and interference with sex, computer predictions of your every move, choice, shopping habits, marketing, influencing, slogans, corruption of language, etc

See all my articles and frames <https://humanistman.com/>.

Meta Frames - <https://humanistman.com/home/frames/meta-frames/>

Humanism Frames - <https://humanistman.com/home/frames/humanism-frames/>

People - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/people/>

Documents - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/documents/>

Messages - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/messages/>

Articles - <https://humanistman.com/home/articles/>

Websites - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/websites/>

Questions - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/questions/>

Measures - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/measures/>

Issues - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/issues/>

Discussion Stoppers - <https://humanistman.com/home/humanism/discussion-stoppers/>

1984 :Author(**George Orwell**) :Year(1949) Age(46) :Keyword(Individual Philosophy Corruption)

<http://gutenberg.net.au/ebooks01/0100021.txt> <http://gutenberg.net.au/plusfifty-n-z.html#orwell>

The deep incoherence, corruption and **constant lying** is **popular to the mobs** - individualism and complexity is **attacked** - (free smug violent kicks reign on the designated perpetrator) - groups and simplicity dominates communication - individuals are demonized, de-platformed and violently abused for not singing from the same song sheet of the feminist (*“I am victim hear me roar in numbers too big to ignore”*) and other victim narrative mobs. Mass delusions are common for humanity.

Women in power constantly focus their emphasis on women and always omit men. This is their constant BIAS and AGENDA. Group identity focus.

Extraordinary Popular Delusions and the Madness of Crowds :Author(**Charles Mackay**) :Year(1852)

Age(38) :Keyword(Individual Development Maths)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extraordinary_Popular_Delusions_and_the_Madness_of_Crowds

<https://www.gutenberg.org/files/24518/24518-h/24518-h.htm>

<https://archive.org/details/memoirsextraord10mackgoog>

Framework

Humans, Development, Communication, Action, Focus Model

Population

Humans, Group, Individuals

Questions

1. Can I explore transition from Binary certainty to greater layers of complexity?
2. Why do people enjoy groupthink so much and attack individuals?
3. Why the need for absolute certainty?

Initial Conditions

Individual, Observe, Communication

Self reference

Individual, Groups

Initial Thoughts

Something very deep and entrenched allows humans to become so certain of the abuse they inflict on others. So called “well meaning” humans – even those who would call themselves “intelligent” “qualified” or “thinkers” (see Women Lawyers - Corruption - Feminism - Debate <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf>) - have great trouble exploring the continuums. The push for binary certainty and simplistic groupthink driven by Fat, Dumb and Lazy complacent societies leads to tyranny and certain Totalitarianism – the individual and difference must be ignored and shouted down. Violence and tyrannical responses escalates. Intolerance of difference abounds hypocritically under claims of new gods of **binary certain** - diversity and inclusion - or lately - “keeping everyone safe” (in a **certain way**) from the constant enemy.

Binary thinking and **certain** groupthink has dominated for decades – us/them – right/wrong – certain cause/certain effect - equality – diversity - justice – social justice. Language has become corrupted. The elites grab more power for themselves and designated victim groups so they can signal their virtue. Corruption is widespread, entrenched and admitted to without notice or consequence by politicians, public servants, business, universities, courts – every layer of society. Corruption **Feeding on itself** – the individual or those who dare to question are attacked and set on by the mob.

The United Nations is corrupt and incoherent – on a constant feedback loop of corruption, incoherence and virtue – unable to understand or explore any human condition in an authentic way. An “ultimate authority” on humanity itself. Tyrannical, Fat, Dumb and Lazy. “Too Big to Fail” – the sunk cost bias inhibits countries from defunding the entire organization.

Yet this is all **so obvious** - but the mass delusion continues like the march of an **Emperor with no clothes** surrounded by sycophants, elites and the other corrupt humans. Except all the children who dare to question the nakedness of the Emperor are given drugs like Ritlin to keep them sedated and unthinking. Just do what the feminists and other elites tell you to do.

The obvious binary certainty – signals the destruction of humanity itself – the inbetweenness of continuums – the something other than the certain binary of Good and Bad.

Recent example

The idea of Trans women and the feminist movement is a current example of groupthink and the feminist victim narrative. In other places it would be tribalism (Africa), racism (Asia), religious wars (everywhere) – it is a **pattern of groupthink, incoherence and self righteous violence and abuse** – driven by **constant smug outrage, greed and self** - which has many historical and current world wide human examples.

The words claimed by the smug and self righteous are “right”, “fair”, “equity”, “just” in their binary certain view of the world – all the time filling their self-virtue. Individuals who disagree with mob slogans are demonized and attacked. **Doubt** or **exploration of the issues by way of hypothesis and enunciation of the issues** – is ignored by Fat, Dumb and Lazy humans with little capacity or skills (corrupt) to **prepare for a debate** – let alone **participate in one**.

Reductio ad absurdum https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reductio_ad_absurdum (Aristotle). Sophistry, Rhetoric, Ad Hominem, Logic, Fallacy, Bribery, Corruption, Manipulation, etc.

Not only is there no interest in discussion and debate but all attempts to discuss are met with **instant outrage, mob like vigilantism** and **constant personal attack** and **other corruptions**. Many humans throughout history have explored the various techniques of dehumanizing your “opponent” the “others” – but these books were written and stories were told as if they were simply part of a mix of techniques sometimes used by incompetent people – not as a description of an entire population of humans who have been brainwashed and propagandized into **blithering idiocy**.

Everyone is in a **synchronized lock step march** of **incoherence** and **mob certainty**.

Appealing to the MOB is all that counts in politics – politicians ignore exploration and debate of democracy, humanity or **something other** than personal interest and corruption into positioning games and large scale cynical sell outs to mobs (feminists, the offices of women, budgets for women, women are victims always, more money for women, more voices for women, don’t upset the woman – being hostage to passive aggressives).

Brain dead zombies – has been a recent description for these humans – zombies devour humans – especially their brains (ability to imagine, think, communicate) and anything else that makes them human - but others have also described them.

Thus Spake Zarathustra :Author(**Friedrich Wilhelm Nietzsche**) :Year(1885) Age(41)
:Keyword(Individual Philosophy Development) <http://learnlibrary.com/zarathustra/index.htm>
<http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/1998> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thus_Spoke_Zarathustra

XXIX. THE TARANTULAS.

Lo, this is the tarantula’s den! Wouldst thou see the tarantula itself? Here hangeth its web: touch this, so that it may tremble.

There cometh the tarantula willingly: Welcome, tarantula! Black on thy back is thy triangle and symbol; and I know also what is in thy soul.

Revenge is in thy soul: wherever thou bitest, there ariseth black scab; with revenge, thy poison maketh the soul giddy!

Thus do I speak unto you in parable, ye who make the soul giddy, ye **preachers of EQUALITY!** Tarantulas are ye unto me, and **secretly revengeful** ones!

But I will soon bring your hiding-places to the light: therefore do I laugh in your face my laughter of the height.

Therefore do I tear at your web, that your rage may lure you out of your den of lies, and that your revenge may leap forth from behind **your word "justice."**

...


In all their lamentations soundeth vengeance, in all their **eulogies is maleficence**; and **being judge seemeth to them bliss**.

But thus do I counsel you, my friends: **distrust all in whom the impulse to punish is powerful!**

They are people of bad race and lineage; **out of their countenances peer the hangman and the sleuth-hound**.

Distrust all those **who talk much of their justice!** Verily, in their souls **not only honey** is lacking.

And when they call themselves **"the good and just,"** forget not, that for them to be **Pharisees,** nothing is lacking but—**power!**



<https://www.sparknotes.com/philosophy/zarathustra/section4/page/2/> Sparknotes "By preaching equality, they seek to **avenge** themselves on all those who are not their **equals**. **Life** thrives on conflict and self-overcoming. If we were to make everyone equal, how could we strive for the overman?"

THIS IS JUST PLAIN WRONG AND MISSES THE POINT – he was pointing out **their behavior** e.g. "impulse to punish" (Violence and abuse) – not opining on equality, conflict or striving to be a superhuman. To understand his writings you need to see a bigger picture. <https://humanistman.com/home/articles/>

Are people just regurgitating other people's ideas (like sparknotes) or are they thinking and evaluating things for themselves? Do they instantly understand the problems of life and rush to their certain solution? Are there any individuals left or does everyone presume to be an expert and dogmatize on nature?

Most people are just too stupid to know how stupid they are. To learn you have to read a lot, write a lot and try to construct some ideas – schemas – about the world – ask questions. See **Responsibility Accountability Learning Popularism Justice** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Responsibility-Accountability-Learning-Popularism-Justice.pdf>

Commentators seem to struggle to understand the latest groupthink issue about gender – some mostly or partially biological men who want to be treated like women and undergo operations and other procedures to turn themselves into a different version of their human selves. Men want to be

women and be treated like women. They believe that they are entitled to be treated like women and think in their own heads that they are women.

So here we have the problem of what a person thinks of them self and how others see them. **Try to hold on to that idea** – who **you think you** are (your id, super ego - no-one else's business) and **what others think of you** (your ego).

Now the problem for the commentators – especially the women and weak minded men – is that they have been brainwashed so effectively for many generations to believe that is natural to **always group people by identity characteristics – but mainly gender** – to always talk about women and give women more money, power, constant publicity and attention – **WOMEN** are a **special group deserving** of special treatment for all government systems – money, law, housing, schools, jobs, etc. The feminist specially entitled victim narrative (passive aggressive).

Commentators have trouble investigating or understanding this issue in any coherent way so they fall back on outrage or virtue signaling. Rather than do some kind of analysis of the various PRINCIPLES or IDEAS at question – they tend to jump to criticism, outrage of MOB position. At this point you should read the whole book “Thus Spake Zarathustra” and in particular the chapter on Tarantulas.

So in the **muddled minds** of the commentators is a kind of idea of “equality” and “justice” – but rather see it as an **opportunity** to do some analysis of the issue – some exploration and learning - they lazily **fall to their habits** and come to some kind of **binary certain position** which satisfies their desire to be Fat, Dumb and Lazy.

So let us start the analysis.

What are the issues which have been raised so far?

1. Toilets/Bathrooms for Men and Women

Much of societies infrastructure has been built around different public service structures based on gender – and the societal norm – the **shared public schema** of understanding rather than any kind of Totalitarian, legal or Authoritarian measures. In Roman times the Bath Houses were major investments in infrastructure. There is little evidence to suggest there was much privacy but it may be that men and women were separated by allowing access to the Baths and Different Times of the day. Privacy and the **expectations of privacy** have changed over the years – with many cultures and belief systems accepting the natural bodily functions of defecating, urinating, cleaning and washing to be openly viewed and experienced between people of the same gender but differentiating based on **rules** and **guidelines** based on **gender**.

The United Nations has **formally entrenched bias and corruption** by gender (women) by way of all “appropriate” action and “special measures” which are never measured or ceased. The Permanent Female Victim Narrative. They presume to dogmatize on “equality” (like any good Tarantula) to constantly discriminate in the favor of women and against men. **This has been the deeply entrenched culture since the 1960s. Women and Men are undefined by the united nations and are deemed “social constructs” and changeable.**

So this is another place the feminists, women (on average) and weak minded men come unstuck. Here they are stuck in **intersectionality** (long lists of binary definitions) – *social constructed* gender and “*changeable*” gender – people have all the “**rights and freedoms**” of their “**socially constructed**” gender.

Is it Hypocrisy to complain about other women (socially constructed) asserting their rights to use women’s toilets? Or Insanity or Incoherence – How would **you** describe it?

BUT is there another problem really going on but they just cannot **articulate it** (the poor corrupt dears – lacking in any capability). OR is a woman’s prerogative to be fundamentally incoherent is really what they want? All women deserve (their special right) to be incoherent all the time? Is that it? *Forgive my hypocrisy - it is just who I am - my lived experience.*

But let us continue the exploration.

2. Sport

Sport has always been associated with fame and wealth – leading many people to take drugs and have specific interventions to give them an advantage in their chosen sport. Sport **becomes an arena** for **exploring, discussing** and **examining** concepts of **fair** and **unfair** – cheating, playing by the rules, the “spirit” of the game and “good sportsmanship”- a “win at all costs mentality” is at one extreme and not playing the game at the other. **Somewhere in the middle** is an enjoyable and participatory **human endeavour** where relative skills and differences are highlighted, **appreciated** and rewarded and some people are **encouraged** to “develop themselves” and “get better”.

In recent years the feminist idiotology has taken over schools and infiltrated sport in a corrupt and incoherent way. “every child gets a prize”, “no winners and losers” “ everyone is equal” – difference, skill and capability have progressively been destroyed by binary certain feminist idiotology – proclaiming “equality”. This whiny feminist narrative of “equality” is driven from the **permanent victim narrative of the feminists** and is really about **corruption** and **HIGHLY SELECTIVE “EQUALITY” - the binary certain mob will define who get equality and when – in a constantly blatant biased, hypocritical and incoherent way.** It is no wonder many children raised under this certain dogma lack skills, capabilities and interest – suffer from cognitive dissonance and mental health problems and see things from a self centered, self opinionated and nihilistic way. Society means nothing when “me” means everything.

Money goes into sports from people who are prepared to watch and be entertained by it. If they enjoy the sport – they are prepared to go along, pay the price of ticket and watch the event.

This idea of the consumer of sport deciding on the money a sport receives has been corrupted by the feminists and other binary certain dogmas who use it now to **virtue signal** on their own behalf or advance their certain cause. Sport is largely owned by big money and governments and suffers from all the binary certain causes and corruptions associated with oligarchies, monopolies and large tyrannies.

The Australian Women cricketers wanted **the same money** (rewards for being a talented and entertaining sportsman) that the Australian Male cricketers get regardless of how many people watch or are prepared to buy a ticket to watch a game. They declared this as “equality” – whereas

quite clearly “equality” would be **no gender based cricket teams** – but players **selected entirely on merit regardless of gender identity**. Weak minded men who want to virtue signal or love (self love) their own hypocrisy and constant incoherence go along with the whiny feminist narrative all the time.

Women do not call for equality in things like darts, snooker, archery, shooting, table tennis, cricket, soccer, diving, tennis, golf, netball, fishing, etc. The general technique used by women is to create a money making competition only for women (looking for rich virtue signalers to sponsor) – instead of competing with men. Even in fishing – when women do compete in open events they either claim – **special victim status** (the entrenched feminist narrative) by cherry picking single instances or single comments to generalize against all men – or special hero status – “despite all of the hurdles and barriers I had to face” they “won” or did well “as a woman”. The **Binary Special Victim/Hero** – is entirely self focused, self indulgent and based on groupthink which large numbers of women revel in.

In Darts too women claim (groupthink – using averages) that because men out perform women that there must be some biological reason (an excuse **something or someone to blame other than themselves**) some magic “innate physiological differences” that men have over women which would explain why women do not seem to do so well. The idea that the individual men are better than the individual women playing those games is not possible for binary certain feminist idiots. They must use groupthink, they must explain difference as **blame** and **excuse** (someone else’s **fault** – someone else’s **responsibility**).

It is with this constant **groupthink, victim mentality, hypocrisy, greed** and **incoherence** women now complain about transgender – mainly biological men who want to be treated like women – and hence play in women’s sports – and win the fame and prize money that mainly biological women want.

Weightlifters, basketball, track and field events, swimming, etc – humans with more of the biological markers of maleness may (on average) do better than “women” – whether that is done by surgery, naturally occurring steroids, foods, drugs, treatments – some kind of transformational change - is a complex issue – needing much more debate than the fat, dumb and lazy binary certain female victim narrative.

Maybe sport has too much money in it? Maybe the win at all costs, “what ever it takes”, celebrity cult, fame, etc mentality needs to be replaced by a FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT towards participation, fairness, appreciation, humanism and away from GROUPTHINK, VICTIM, BLAME type notions? De fund celebrity and their adoration.

3. Jails and Sexual Assault

For many years Canberra did not have woman’s jail or section in its jail. Jails were usually things designed for all humans but in recent times different section or facilities are based on grouping – gender, age. In historical times there were various nuanced strategies for “criminals” (historically mainly debtors and petty crimes but also other crimes) - (goal, prisons, lock-up, penitentiary, remand centre, chain gangs, Youth detention, Deportation, Colony, Slavery, Insane Asylums, “Ships of fools”).

So the argument by some women goes – if I am woman who is in jail and another woman (who identifies as a woman but may have some male genitalia or not and may be labeled “trans”) – then I **may be sexually assaulted** - i.e. **a crime might be committed by a criminal**. Other crimes may be committed in jail by one inmate against another. This argument is made by virtue signaling females and weak minded men who presume to dogmatize on nature by saying what equality, justice and fairness is (the regular Tarantula Commentariate). They want the government to “do something” to assuage their **constant fear** and support their ideas of “Justice”, “Equality” and “Fairness”. So Women’s **fears of possible crimes** must always be shouted out and addressed by governments.

The U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics shows low levels of reported sexual assault - between 2-4% reporting sexual assault in jails – 1/2 from guards and staff. Women from women only facilities reported higher rates of sexual assault from other female inmates than males from male only facilities.

Jail Sexual Assault rates of between 2-4% is higher than the general population rate of about 0.06%.

Maybe then the idea would be to reduce the prevalence of sexual assault and violence in all jails rather than **concentrating on GROUPTHINK?**

It would not be a simple MOB SLOGAN – <https://www.respect.gov.au/the-campaign/> which is all politicians and the rest of the media and academia can say “it is all about respect” – in their **binary certain groupthink pig ignorant way**.

So one could hypothesize, based on statistics, that females rape other females in jail more often than men rape other men in jails. **OUTRAGE** and **DENIAL!** (feminists rapidly distract and search for other statistics to maintain the delusions)

4. All Language about Gender

“Breastfeeding” becomes “chest feeding” so that men, women and other humans who want to feed babies from their bodies can be “included” in an “inclusive” non-discriminatory way so that the “offense” (18c) of language is removed from society so women and weak minded men can feel “safe” from the **instant outrage** of **binary certain judgement** of inaccurate, vague or general language expressions. It is like handing over the language to lawyers who will determine – by decree – what word and ideas will mean in a kind of **binary certain Totalitarian Way** – and – of course – to open up further defamation cases and business for the Legal blood suckers and scum dwellers of society praying on the idiocy and insecurities of the weak minded.

“Unisex” was a recent term for shared toilets and many countries had unisex facilities – toilets, hair dressers (instead of barbers/hair salons), bars (changed from men/women). These were public warning signs (language) so that women and men could choose whether they wanted to enter the business or not. Men only businesses were attacked and demonized for many years (the Canberra Club) – for being exclusively male but for Gyms and other facilities exclusively for Women there is no outrage. So this goes to the heart of the Discrimination debate and legislation. The legislation is designed so that is legal to discriminate in favor of women for ever with no defined outcome or measure or reporting in every way but it is illegal for men to do the same thing. (see <https://humanistman.com/home/articles/> **Humanism Issue – Nation Law – Exceptions – Religion and Racism and other Groupthink** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Racism->

[and-other-Grouphink.pdf](#)) In fact any business (barber refusing to cut a girl's hair) who chooses to limit the services they provide based on identity characteristics other than the designated female victim narrative run by feminists runs the risk of being called out for "discrimination" and being "non-inclusive" (of female victims). Aged care homes **are** arranged on ethic, culture, religious, language, sexual preference, age and in some cases gender lines and **people can choose** to select which business **suits their needs**.

So the idea of handing over some gender identity card to claim the rights and privileges **is MAYBE a bit TOO CERTAIN** – and maybe the idea of choice and local community schemas and arrangements is better than **GRAND TOTALITARIAN announcements** of certainty. I.e. let small communities explore the variations.

It is a question of FOCUS and BALANCE. Sufficiently certain and sufficiently vague.


Imagine I chose to open a new exclusive club called "**The Old Rich Fat Bastards Club and Bar For Men**". I would charge large amounts of money for food and drink, have strict rules of behavior and language, comfy chairs and may allow smoking in comfortable designated smoking areas with firesides and port wine. No – of course this would become a prime target for some ANU student – working on their thesis of **intesectionality** and **toxic male culture** (ready for a career at the United Nations) to scream "discrimination" in their binary certain totalitarian way – rabble rousing (the mob) to attack, be outraged and destroy all those involved for **not being "equal"**. But it was clear from the name of the club who the club was for - like "Women's Gyms, Spas, etc". The incoherence seems lost on binary certainists. A Church for Christians – perhaps? A Wedding Cake Maker for Lesbians? Then of course the ANU activist could open their own club and name it after some prominent female activist.

An anecdote to explain

I was talking with some golf buddies – one asked – "*do you believe in euthanasia?*" (remember the NOT NOT technique "*I neither agree nor disagree*" – See <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Stepping-Over-The-Bodies-With-Binary-Certainty.pdf>) I did not directly respond yes or no (in a binary certain way). I explained my experiences and issues with my brother's death from aids, dad's death from cancer, my aunt's death from cancer and my mother's death from cancer and gave some background and context to the issues. I talked about choices and regret. I said "I know about death". One of my other binary certain friends (he lives with and depends on a feminist) mocked my use of the word "know" – "Oh you know all about it" – by claiming that **I was claiming all certain knowledge of death simply by saying "I know about death"**.

Now this might seem like nothing to you – **you may be habituated to this particular binary certain technique**. The attempt is to personally attack by mocking, sneering, ridiculing, lambasting, smug contempt, etc someone who - **they have determined (instant outrage and rush to judgement)** - claims they are superior if they express a view of a continuum - by pushing the meaning of words used to one extreme or the other. This a **deliberate** and **habitual technique of feminists** and other binary certain causists. You will see the Labour party and Green parliamentarians do this all the time - particularly the women but also the weak minded men. Many journalists are like this also. It is their **CONSTANT AND INSTANT HABIT** – of their - **entrenched HABITUAL PERSONAL ABUSE. "Rush to judgement" - a complete lack of interest of exploration or hypothesis because of their binary**

certainty. The hypocrisy is that they also think they “know” what the continuum is and **when to be binary certain** and **when not to be** – general discussions – especially by way of exploration – have to be moderated in favor of the BINARY CERTAINTISTS view of language – otherwise they **get stuck** in **self-righteous outrage** or **smug abuse** (using the **positioning game** – see Corruption Extremists Feedback <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Extremists-Feedback.pdf>)



See - the point here is that I was **not claiming** that “my Lived Experience” was making me **special** in anyway (**unlike the feminist idiotology**) - I was simply raising my experience by way of discussion - **not seeking** “Compassion”, “Sympathy”, “Empathy”, **special favors** or **special treatment**. We are all “victims” of life and trying to claim “Superior” victim status based on “lived experience” (the feminist narrative) - is **not compassion** or **superior virtue** - it is just **corruption** and **failure to recognize the human condition**. (See Huxley, Arendt and *Stepping Over The Bodies With Binary Certainty* - <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Stepping-Over-The-Bodies-With-Binary-Certainty.pdf> or any painting by **Pieter Bruegel the Elder** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pieter_Bruegel_the_Elder)

Developed Humans can talk about life experiences without playing the victim card, seeking compassion, sympathy or special consideration.

Voltaire - Voltaire's Philosophical Dictionary – Destiny


<https://www.gutenberg.org/files/18569/18569-h/18569-h.htm> “Some say to you: “Do not believe in fatalism; for then **everything appearing inevitable**, you will work at nothing, you will wallow in indifference, you will love neither riches, nor honours, nor glory; you will not want to acquire anything, you will believe yourself without merit as without [Pg 101] power; no talent will be cultivated, everything will perish through apathy.

Be not afraid, gentlemen, we shall ever have passions and prejudices, **since it is our destiny to be subjected to prejudices and passions**: we shall know that it no more depends on us to have much merit and great talent, than to have a good head of hair and beautiful hands: we shall be convinced that we must not be vain about anything, and yet we shall always have vanity.

I necessarily have the passion for writing this, and you have the passion for condemning me; both of us are equally fools, equally the toys of destiny. Your nature is to do harm, mine is to love truth, and to make it public in spite of you."

The Point About The "Trans" Gender debate

So the commentariat struggle with the analysis of the trans gender debate.




Firstly note the basic errors and biases.

1. Transgender debate is argued using group think methodologies – certain binary is assumed – the **continuum is ignored**.
2. Even using binary groupthink - Women who want to be treated like men - ARE **DELIBERATELY OMITTED** FROM THE DEBATE. (incoherence) Surely you can see that can't you??? – even the most lazy and ignorant commentator must see there has been no attempt to look at the issue in an holistic way (comprehensively – see my focus model <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Extremists-Feedback.pdf>)
3. **Fear** is used as the basis of the argument – **fear for women** – because as we know – the propaganda and delusion is **"all women are victims"** – men must always protect women from everything - even **possibilities** and **choice**. **FEAR DRIVES ALL ARGUMENT** – **"KEEP US SAFE"** (some special ones of us – the elites and their friends or the designated victims)
THE MOB SHOUTS
4. **Hypothetical** possible events (Judiths https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judith_beheading_Holofernes) and **single instance examples** (it matters not how distant in time they are or frequently they occur – one instance or fear of it is enough for all **certain predictions**) are used to argue the case – not data, unbiased research and a broader context of the issue (misuse of the FOCUS model – time, abstraction, omission – incoherent uncomprehensive).

See my discussion of women's argument techniques used by women lawyers and ex-judges – this shows the general pattern. <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women-Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf>

So you see, my fellow humans, that some things are complex and uncertain. Trying to make everything binary and certain leads to **hypocrisy**. This pattern of hypocrisy seems to require people to say *"Well, at least I am a good person"* – they fill themselves with virtue – this becomes their certainty – their entrenched habit (they are afraid of debate less it upset their sunk cost in the virtue habits). (see <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Corruption-Measurement-and-Implementation.pdf>)



Once virtuous humans are habitually filling themselves with virtue – they easily ‘puff’ themselves up and position themselves above the “others”. The others are those who dare to question or might possible threaten (fear and cowardice) their sunk-cost investment in themselves – their hypocrisy and virtue. See Observe - the Fool and The Expert <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Observe-The-Fool-and-The-Expert.pdf>. They seek to silence the others and groupthink follows with their binary certainty. Violence, attack and mob behaviors follow easily for those humans like this as - **Bad Acting** – proudly proclaimed as the greater good but most often feeding themselves – their **legacy** – their **certain good**. This **need to make a decision with certain bounds** – is a lot like what we see with the legal community who have taken over the idea of **certainly defined universes** – or at least – **ownership of bounds and certainty** – to be **paid for with large amounts of public money** or money from individuals who find themselves caught up in a society dominated by groupthink and binary certainty. Magistrates who are too stupid to know how stupid they are – rail against questions and **reign abuse down** on defendants from their fully protected highly paid public positions they and other elites hold.

If we were **dismantle the idea** that the feminists push that women are specially entitled and special victims – then the problems associated with this defined groupthink tend to go away and require less authoritarian and totalitarian responses. Issues can be addressed locally – compromises and discussions can take place at community levels – without screaming “victim” on the international stage – the **permanent female narcissistic narrative**. Public tantrums by “spoiled brats” on a world scale.

On Compromise :Author(**John Morley**) :Year(1898) Age(60) :Keyword(Individual Nation Thinkers Library) <https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/11557> <https://rationalist.org.uk/archives> <https://archive.org/details/oncompromise07morlqoog/page/n6>

The Occasional Partial Hypocrite

We have a situation recently where a female politician from one of the parties was stalked and abused by campaigners from another side of the political parties. The Labour party and the Greens party are dominated by feminist theory and the **women are victims narrative**. They select candidates based on their gender (women) and weak minded men who support the feminists and their certain, groupthink idiotology.

The Labour party and the Greens constantly shout out **“all women are victims”** – constantly demonize men and always seek to promote women’s issues and ignore men’s issues. This is their constant political platform and they also promote women to public service positions based on their gender and not merit. This is entrenched in the ‘office of women’ but also courts, legislation, public services, etc.

See <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-03-16/government-mp-breaks-down-in-tears-calls-for-safety-of-women/13254068> "The **safety of women** in this place, of female staff and female MPs and senators should be above politics," she said. ... "What I say to the Labor Party today is they may not have held the spray can to vandalise my office with sexist slurs, they may not have held the camera pointed at me by the stalker or called me evil in GetUp's phone calls, **but they did create the environment in which hate could flourish**," she said."

Now - part of her claim is that women are special – and that the sexist slurs against her – were not called out by the feminist men and women of the Labour Party and the Greens – i.e. they did nothing to call out that she was a “victim” (as a woman). They took no notice of her special victim status as a woman.

The implication was that the feminists in the Labour party and the Greens are **hypocritical** – that they are highly selective in who they claim to be victims and need support and who does not.

Well this all seems a little obvious doesn't it? The Feminists idiotology is that “*women are victims*” is a general slogan – groupthink/mobthink – entirely designed to promote **selected** women issues over men. I mean – it is **inherently selective** – women over men. **Bias is what they do**. You can see that can't you? More money for women – more money for women's issues, more jobs for women, etc. I mean it is **constantly hypocritical** – men are always ignored. Even Trans women now are causing this hypocrisy to be exposed – “*all women are victims*”? – “*no - only those we choose - with our certain social constructs*” of course for some issues, etc.

So this Liberal party women still believes in **women being specially entitled** to “safety” (as a slogan) – and fails to use the words **men and women** or **all humans** or **all employees and workers**. So she is happy to remain as a **special women** and have complete disregard for other humans (even groups of humans - using groupthink) – which is **exactly the same thing she is accusing** the Labour Party and Greens of! So her outrage is based on exactly same groupthink and abuse of the “others” as the Labour party and the Greens. **Omitting** to recognize “others” other than **certain identity groups**.

For some reason – **no-one seems to think that any of this is biased, incoherent or based on groupthink**.

Conservative commentators hail her a hero for calling out the hypocrisy in “others” – and the seriousness of “women's issues” and the constant attention all things to do with women must have. “**create the environment in which hate could flourish**” – **what about hate against men? Is that worth calling out? What about hate against any designated group? It is all a little or partially hypocritical don't you think?**

So the Labour party and Greens are of course dominated by highly selective groupthink, bias and what I would call **corruption**. Everyone is **judged by their identity** – it is their policy. **THIS IS NOT A SECRET - IT IS THE PUBLIC SERVICE POLICY AND THE POLICY AND PURPOSE OF THE LABOUR PARTY AND THE GREENS.**

All legislation, grants, appointments are for women - The Human rights agencies are for women, Courts are for women, Family Law is for women, Domestic Violence is for women, Free Housing for women, charities are mainly for women - all public service agencies have become entirely

corrupted, biased and have a deliberate agenda for women and against men. This has been going on for the last 50 years.

As Orwell predicted - as soon as you create a ministry of truth - it becomes corrupted. It **IS THE VEHICLE** for tyranny. "Human rights" becomes CERTAIN NAZI RIGHTS under a NAZI regime

This is their **main policy platform** – being **certainly biased "in the right way"** towards the "most deserving groups". So they are being **completely consistent in their certain selective bias and hypocrisy**. This is their constant "special measures" for women – the **permanent victim narrative** – their constant grab for more money and power. To them – this is the *right kind* of discrimination – the *right kind* of bias and agenda – how humanity should be. The fact that they use slogan words like "women's safety", "women and their children" "**women women women women women**" – is just the slogans for the mob – the entrenched propaganda run by politicians and the media. They will decide who is the most deserving group for public money – themselves (and their friends and family) – **women victims - (except for all the exclusions and other corruptions we endorse)**. Ignoring men and male victims is the purpose of the Labour Party and the Greens – selective victims – special victim groups. **Groupthink dressed up with a virtue signaling slogan.**

This is legislated in The Discrimination Act - they (Liberal women, Labour (Penny, Tanya and the rest) and the Greens - feminists and weakminded men) **deliberately removed** - measures, tests, business cases and reason for constant bias towards women and made it a free for all - for women. You cannot mount a legal case against constant discrimination TOWARDS WOMAN - entrenched bias, money and largesse using the legislation. They went even further than the united nation's corruption and incoherence. See **SEX DISCRIMINATION ACT 1984 No. 4, 1984 - SECT 30 Certain discrimination on ground of sex not unlawful and other "Special Measures" amendments**
<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Humanism-Issue-Nation-Law-Exceptions-Religion.rtf>

The permanent victim, special human narrative - "There were many victims of the shocking tragedy - including women, children and a pet (sentient indigenous trans curious) dog named hero". Just Google search news reports, etc combinations of "Tragedy" "Death" "Women" "Children" "Men" "Girl" "Boy" and count the results. (Australia is one of the most biased). Also See **Responsibility Accountability Learning Popularism Justice** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Responsibility-Accountability-Learning-Popularism-Justice.pdf> (especially **Motivation and Personality**: Author(**Abraham Maslow**) :Year(1954) :Keyword(Individual Development Education, Art) <https://www.eyco.org/nuovo/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Motivation-and-Personality-A.H.Maslow.pdf> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abraham_Maslow <https://holybooks.com/motivation-and-personality/>)

There are many Women's "**equality**" organizations - i.e. equality for women run by women employing all women to push a women's agenda. <https://www.equalityrightsalliance.org.au/> Many funded and resourced as large organizations with government assistance. They **claim/allege** (surely we must believe all women?) statistics not being exactly 50/50 **as evidence** of systemic gender inequality using the **women are victims narrative**. Yet the fact that the public service is 60% women (up from 40%) or that women live longer than men on average, etc is ignored. They specifically cherry pick their SELECTIVE EQUALITY - **their bias** - their **constant agenda**.

*“Equality Rights Alliance (ERA) is one of **six National Women’s Alliances**, led by the YWCA Australia with **funding from the Federal Office for***

***Women.”** <https://nationalwomensalliances.org.au/equality-rights-alliance/> - This pattern is repeated in each state and territory.*

*“Gender Responsive Budgeting” (for women) <https://www.equalityrightsalliance.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/GRB-elements-ERAs-policy-position-2021.pdf> “incorporate an increasingly **sophisticated intersectional analysis**,” ..” a resourced and **well-trained public service** with*

***oversight and leadership** in Cabinet, in Treasury and in all Departments, and” ..” reflect the concerns and **lived experiences** of women and girls” ...*

(women tell stories - make up lies, selective “truths”, etc - “I felt discriminated against” - “I felt unsafe”)

*... “new data standards on sex and gender so that the **lived experience of people outside the gender binary** are counted and included accurately”*

What does a women’s lobby group have to do with “G” - male homosexuals? Or Trans or +?

You see the historical patterns don’t you?

Can you be a partial hypocrite?? – I am hypocritical in the “right” way at the “right” times. That could be called **incoherent** and maybe **cognitive dissonance** – but why are so many humans so comfortable with this? See the next paragraph **The Choice for the Occasional Partial Hypocrite.**

Is it because they are really incapacitated mentally or just do not think that corruption matters – that there is no need for consistency of thought and action – that there is no need for coherence at all? Trust is all about trusting the **self declared virtuous ones** – **The Pharisees and The Scribes?** **“Don’t trust our repeated incoherent and biased behavior - Trust Who We Are”.** Is it because – as many politicians publicly admit – “I am just as corrupt as other politicians.” “Everyone does it”, “I am NO WORSE than many others”, “At least I am better than Hitler” – **Are you???** – really – why do you think that?

When you are in a **race to the bottom** – how will you know when to stop? How low can you go?

This incoherence is taught at schools and rewarded (including Australia Day Awards) in universities and the public service – the constant “right” kind of bias to designated “victims” groups (women). Hero – victim - perpetrator group think. Few people think anything other than this type of groupthink. See **Time – Reaction – Pattern – Habit - Plan** <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Time-Reaction-Pattern-Habit-Plan.pdf>


*‘The **enemy of the moment** always represented **absolute evil**, and it followed that any past or future agreement with him was impossible. ... He, Winston Smith, knew that Oceania had been in alliance with Eurasia as short a time as four years ago. But where did that knowledge exist? ... It was quite simple. All that was needed was an **unending series of victories over your own memory.** “Reality*

control,” they called it; in Newspeak, “doublethink.” :Author(**George Orwell**) :Year(**1949**) :Source Document(**1984 page 43**) :Keyword(Humanism Extremist Group)
<https://www.planetebook.com/free-ebooks/1984.pdf> <https://www.george-orwell.org/1984>

'As witnesses not of our intentions but of our conduct, we can be true or false, and the hypocrite's crime is that he **bears false witness against him-self**. What makes it so plausible to assume that hypocrisy is the vice of vices is that integrity can indeed exist under the cover of all other vices except this one. Only crime and the criminal, it is true, confront us with the perplexity of radical evil; but **only the hypocrite is really rotten to the core.**' :Author(**Hannah Arendt**) :Year(1963) :Source Document(**On Revolution**) :Keyword(Development Hypocrisy Individual)
https://archive.org/stream/OnRevolution/ArendtOn-revolution_djvu.txt
https://monoskop.org/images/b/bf/Arendt_Hannah_On_Revolution_1990.pdf

'Most of us are aware of and pretend to detest the **barefaced instances of that hypocrisy by which men deceive others**; but **few of us are upon our guard** or see that **more fatal hypocrisy** by which we **deceive and over-reach our own hearts**. It is a flattering and dangerous distemper, which has undone thousands - we bring the seeds of it along with us in the world they intently **grow up with us from our childhood** - the **lye long concealed and undisturbed**, and generally have **such deep root in our natures** by the time we come to **years of understanding and reflection**, that it **requires all we have got to defend ourselves from their effects.**' :Author(**Laurence Sterne**) :Year(1760) :Source Document(**Sermons of Laurence Sterne IV: Self Knowledge - page 99**) :Keyword(Development Hypocrisy Individual) <https://www1.qifu-u.ac.jp/~masaru/sermons.html>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sermons_of_Laurence_Sterne


'Smith was wrong here. The most shining characteristic of Alroy Kear was his **sincerity**. No one can be a humbug for five-and-twenty years. **Hypocrisy** is the most difficult and **nerve-racking vice** that any man can pursue; it needs an unceasing vigilance and a **rare detachment of spirit**. It cannot, like adultery or gluttony, be practised at spare moments; it is a **whole-time job**. It needs also a **cynical humour**; although Roy laughed so much I never thought he had a very quick sense of humour, and I am quite sure that he was incapable of cynicism.' :Author(**W. Somerset Maugham**) :Year(1930) :Source Document(**Cakes And Ale Chapter 1**) :Keyword(Development Hypocrisy Individual) <https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.507685> <https://gutenberg.ca/ebooks/maughamws-cakesandale/maughamws-cakesandale-00-h.html>



The Choice for the Occasional Partial Hypocrite - Explored

So this Liberal Party woman who **thinks that there is something wrong** with Labour party and Greens party women not supporting her when she - as a woman - is a victim. She thinks that an **“environment in which hate could flourish”** has been created - and she thinks that - the “others” - that is - the other women in the other political parties are hypocrites and created this environment.

Let us think about this for while and examine the hypothesis.



Let us now provide some context for this discussion.

Firstly - hands up who thinks Totalitarianism comes in very quickly? Who thinks a leader of a party walks up and says - *now I am going to introduce a Totalitarian, Fascist or Authoritarian regime?* Anyone?

So maybe it creeps in small steps? A slowly boiling frog?

The Situation

Greens, Labour - all have policies of dividing humanity based on identity - Women, Aborigines, The permanent victim narrative - based on identity. This is their platform. Oppressors - the oppressed - groupthink, divisions with them as the “heroes” and saviors of oppressed human groups everywhere. i.e. the individual is not as important as the group identity.

They base this thinking on their empathy, compassion and virtue (doing good against designated evil - the **certain Devil**) and reinforce it universities where they reward each other and those who think the same thing and demonize and attack those who differ - *You are either with us or against us*. This is on a feedback loop - that leads to “whatever it takes” and “the ends justifies the means” and “the cause is great” with much certainty. The certain “oppressed” - the “certain victim narrative”.

People who do not always play the compassion, empathy, sympathy card are labeled - “autistic” and “on the spectrum” (as opposed to binary certain “right thinking”). See ***Madness and Civilization: A History of Insanity in the Age of Reason*** :Author(**Michel Foucault**) :Year(1961) Age(35)
:Keyword(Group Development Thinking)

Because they give themselves degrees in “learning” they believe themselves to have Special Knowledge” (Like the Pharisees) “intelligentsia” - but would rather not debate those who disagree so they demonize and personally attack others - like Labor and the Greens do in parliament and the media lead by the ABC and others.

The Liberal party currently run the government and have just increased funding and ministers - all for women - putting women in women’s jobs in power to deal especially with women’s issues.

The Office of Women is an office which this government funds and supports for women only lobby groups employing only women to lobby for what they call “equality” (for women) and demonize men and ignoring everything but women.

A male government Minister was threatened and cajoled by women in the Labour Party, Greens and the media because of a historical rape **allegation** based in information from a woman - now dead - who apparently did not want to raise the matter (30 years is long time - how would anyone know

what happened?) or lay any police charges - based on agitation from politically motivated feminists who were in the middle of inciting mob rallies of women declaring males as toxic and women as permanent victims needing more money and power.

The Layers of Hypocrisy

So this Liberal Party Women - which is part of a government which **has entrenched and increased selective bias towards women and bias against men** - cannot understand why Penny Wong, Tanya and the others did not speak about what was happening to her - as a woman - i.e. they **did not proclaim** the evil of men and the **women are victims narrative - for her situation**. They were **selective**. They were selecting based - not on gender identity - but some other kind of identity - political party - this is her main hypothesis. They were **biased and incoherent - these are the ideas which may be starting to dawn on her**.

But we know they are selective, biased and use groupthink - this is their policy platform!

But let us look at this a bit further - one of her work colleagues is being attacked and demonized - not just at his local office - BUT ON NATIONAL TV - by the media, women's groups and other politicians - based on an identity politics agenda - (women are always victims) - YET - this means nothing and does not raise any alarm bells for the Liberal Party woman. **She is a deserving victim - he is not a deserving victim**. Emphasis/Omission - a lack of context.

Another hypothesis - of what might be going on in her mind - is that women should always support women's issues and men should always support men's issues - i.e. the men should be standing up for the accused and abused man and women should support accused and abused women. She believes entirely in groupthink, tribalism and dividing everyone by identity of some kind - i.e. gender in this case but when the "intersectionality" of adding one more dimension - "Political party" - she disagrees with that. The "right" kind of **selective bias - it is just her definition of "right" is different to others**.

This Liberal Party woman recognizes that **someone has done things to "create the environment in which hate could flourish"** - but it is not her and her selective groupthink - or her government bias and selective agenda - her government's "Office Of Women" - **someone else is to blame**.

The Choices for The Hypocrite

This idea of incoherence and hypocrisy has to be dealt with - it is just too confusing to leave there. It is so arbitrary and leads to fights with others who disagree. Individuals can be hypocrites but the larger the group becomes the more problems it creates. Individuals **have to learn** about hypocrisy and **how to develop** as a human.

People find constant **hypocrisy** difficult to deal with - at the heart of it is this stepping away from binary terms and binary certainty. This is a big test - some ignore it for many years or just stop and become **disinterested** and **fearful or cowardly**.

Others - invent a new concept "Good" - I am Good - (**this is my identity, my truth, my "lived experience"**) this is then used to replace incoherence and hypocrisy and complexity and uncertainty and all those other troubling things.

The **virtue signaler** - The Pharisee - **this becomes their certainty** - **themselves** and their virtue. This grows in a feedback loop and all **Bad action** becomes justified by **their certain virtue**.


There is another choice.

Own up to the problems of hypocrisy and embrace how difficult these complexities can be. Then explore, research and investigate continually the ideas of **when to be certain** and **when to allow room for exploration and hypothesis and debate**. Imperfection and uncertainty. The **Human Condition** - not **constant self delusion**. Avoid corruptions, groupthink - **search for** and explore humanity.


Intersectionality – Groupthink – Binary Certainty - Complexity

The binary certain groupthink is less about continuums and more about certainty. There seems to be very little room to explore the unknown. They lack the mental models and language to have much understanding of what they are talking about. So they tie themselves up in language and incoherence and preach ideas like a *“gospel truth”* – the truth that everyone must **certainly believe**. *“Hallelujah - praise be the god of certainty” - “my certain book of rules”*

A Continuum allows for “others” – the “Unknown” – the **“I am not certain”** – to exist and be explored in-between some certainty on the continuum. *“Am I permitted to be uncertain?” “praise the certainly uncertain”*



The **binary certaintists** and other **dogmatists** – prefer strong bounds and constraints. They tend to use certain language and certain models - *“You are either with us or against us”* – and will develop long lists of connected binary concepts to describe their version of certain complexity. Like long lists of “questions” or descriptions – which **certainly** “identify” the issue. (It is DNA - long binary lists)



Feminists, certaintists and weak minded men think that this overlapping Venn diagrams of binary – or **two single extreme concepts of a continuum** – is how to describe and manage complexity. i.e. you are either a man or a woman but we allow some overlap.

On the diagrammatic and mechanical representation of propositions and reasonings :Author(**John Venn**) :Year(1880) Age(46) :Keyword(Group Science Maths)

https://www.cis.upenn.edu/~bhusnur4/cit592_fall2014/venn%20diagrams.pdf

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14786448008626877>

https://books.google.com.au/books/about/On_the_Diagrammatic_and_Mechanical_Repre.html?id=9yU8IQAACAAJ&redir_esc=y

You can see how this is a **completely different model** to the **continuum** BECAUSE the continuum is called **gender** - which is a **HYPOTHETICAL continuum**. Something to be **explored** and **examined**. **It is a different starting point to saying – we have men and we have women in a binary certain way.**

Why have gender continuum - what purpose does it serve? (John Mill's utility - maybe?)

Let me answer the question of Gender. Why have gender? It allows humans to create baby humans who are different to their parents.

Now you can go on ranting , getting post-doctoral degrees from ANU and making grandiose statements in parliament and the United Nations but the issue is - what more do you need to know and why do you need to dogmatize so much?


'It is interesting to me that humans live in a time of great growth of comfortable living, information, discoveries - yet our knowledge, understanding, appreciation and tolerance continues to diminish.'

:Author(**Jonathan Pearson**) :Year(2021) :Keyword(Humanism Learning Group)

NOW - DON'T Start BEING TOO VAGUE (Socrates) OR TOO CERTAIN (Dogma, Cause).


Sometimes **it matters** to make general distinctions based on gender for some purposes – sometimes it doesn't matter much. Sometimes it is important to have vagueness – sometimes it's not - sometimes you have to **choose one door or the other**. (see Monty Hall

<https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Binary-Grouphink-Overcoming-1.pdf>)

	<p>The Binary certaintists - in a hypocritical way call themselves "<i>non binary</i>" - i.e. they are pre-emptively offended (like Judiths) to be labeled as a man or a woman - by people who they label as "the toxic masculinity" or the "patriarchy" in a binary certain way which demonstrates their hypocrisy and incoherence perfectly to everyone except themselves. Gender fluid is an avoidance of the existence of any abstraction levels of gender.</p>
---	---

Their **binary certainty habits** is demonstrated in their constant "**All or Nothing**" approach - either we have all the certain genders (all the listed certain definitions) or we have no gender at all (gender fluid - on the spectrum). **Still** the **binary certain choice**.

So the binary thinkers make their certain binaries and then overlap them all and call it "intersectionality" of all the certain binary extremes. **Certain** Man, **Certain** Woman, **Certain** Lesbian, **Certain** Gay, **Certain** Bi-Sexual, **Certain** Trans, etc - certain "**multi-dimensional layers of identities**". They call this non binary, complexity and understanding. They teach this thinking. Lawyers make money from this approach to complexity. Governments endorse and promote dogmatic incoherence.

	<p>https://aifs.gov.au/cfca/publications/lgbtiq-communities Australian Institute of Family Studies - Understanding and using the language/terminology associated with the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, gender diverse, intersex, queer, asexual and questioning (LGBTIQA+)1 communities helps to ensure that services and organisations are inclusive and respectful.</p> <p>Gobbledegook - the certain cause of humanity - “inclusive” and “respect” OF CERTAIN GROUPS</p>
---	--

So gender (who you are - your identity) **gets mixed up** with gender preference (sexual preference - who you might be attracted to or have sex with sometimes), clothes, make-up, medical operations, drugs, etc. This is **not seen** as **incoherent** or **binary certain** by the United Nations, or others. Most humans are too stupid - (**Fat, Dumb and Lazy**) to understand how stupid this is. Most lack any language or conceptual framework to analyse why this is stupid and explain to others how stupid it really is. It is clearly **dogmatic** and **totalitarian** but because the population has become trained and hypnotized by media, schools and politicians - into an unthinking zombie like state - they lack the necessary **critical thinking skills to explore** the issues.

Universities turn out **indoctrinated smugly certain virtue signalers** - using **identity politics** and **feminist victim narratives** - to lecture the rest of society how things “ought to” be. They become politicians and media zealots - mainly women (who are not required to think, learn or acquire any skills in their careers - they are advanced based on identity) and evangelical men - determined to thrust their certain “virtue” and goodness on the rest of society.

This **constant dogmatic definition** and **certainty** - the **binary certainty** - the lawyerspeak and dogma - lack of hypothesis or variation - **intolerance of difference** - **imbedded groupthink** and **division** - “with us” or “against us” - is a trend we see everywhere now , not just in the legislation, but in all public services, companies, schools, universities, books, entertainment, courts, etc.

*'Tolerance is respect, acceptance and **appreciation** of the rich diversity of our world's cultures, our forms of expression and ways of **being human**. It is fostered by knowledge, **openness**,*

*communication, and **freedom** of thought, conscience and belief. Tolerance is **harmony in difference**. It is not only a moral duty, it is also a political and legal requirement. Tolerance, the virtue that makes peace possible, contributes to the **replacement of the culture of war** by a culture of peace.'* :Author(**UNESCO**) :Year(1995) :Source Document(declaration of principles on tolerance) :Keyword(Humanism Freedom Group) <https://www.refworld.org/docid/453395954.html>

AGAIN - for you binaries - NOT CERTAIN TOLERANCE or CERTAIN DIVERSITY or CERTAIN RESPECT, etc.

Is the **culture of war** something to do with binary certainty?

What started as elimination of **alleged** barriers of discrimination - teaching at schools where boys did better in tests at maths and science than girls - because of **alleged** and **unproven** "teaching discrimination" in the 1970's - has turned into a complete overhaul of school curriculums - a "dumbing down" of topics, corruption of exams and competition, constant positive discrimination for girls and women and constant demonization of boys and men - promoting women ahead of men based on identity in all aspects of life - a concentration of public services, money charities and systems for women and less protections and support for men - constant media narratives of feminist victim narratives and "toxic masculinity" (the A.B.C. seeks to hire women who preach "Kill all Men" as their Gospel - the Victorian Government and others appoints declared victims (women, etc) as special and entitled) - psychopathic and serial lying women are rewarded by the governments, media, courts, judges, businesses, charities and support services to accuse men and claim specially entitled victim status.

The feminist oligarchy controls most things, lies all the time, demonizes men constantly - and far from eliminating barriers and discrimination - is **constantly discriminating in favor of women** in legislation and every part of society. This **constant bias, discrimination** and **corruption** - is proudly proclaimed as "virtue" (the virtuous cause" for the "designated permanent victim") - "The Office For Women" - "The Ministers For Women", etc. Human rights is a doublespeak - human rights do not apply for men - only women - again this is legislated - the corruption is fully legislated and implemented in all the states and territories laws - special rights and special exemptions for "special People" with "special needs" - (elites, (the corrupt), women and designated permanent victims). Everyone who follows this mantra is encouraged and rewarded for using their positions in their jobs to constantly demonize men and reward women - especially the magistrates in the A.C.T. Magistrates court which formally idolizes women and demonizes men based on the "White Ribbon" Dogma. The Human Rights Commissioner on Aging claimed that "Women's Housing" was one the most important issues for the "Aged" - despite more men being homeless than women - the governments constantly gives money to women for nothing other than identity characteristics - not based on need or situation - all based on identity - using the feminist victim narrative and the "toxic" male "patriarchy" - shaming, blaming, demonizing, prosecuting, alleging, attacking, calling out Mob vigilantism against all men and boys who dare to question or disagree with the feminist agenda.

Women (single mothers) raising male children - boys - and attending **mob rallies** shouting "*Kill All Men*".

The feminists, women (on average) and weak minded men think they are implementing "**a revolution**" - greater freedoms for humans - they are "freedom fighters" - a **self deception** and **big lie** of all **tyrants**. See <https://lithub.com/never-before-published-hannah-arendt-on-what-freedom-and-revolution-really-mean/>

See **Revolution** by **the Beatles** - [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Revolution_\(Beatles_song\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Revolution_(Beatles_song)) ("*we all want to see the plan*") and **John Lennon** inspired by Yoko Ono's sexual politics agenda - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Woman_Is_the_Nigger_of_the_World

Mobs don't have plans - they have smug, self-righteous outrage and shun all communication other than violence.

Situational Identity

Now - as far as I can tell - it is **not the role of government** to describe, know, care, take interest in and order me to describe or explain - anyone I chose to have sex with or why. **Talk to my ego but leave my id and super-ego alone!** Puritans and virtue signalers may have a salacious interest for their own benefit. Consenting adults are allowed to have sex together. **Now** - the **certain binary dogmatists** and their **muck racking lawyer profiteers** - want to dogmatize on exactly what **binary certainty consent** looks like. To this extent - all the **feminists** and **weak minded men** are drawing up “progressive” legislation on “**Consent**” to permanently enshrine the idea that **women are victims of men always** - never consent to sex -enjoying sex is not consent (I was pretending (lying) - *I said yes because I was afraid and coerced*) - can always change their minds after the event - being married to someone is not consent (marriage has less meaning now), there is no statute of limitations, all it takes is an accusation to be made and the man is guilty, it is **abusive to cross examine or question women** who accuse men (women are victims of having to say anything or support their allegations) - women are victims always at all times under all circumstances under all legislation and in all courts - with **binary certainty**. See *Responsibility Accountability Learning Popularism Justice (women are never responsible)* <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Responsibility-Accountability-Learning-Popularism-Justice.pdf>

See The Gross Indecency Trial against Oscar Wilde https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oscar_Wilde **Oscar Fingal O'Flahertie Wills Wilde** (16 October 1854 – 30 November 1900).

There might be times when - in dealing with others - governments and businesses - that they might what to know something about my identity. Name, Address and Date of Birth - to uniquely identify for purposes of the “system”. This used to be called the idea of “Privacy” - i.e. that there is **limited** and **specially considered** amount of information that people are required to share in society for the effective operation of systems, services and business. You might recognize this as a rule of prisoners of war too where the idea that all a prisoner was required to provide was Name, Rank and Serial number. See **Name, Rank, and Serial Number: The Legacy of the 1929 Geneva Convention** <https://www.nationalww2museum.org/war/articles/1929-geneva-convention>

So the idea that I have to identify to my employer or anyone else whether I am **LGBTQI+** - is nonsensical - what has my sexual activity preferences or clothes sense got to do with anything? Well - what these terms are used to describe is - you guessed it - the **permanent victim narrative**. **Just like the permanent feminist victim narrative.**

So feminists and weak minded men have decided - **having established that women are always victims** - have decided to formally - with binary certainty - group other selected groups into a permanent victim name (specially entitled/special victim). They do this because they run all politics and all states, public services, etc and their binary certainty combined with the “cause” (*whatever it takes*) is all it takes to change all the laws, systems, media, schools, universities, public services, courts, etc - to match their **certain totalitarian** view - some might say **fascism** as well. See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fascism> “*Fascism (/ˈfæʃɪzəm/) is a form of far-right, authoritarian ultranationalism[1][2] characterized by dictatorial power, forcible suppression of opposition, and strong regimentation of society and of the economy*”

Andrew Barr and other weak minded humans in the A.C.T. Legislative Assembly have gone one step further in the search for “victims” and the “Victim Narrative” by not only proclaiming “**conversion therapy**” (i.e. **conversations between people**) a crime but legislating that animals are “**sentient**”. Animals are therefore another permanent “victim narrative” - **sentient animals are victims** of certain humans. They call themselves “progressive” as they legislate their **certain dogma**. They fill themselves with smug, superior, virtue.

*'They who have **presumed to dogmatize on nature**, as on some well investigated subject, either from **self-conceit or arrogance, and in the professorial style**, have inflicted the **greatest injury on philosophy and learning.**' :Author(**Francis Bacon**) :Year(1620) :Source Document(Novum Organum Novum Organum; Or, True Suggestions for the Interpretation of Nature - opening sentence of Novum Organum) :Keyword(Humanism Truth Individual) <http://www.gutenberg.org/files/45988/45988-h/45988-h.htm>*

Gender statistics for the Census - have historically been recorded for centuries and can help in government planning services and facilities (predicted babies, schools, hospitals, etc). The idea that you need to declare whether you consider your gender to be Male or a Female - when making a theatre reservation or a dinner booking - seems strange BUT WE SEE THIS MADNESS - because the binary certaintists (women on average and weak minded men) - assume that if you provide identity information for one thing - then you would be happy to provide identity information for another thing.

They do this not only because they are stupid but also because they do not know about the concept of “privacy”. They have no “**respect**” for someone’s **privacy**. Many of them have been to schools where the **concept of “respect”** as a general concept applying many ways to many things **HAS NOW BEEN TAKEN OVER BY THE FEMINISTS** to mean “respect for women” (by men) - **not respect for men by women**. <https://www.respect.gov.au/the-campaign/>. So what you have is a general and constant abuse of men in all ways (public services, media, courts - in particular the A.C.T. Magistrates court and it’s magistrates and staff, politicians, schools, etc) by virtue signaling (**hero**) men and female victims (**permanent victim narrative** - now includes “**indigenous**”, **LGTBIQ+**, sentient animals, etc) - where the male being abused is the **permanent “perpetrator”**. **Certain victim identity groups**.

So needing to know something about another person in day to day dealings is on a “**need to know**” basis. This is the basis of information privacy and **respect for an individual**. So the idea that gender needs to be publicly stated or shared with anyone is **limited by the situation**. See <https://www.oaic.gov.au/Privacy Act 1988 No. 119, 1988>.

An aside: It is worth noting that the Privacy Act has undergone many changes since 1988, the lawyers have had a field day and turned a simple idea into ever longer , more complex and **dogmatically certain** (in a binary thinking kind of way) document. **It’s a mess** - a “*house of straw*” - like much of the legislation drawn up in the last 50 years. This is because we do not have anyone working in the legislative areas with much sense or knowledge about how to do things or even know what would make a good law or not. The universities have turned out people driven by social justice causes and attacking “Toxic Masculinity” and the “Patriarchy” with much less talent, skill, capability than in previous times in history (who needs that when you have a “cause”?) - we can see this in our politicians - those who claim legal skills and qualifications but we can also see it in the letter of 500 Lawyers which I critiqued here <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Women->

[Lawyers-Corruption-Feminism-Debate.pdf](#) - a lack of **cognitive abilities** - very little coherence - lots of binary certainty and clear bias. So what used to be clear Privacy **Principles** and simple clear statements - has now been turned into schedule 1 and become long and complex legislation rather than “principles”. i.e. they turned Principles into legislation and still called them “principles”. How incoherent and ignorant is that? It keeps lawyers employed though!!!

An example on the identity agenda - I am not going to dogmatize that all archery competitions should be “gender neutral” or split into “Men”, “Women”, “Other Victim Groups”, “Disabled”, “Green”, “Christians”, “Asian”, “Native”, etc. Nor do I expect or want government, binary certaintists and other totalitarians to lecture me about the topic and **parade victim and hero narratives** to everyone all the time.

Proudly and constantly proclaiming “special victim status” and “virtuous hero status” is tedious and disruptive - **constant special identity**. - a lack of **respect for EVERYONE** - for humanity itself. Should all humans march around the grand stadium to applause and recognition or should they just get on with it?

Equality and Diversity

There such a high level of ignorance and systemic entrenched bias and corruption now that I need to revisit this equality and diversity idea again. It seems to me that most humans are stuck in a place where they have no idea what they are talking about and they use words like “equality” and “diversity” and “inclusive” as words for mobs - the mobs are growing in number and individuals are constantly shouted down. Mob action and violence seems to me to be growing at an alarming rate - especially by women and their weak minded male supporters (sentient pets).

I explained equality and diversity in my meta models <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/07-Humanism-Meta-Frame-Equality-and-Diversity.pdf> and will highlight and expand some main points here.

Same, Like and Different - if things are the same they are equal. The **only thing which is equal to another thing is an abstract concept**. **No real existing thing is equal to any other real existing thing - in all time and space - by any measure (absolute certainty)** - see <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Absolute-Certainty.pdf> .

In an abstract way we can say $1 = 1$. This is usually introduced in schools by taking children from the real thing - the **object** - the **thing** - the apple - and into the **abstract** - then number 1 and then counting and the number line.

They say “1 apple EQUALS 1 apple”. **This is the first big lie. (or step into abstraction)**

Most people do not recognize what is going on here, exactly. AND THEY FORGET IT IS ABSTRACTION ONCE THEY LEARN IT. It becomes their habit.

The point of introducing numbers is to explore **counting** (summation) - which is abstraction and a form of generalization - a grouping of things which we have defined as “**LIKE**” (similar) - i.e. **SAME for the purpose of counting** - we **group** things and we can **order things by abstract number** - order

(sort, rank). This is the technique we use to explore the **continuum of Same/Different** - Differentiation (noticing difference).


So this term **equality** - is - to use feminist language “socially constructed’ or actually “abstracted”. It is an abstract mathematical expression. The only equal things are abstract things we invent. **This was a major step into abstracting out certainty. We abstracted the concept of certainty into the statement 1=1.**


What is **diversity** then? With the limitation - “one thing I know with absolute certainty is absolute certainty does not exist - no two things of any things are the same - hence every single thing is diverse. All things are diverse. (**Different**). (See also **Transfinite** for the idea of limited things https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transfinite_number)

So the **same/different** continuum becomes the **equality/diversity** continuum with **like** inbetween - the double negative - **neither** certainly and absolutely equal **nor** certainly and absolutely different.

Now the problem with binary certaintists is that they hate the continuum and will seek to destroy it every chance they get - certain everything is what they need. So instead of leaving diverse at one end of the continuum and equality at the other - they want to make them certain and will implement detailed schemas to avoid the continuum and hypothesis.

I first presented equality and diversity in a statistical way - measurement by numbers and statistics - Where I used the example human beings and height - no two humans are exactly the same height (equal) depending of your measurement (accuracy and precision) <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/07-Humanism-Meta-Frame-Equality-and-Diversity.pdf>





Now I will present the same ideas but I will put equality and diversity in the same continuum - it is the same as the same/different (like is inbetween) continuum. This represents a conceptual way to look at **Equality and Diversity** - the same **ONE continuum**

		<p>Binary Certains want to dogmatize on nature so they hate continuums. Here some things are the same and some things are different. It depends what you are Framing (focus model) at the time. Same AND different in the "CERTAIN "RIGHT" way becomes to them a new meaning - "Diversity"</p>
--	--	--

So diversity becomes certain diversity - just like "intersectionality". The intersection of long lists of binary certain concepts. The continuum is removed - complexity and uncertainty are replaced with the certain dogma of **binary certain diversity** and **binary certain equality**.

Proportion and Value

I explored proportion here <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/08-Humanism-Meta-Frames-Proportion.pdf> "There are valid reasons for unequal proportions -e.g. more food to the group hunters who expend more energy. It is a complex topic. Justifying unequal proportions is the main area for corrupt behavior by humans."

Maybe it is John Mill's utility but there seems to some kind of group democracy of allocating resources for worthwhile investments - some kind of group value proposition. This can be folly, adventure, legacy or virtue signaling - many times it is plain **Greed** and **Corruption**. **Pareto** modeled the "lived experience" of proportion. **Weibull** modeled failures in systems and engineering - there is some kind of drive to sufficient level of investment - a certain sufficient mass (where do Jaberwockies come from? <https://www.poetryfoundation.org/poems/42916/jabberwocky>) - to explore the hypothesis and test - not 100% - not "too many eggs in one basket" - (maybe two or three things at a time) - but some investment in something **less than total certainty**. Authentic, Naïve, unknown, and explorative. **Ostrom's** view on Trust and Cooperation is on the continuums of mistrust and corruption. Nothing seems certain and the journey is complex.


Humans maybe should not have ten children for each family (expensive)? - maybe 2 or 3 if they survive to reasonable age? Context is everything. Baby creation by creatures is an area for further exploration of proportion and value.

Vilfredo Federico Damaso Pareto (Vilfredo Pareto) :Year(1848-1923) :Keyword(Math, Sociology)
http://dbpedia.org/page/Vilfredo_Pareto https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vilfredo_Pareto
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pareto_distribution

Ernst Hjalmar Waloddi Weibull :Year(1887-1979) :Keyword(Math, Engineering)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Waloddi_Weibull <https://www.weibull.com/>
<https://www.nist.gov/itl>

Elinor Ostrom :Year(1933-2012) :Keyword(Commons Economics) <http://www.elinorostrom.com/>
<https://www.econlib.org/library/Enc/bios/Ostrom.html>
<https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/economic-sciences/2009/ostrom/facts/>

Some (Hannah Arendt, H. G Wells (they saw technology advances as subtracting from the humanist work)) stress humanity, philosophy and inner reflection is a worthy investment - others the pursuit of technology and the exploration (Science) - the tensions between smug safe home and exploration of the universe - the continuum - influences **choices in investments of proportion**. *“is it time to explore some more?”*



Value is sometime claimed by the economists but I will later explore the school yard dynamics to see the possible beginnings on the concept. It is personal - and can become shared - and sometimes delusional (tulips https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tulip_mania)

Trust

Trust represents the sunk cost bias - the habit - the routine. If I am going to have to redo all of my schemas all the time or have too many to try to make sense of - then all my energy is consumed. I will give 20% maybe to allow for this change and modification of the trust narrative and schemas. I am willing to learn but not at a complete sacrificed to myself.

Smug comfort serves a routine purpose but leads to complacency. **Too much** exploration or **Too much** comfort?



Abuse of Language and Concepts - Corruption

See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Afferbeck_Lauder.

<https://www.virtualteacher.com.au/strine.html> **With Air Chew**

*With air chew, with air chew
Iker nardly liver there chew,
An I dream a badger kisser snits and die.
Phoney wicked beer loan,
Jars-chewer, nonnair roan,
An we'd dreamer batter mooner pinner sky.*

*With air chew, with air chew,
Hair mike-owner liver there chew,
Wile yerrony immy dream sigh maulwye scrine.
Anna strewer seffner barf
Yuma snow-eye Nietzsche laugh.
Cars with air chew immy arm sit snow you Strine.*

Non-Binary, Equality, Diversity, Respect, Justice, Inclusion - the GODS OF CERTAINTY - THE CAUSE.

Socially Constructed: The opposite of facts - we will tell you what facts are. This allows the solid schemas that societies use to conduct day-to-day business to be destroyed and criticized as “socially constructed”. History, conventions, family, nations - everything - all destroyed as “socially constructed”. All social norms can then be removed to be replaced by the idiotology. What about “Utility”, “best hypothesis”, “useful” schemas and conventions then?

Non-Binary: This a recently invented term to suggest that a person not classifiable - refuses to be constrained and bound within a certain definition. This is fair enough except it derives from the concept of LGTBQI+ (blah,blah,blah) thinking - usually around the view of sexual preference - who they want to have sex with or how they see themselves. This is a little narcissistic and unnecessary information to share with anyone in any form of communication really - I mean who really cares? But we have generations of women and weak minded men who do not know how to communicate or what to talk about. **At schools they are constantly told to talk about gender, sex and sexual preferences and virtue.** This is all they know - they don't study history, classics or humanity - they study themselves in an entirely self-centered, narcissist, focused way. Their id, super-ego and ego all laid bare and mashed about for everyone to comment on and criticize. Usually with smug entitlement via the victim or vigilante hero narratives and drummed into them by feminist teachers and weak minded men. The women go onto to universities to study “gender” (themselves) so they can get government jobs in gender industries or subvert other industries not already “gendered”.

So people proudly and publically declare themselves “non-binary” as if they are a certain rebel or have invented a new way to be a certain victim. In many ways they think they are trying find a continuum but all they are really doing is being very binary - hypocritically. See the Not Not technique in my previous articles.

Diversity: This has become a surrogate for “victim” - **certain binary victim**. You will note that it bears no actual resemblance to the real meaning of diversity as I have defined above and in other places. The certain categories - the identity - are permanent and belong to women (and **their** children (as legislated by government)), LGTBQI+, Indigenous, sentient animals - and any other binary certain

categorizations of human that the feminists, women (on average) and weak minded men want to declare as “special victim”. There may be an “event” which is seen as “bad” - this is enough to label people as “permanent victims”. This permanent victim technique has historical references - especially out of the early tribes but it is worth examining Hannah Arendt’s exploration of the history of the Jews. They scream “victim” for selected humans - everyone except men who are the **permanent designated perpetrators** by way of “Toxic Masculinity” and “Patriarchy”. Bad things that happen to men does not make them victims. This is feminists, women (on average) and weak minded men’s binary certainty, hypocrisy and incoherence. Diversity is everything except men.

The Origins Of Totalitarianism :Author(**Hannah Arendt**) :Year(1958) Age(52) :Keyword(Individual Philosophy Politics) <https://archive.org/details/TheOriginsOfTotalitarianism/page/n6>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Origins_of_Totalitarianism
<http://www.openculture.com/2014/02/hannah-arendt-archives.html>

This is why the ABC promotes the “victim” cause as “diversity” - it incoherently believes that promoting victim causes is how diversity is implemented - in a binary certain way. “As long as we promote victims we are being diverse” (and therefore filling ourselves with virtue for the cause of equality - Tarantulas)

Inclusive: means including the certainly diverse groups - so 70% women in any public service is a GOOD thing - the god of inclusiveness is satiated. This means equality. Including the binary certain right groups.

Justice: Feminists, Women and weak minded men and have turned the concept of justice into “social justice” - more aligned with mobs and slogans like “equality” - the rule of law is deliberately undermined - The victim must get immediate justice (hence the **permanent victim narrative**) and the accused no longer receives a fair trial - the **Accused** - based on allegations alone - is the **permanent designated perpetrator**, the victim is the **permanent designated victim** (women, LGBTIQ++, and other binary certain mobs) and the **heroes** are not humanity or fairness - but the **slayers of the perpetrators** - the Magistrates, Media, Public Service, the Sainted Knights (see **St. George and The Dragon** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saint_George_and_the_Dragon) and other sanctimonious vigilante violent Tyrants.

Tolerance: This is no longer about all and everything - a **general principle** - a warning to the certain dogmatists - but the binary certain groups who the Pharisee and scribes determine **deserve tolerance** - the general idea as a vague abstraction has become certain, dogmatic and corrupted by certain identities. Certain bias and corruption

Declaration of The Principles of Tolerance :Author(UNESCO) :Year(1995) Age(50) :Keyword(Group Human Rights Tolerance)
[https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Education/Training/Compilation/Pages/13.DeclarationofPrincipleSonTolerance\(1995\).aspx](https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Education/Training/Compilation/Pages/13.DeclarationofPrincipleSonTolerance(1995).aspx) <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000151830>

The Nature of Prejudice - Gordon Allport https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Nature_of_Prejudice
https://faculty.washington.edu/caporaso/courses/203/readings/allport_Nature_of_prejudice.pdf

“It is difficult to define an in-group precisely” - he goes on to quote Shopenhauer and Chesterfield deriding women as a group and then writes “.. *the cleavage between male and female was a*

cleavage between an accepted in-group and a rejected out-group. But **for many people** this **“war of the sexes”** seems totally unreal. They do not find in it a grounds for prejudice”


He is happy to repeat and endorse feminism and label others as misogynists for using **group like terms**. **Gordon is of his time and place**. Groupthink and feminism was entrenched (especially in USA).

I am not sure who his **“many people”** are? Are they the **feminists** of the Labour Party, Greens and the rest of society? Their policy **is prejudice!**

There are some similarities in Gordon’s thinking and mine and his layered onion model of **group identity** (“Concentric loyalties”) is similar to my layered model of schemas in my Frame <https://humanistman.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/15-Humanism-Corruption-Nation-Choice-Virtue.pdf>. But this focus on the group identity - is at odds with individuals and difference. Constant in-group focus https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Common_group_identity and https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-categorization_theory has missed the point of the continuum and fallen to binary thinking.

Tolerance then **ought to be** about allowing people to claim their - in-group identities (id, super-ego) without destroying everyone else’s group identity **or individuality** (lack of **CERTAIN** group). Hannah Arendt and Isaiah Berlin both explored **plurality**.

Groups and mobs gather with increasing certainty at one end of the plurality continuum.



Hannah Arendt :Year(1906-1975) :Keyword(Philosophy Humanism)

<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/arendt/> http://self.gutenberg.org/articles/eng/Hannah_Arendt
http://sduk.us/afterwork/arendt_the_human_condition.pdf

Isaiah Berlin :Year(1909-1997) :Keyword(Sociology) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isaiah_Berlin
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/berlin/notes.html> <http://berlin.wolf.ox.ac.uk/>

“3. Berlin believed that philosophers make two kinds of contribution to the consideration of philosophical questions. The first is to **reformulate these questions** by **suggesting a new outlook** on the world that **liberates the intellect** from the **mental cramp** that has **caused the problem**. The second, critical rather than creative, contribution is to **provide a violent shock to dogmatic assumptions** about the **solutions to philosophical questions**, thus creating new problems and **inspiring further thought** (1996, 73). For a philosopher to do either of these required considerable **force of character**, and a certain **amount of exaggeration and simplification**, as well as **self-confidence** and **persistence**. Later in life Berlin described himself as a **historian of ideas** rather than as a philosopher, despite the fact that his account of the nature of philosophy emphasised

philosophy's historical dimension. This reflected his (high) standards – which he did not believe himself to meet – for considering someone a genuine or significant philosopher.”

(See his article - **A Message to the 21st century Isaiah Berlin** - in the references)

Measurement - Averages - Exceptions - Boundaries

Women frame things the way it suits them, their argument and deliberate agenda. Average income for examples - see website <https://www.wgea.gov.au/publications/australias-gender-pay-gap-statistics> . Most people do not notice or fully support corrupted analysis techniques - this is what they are taught at schools and universities - make the data and information **suit your agenda**. Do not be comprehensive or coherent - be **deliberately biased** for the **certain cause**. Avoid problems, Uncertainty must be avoided, Mistakes are not learning opportunities, Complexity is solved by the certain cause and being “confident”, “assertive” and overcoming the “patriarchy”.

They **deliberately corrupt** the concept of analysis, critical thinking, discussion and debate. People are cancelled and censored. There is only “the cause”. This has manifested itself in all universities now and those who actually try to independently (without agenda) and with as little bias as possible - investigate a matter - are either sacked, removed from power and office, demonized , de-funded and de-platformed. There is now mainly - only competing tribal causes morphed by multinationals and rich tyrants fighting on the world stage by funding or defunding “information” and “truth” to suit their **certain idiotology**. **Not only has the world become corrupt - especially ignorant and incapable - it has become TRIBAL and WARLIKE.**

No part of society is spared from this corruption.

The **deliberate misuse of the Focus model** has corrupted all the measurement, exceptions and boundaries of information used in discussions and debates.

They argue “**everyone is biased** - therefore it is just a matter of the “Right” type of bias” - in a binary certain way. i.e. **destroy the scientific method**.

Lollies in the Playground - the development of humanity

Imagine sharing lollies in the playground - it is easy when the number of lollies matches the number of children and there is only one type of lolly. But what if there is an odd number or things that do not cut up into pieces - i.e. cutting them up destroys any value they have? Maybe two children can **take turns** and **share the one lollipop**. Remember doing that with someone and how long time seemed to be from when they were licking the lollipop and when your turn came to take a lick. Remember that anticipation and how waiting in line and taking turns was one of the hardest things you had to learn? Time seemed somehow stretched on forever.

<p>Resources</p>	<p>Resources</p>	<p>"Equality"</p>	<p>Many humans - you just keep on slicing the pie into exactly equal parts - achieving perfect "equality"</p>
------------------	------------------	-------------------	---

One pie with 2 or more people is **simple**.

<p>Resources</p>	<p>"Equality"</p>	<p>Many pies and many humans - you just keep slicing up every single pie in exactly equal sizes and this is called "equality".</p> <p>Everyone gets exactly the same size of every type of pie.</p>
------------------	-------------------	---

This is simple - we have **one binary certain technique for achieving perfect equality**.

<p>Choice and Proportion</p>	<p>Trade and Cooperation</p>	<p>This is getting a little more complex.</p> <p>Some pies can be cut up - others can not or lose value if they are.</p>
------------------------------	------------------------------	--

See Judgement of Solomon https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judgement_of_Solomon .

Choice emerges as we see the problem of which things can be cut up and which things cannot - but we also see proportion as we balance out the relative size of pies. If we can communicate, cooperate and trade authentically we might achieve a conceptual "equality" a **fair trade**. This is **no longer able to be measured in a binary certain or simplistic way**. This is **more complex**. This is fairness and trade done by communication, cooperation between negotiating parties. If done repeatedly in authentic ways - **trust** starts to build.

<p>Value and Trust</p>	<p>Trust and Promise</p>	<p>No we use value to determine our choice. Not just about a fair trade - but a value discussion of who values what more than someone else. Future things - hypothetical things too.</p>
------------------------	--------------------------	--

Now this is **more complex** because **value is different** - it is not just about a fair trade - it is about **value trade** - some things are valued by some more than others by choice. Imagine parables about farmers, accountants, child valuing a plough, paper and a toy. We also see the same concept of sacrifice and delayed gratification - the promise of things to come. When it does arrive you are promised it as part of the trade.

A Parable - Golf, Noticing and the Continuum

I need to understand how little humans generally know about anything - even older qualified humans with some experience and skill. They do not always know how to explore or discuss complex topics nor are they interested. Not just smug women of course (many women have all the answers but lack imagination for questions (see Ovid https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Echo_and_Narcissus just repeat the slogans and sing the songs for “the cause”, baby)) - but even some men who think they are intellectual.

We were putting out on one green as two walkers on the track nearby walked by. One young man bent over and picked up handfuls of autumn leaves and threw them joyously into the air. The woman (mother?) waved her hands through the leaves as they fell and smiled. Both Happy.

One golfer said to me a little later - “What do you think - a little touched?” I took that to mean something about being mentally damaged. I said “We are all on the spectrum”, “There is a continuum”.

Well, this brought on much discussion about what a continuum was (a word they were unfamiliar with). I spoke about complexity, uncertainty, chaos, infinity, zero, David Hilbert’s first problem, the Millennium Problems, the number line, probabilities, transfinite (Monty Hall), etc - but to no avail.

I then thought a bit and came back the next day. The continuum “word” was still topical. Jon was seen as strange to use words like this. I thought of many other ways to describe it.

- The exact number of things between Good and Bad.
- The length of time between being scolded for doing something wrong and praised for doing something right.

One golf friend who understands said “the time between when my wife criticizes me and when she values anything I do”

- The number of possibilities between life and death
- The time between when you lick your share of the lollipop and when someone else is ready to hand it back

Tyranny - Heretics - Socrates - Grotius - Galileo - Spinoza - Pope - Kierkegaard, etc

Heretics - the constant exploration and argument against the Dogma, the New Orthodoxy, the certainty.

Tyranny of certainty and dogma. The **Certain Ends** justifies all kinds of **abusive means** against individuals.

Are you a Judas to betray the sacred dogma?

Socrates faced the mob and the Elite with the most heretical of things - **questions** and **exploration**. This was called “**corruption**” as the very act of corrupting language itself.

Apology :Author(**Plato**) :Year(-399) Age(28) :Keyword(Group Nation Philosophy, Question)
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apology_\(Plato\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apology_(Plato)) <http://classics.mit.edu/Plato/apology.html>
<http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/13726>

Parallel Lives :Author(**Plutarch**) :Year(110) Age(64) :Keyword(Group Development Humanism)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel_Lives
<https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/Plutarch/Lives/home.html>
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/plutarch/>

The Rights of War and Peace :Author(**Hugo Grotius**) :Year(1625) Age(42) :Keyword(Group Philosophy War)
<https://oll.libertyfund.org/pages/grotius-war-peace>
<http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/author/5744> <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/grotius/>

Galileo Galilei :Year(1564-1642) :Keyword(Science) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Galileo_Galilei
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/galileo/>

Dialogues Concerning Two New Sciences :Author(**Galileo Galilei**) :Year(1638) Age(74)
:Keyword(Planet Development Science) <https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/galilei-dialogues-concerning-two-new-sciences> <https://archive.org/details/dialoguesconcern00galiuoft>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Two_New_Sciences

Baruch Spinoza, Benedict de Spinoza (Baruch Spinoza) :Year(1632-1677) :Keyword(Philosophy)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baruch_Spinoza <http://www.gutenberg.org/files/3800/3800-h/3800-h.htm>

Ethics :Author(**Baruch Spinoza, Benedict de Spinoza**) :Year(1676) Age(44) :Keyword(Individual Philosophy Ethics) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ethics_\(Spinoza\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ethics_(Spinoza))
<https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/3800> <https://oll.libertyfund.org/people/benedict-de-spinoza>

Tractatus Politicus :Author(**Baruch Spinoza, Benedict de Spinoza**) :Year(1676) Age(44)
:Keyword(Group Development Humanism) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tractatus_Politicus
<https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/author/473>
<https://web.archive.org/web/20110723220332/http://www.spinozacsack.net78.net/Political%20Treatise%2C%20Benedict%20de%20Spinoza.pdf>

*“5. For this is certain, and we have proved its truth in our Ethics, [1] that men are of necessity liable to passions, and so constituted as to **pity those who are ill**, and **envy those who are well off**; and to be prone to vengeance more than to mercy: and moreover, that every individual wishes the rest to live after his own mind, and to approve what he approves, and reject what he rejects. And so it comes to pass, that, as all are equally eager to be first, they fall to strife, and do their utmost mutually to oppress one another; and he who comes out conqueror is more **proud** of the **harm** he has*

done to the **other**, than of the **good** he has done to himself. And although all are persuaded, that religion, on the contrary, teaches every man to love his neighbour as himself, that is to **defend another's right just as much as his own**, yet we showed that this persuasion has too little power over the passions. It avails, indeed, in the hour of death, when disease has subdued the very passions, and man lies inert, or in temples, where men hold no traffic, but least of all, **where it is most needed, in the law-court or the palace**. We showed too, that reason can, indeed, do much to restrain and moderate the passions, but we saw at the same time, that **the road, which reason herself points out, is very steep**; [2] so that such as **persuade themselves**, that the multitude or men distracted by politics can ever be induced to live according to the bare dictate of reason, must be **dreaming** of the poetic golden age, or of a stage-play.⁶ A dominion then, whose well-being depends on any **man's good faith**, and whose affairs cannot be properly administered, unless those who are engaged in them will act **honestly**, will be **very unstable**.”

Alexander Pope translated Homer for us and produced many great works but it was The Dunciad - his critique of society - which agitated the mob response. Catholics, like him, were “the others” in his time. He spoke directly with his poetry and thinly disguised the identities of the characters who he wrote about.

The Dunciad :Author(**Alexander Pope**) :Year(1728) Age(40) :Keyword(Group Development Philosophy) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Dunciad
http://files.libertyfund.org/files/2278/Pope_1497_EBk_v6.0.pdf
<https://www.gutenberg.org/files/9601/9601-h/9601-h.htm>

Pope’s Essay on man https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/An_Essay_on_Man “**Know then thyself**, presume not God to scan; The proper study of Mankind is Man.[8] Plac'd on this isthmus of a **middle state**, A being darkly wise, and rudely great: With **too much** knowledge for the Sceptic side, With **too much** weakness for the Stoic's pride, He **hangs between**; in doubt to act, or rest,.... As Eastern priests in giddy circles run, And turn their heads to imitate the Sun.Go, teach Eternal Wisdom how to rule— Then drop into thyself, and be a fool![9] — Epistle II, lines 1-30”

Søren Aabye Kierkegaard :Year(1813-1855) :Keyword(Philosophy, Logic)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/S%C3%B8ren_Kierkegaard
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/kierkegaard/>
https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/S%C3%B8ren_Kierkegaard

Kierkegaard explored and devoured all the philosophers he encountered - understanding, exploring and criticizing and publishing under pseudonyms.

Recent Investigations

Prejudice, Intersectionality

Recent People

Joanne Nova (Joanne Codling) :Year(1967) :Keyword(Planet, Civilization, Math)
<https://joannenova.com.au/> <https://www.abc.net.au/news/joanne-nova/33094>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joanne_Nova

Hermann Minkowski (Hermann Minkowski) :Year(1864-1909) :Keyword(Science)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hermann_Minkowski <https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Minkowski/> <https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/minkowski-hermann>

Julian Jaynes (Julian Jaynes) :Year(1920-1997) :Keyword(Science, Mind)
<https://www.julianjaynes.org/resources/books/ooc/> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Julian_Jaynes

W. Somerset Maugham (William Somerset Maugham) :Year(1874-1965) :Keyword(Humanism)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Somerset_Maugham
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_works_by_W._Somerset_Maugham
https://openlibrary.org/authors/OL33585A/W._Somerset_Maugham

Plutarch (Lucius Mestrius Plutarchus) :Year(46-119) :Keyword(Humanism)
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plutarch> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel_Lives
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/plutarch/>

Recent Documents

On Revolution :Author(**Hannah Arendt**) :Year(1963) Age(57) :Keyword(Group Development Humanism) https://archive.org/stream/OnRevolution/ArendtOn-revolution_djvu.txt
https://monoskop.org/images/b/bf/Arendt_Hannah_On_Revolution_1990.pdf
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/On_Revolution

Sermons of Laurence Sterne :Author(**Laurence Sterne**) :Year(1760) Age(47) :Keyword(Group Development Humanism) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sermons_of_Laurence_Sterne
<https://www1.gifu-u.ac.jp/~masaru/sermons.html>

Cakes And Ale :Author(**W. Somerset Maugham**) :Year(1930) Age(56) :Keyword(Group Tale Humanism) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cakes_and_Ale
<https://archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.507685> <https://gutenberg.ca/ebooks/maughamws-cakesandale/maughamws-cakesandale-00-h.html>

On Human Bondage :Author(**W. Somerset Maugham**) :Year(1915) Age(41) :Keyword(Group Tale Humanism) <https://standardebooks.org/ebooks/w-somerset-maugham/of-human-bondage>
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Of_Human_Bondage
<https://web.archive.org/web/20070930203623/http://www.iblist.com/author1025.htm>

The Rape Of The Lock :Author(**Alexander Pope**) :Year(1712) Age(24) :Keyword(Group Tale Humanism) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Rape_of_the_Lock
<https://www.eighteenthcenturypoetry.org/works/o3695-w0010.shtml>
<https://gutenberg.org/ebooks/9800>

Tractatus Politicus :Author(**Baruch Spinoza**, Benedict de Spinoza) :Year(1676) Age(44) :Keyword(Group Development Humanism) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tractatus_Politicus
<https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/author/473>
<https://web.archive.org/web/20110723220332/http://www.spinozacsack.net78.net/Political%20Tractise%2C%20Benedict%20de%20Spinoza.pdf>

Parallel Lives :Author(**Plutarch**) :Year(110) Age(64) :Keyword(Group Development Humanism)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel_Lives

<https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/Plutarch/Lives/home.html>

<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/plutarch/>

Magna Carta :Author(many) :Year(1215) :Keyword(Group Development Humanism)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magna_Carta <https://www.archives.gov/files/press/press-kits/magna-carta/magna-carta-translation.pdf>

<https://www.law.gmu.edu/assets/files/academics/founders/MagnaCarta.pdf>

References

1. **Women's Human Rights: United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) (2008)** <https://humanrights.gov.au/our-work/sex-discrimination/publications/womens-human-rights-united-nations-convention-elimination>
Tanya Plibersek, Elizabeth Broderik "We are proud of the nation's record on women's human rights. Even so, we acknowledge there is more work to be done—especially in meeting the challenges faced by Indigenous women and girls, reducing violence against women, improving women's economic security, and **ensuring women have an equal place in society alongside men.**"
2. Un "**intersectionality**" Expert Group Meeting Intersections between women's rights and the rights of persons with disabilities 19 March 2019 UNICEF House, Danny Kaye space 3 UN Plaza, 10017 New York
https://www.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=/Documents/Issues/Disability/Women/Concept_note-EGMwomen_and_disability.docx&action=default&DefaultItemOpen=1 "The intersectional approach recognizes that **women and girls do not experience discrimination** as members of a homogenous group, **but rather as individuals with multi-dimensional layers of identities (WOMEN ARE BINARY CERTAIN BUT AT THE SAME TIME MULTIDIMENSIONAL)** and life circumstances. These include: age; disability; sex; sexual orientation or gender identity; ethnic, indigenous, national or social origin; race; political or other opinion; religion; refugee, migrant or asylum status; and poverty. The diversity of women and girls with disabilities also includes all types of impairments (including physical, psychosocial, intellectual or sensory conditions), women and girls with multiple disabilities and those with high level support needs." – Note the incoherence of saying that things are "multi-dimensional" and then resorting to binary groupthink labels of certain identities – BUT ALSO that the IDEA that MEN AND WOMEN – i.e. HUMANS - might a better way to imagine things is OMITTED – instead the **focus must always be on women.**
3. **The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)** <https://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/>
4. <https://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/text/econvention.htm> "in its preamble, the Convention explicitly acknowledges that "extensive discrimination against women **continues to exist**" (**women are constant victims**) "Noting that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights affirms the principle of the inadmissibility of discrimination and proclaims that all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights and that **everyone is entitled to**

all the rights and freedoms set forth therein, without distinction of any kind, including distinction based on sex,” (No distinctions based on gender) “Convinced that the full and complete development of a country, the welfare of the world and the cause of peace require the maximum participation of women on **equal terms** with men in all fields,... – Article 1 For the purposes of the present Convention, the term “**discrimination against women**” shall mean any distinction, exclusion or **restriction made on the basis of sex** which has the effect or purpose of impairing or nullifying the recognition, enjoyment or exercise by women, irrespective of their marital status, on a **basis of equality of men and women**, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural, civil or any other field.” **(Here the binary groupthink concepts of men and women are linked with the idea of humanity)** “(e) To take **all appropriate measures** to eliminate discrimination against women by any person, organization or enterprise;” **(Here constant abuse of men and bias towards women is authorized for the sake of “the cause” – unmeasured and undefined. – Hence things like removing human rights for men and encouraging “kill all men” and “Believe all women” mentalities and mob like behaviors)** “Article 4 1. Adoption by States Parties of **temporary special measures** aimed at accelerating **de facto equality** between men and women **shall not be considered discrimination** as defined in the present Convention, but shall in no way entail as a consequence the maintenance of unequal or separate standards;

these measures shall be discontinued when the

objectives of equality of opportunity and treatment have been achieved.

2. Adoption by States Parties of special measures, including those measures contained in the present Convention, aimed at protecting maternity **shall not be considered discriminatory.** **(Formally entrenching constant bias and discrimination – with no defined measurable outcomes – when is enough equality achieved and by what measures? When de-facto equality has been achieved? - Who defines that exactly and WHEN? PERMANENT SPECIAL MEASURES – NO MEASUREMENT TAKES PLACE!!!!)**

5. Un Definition of Gender – Gender Main- Streaming <https://www.un.org/womenwatch/osagi/conceptsanddefinitions.htm> “Gender: refers to the **social attributes and opportunities associated with being male and female** and the relationships between women and men and girls and boys, as well as the relations between women and those between men. These **attributes, opportunities and relationships** are **socially constructed** and are **learned through socialization processes**. They are context/ time-specific and **changeable**. Gender determines what is expected, allowed and valued in a woman or a man in a given context. In most societies there are **differences and inequalities** between women and men in responsibilities assigned, activities undertaken, access to and control over resources, as well as decision-making opportunities. Gender is part of the broader socio-cultural context. **Other important criteria for socio-cultural analysis include class, race, poverty level, ethnic group and age.** **(ONCE AGIN – entrenching groupthink and binary certainty)**
6. Prison History <https://www.prisonhistory.org/>
7. UK Parliament Prisons <https://www.parliament.uk/about/living-heritage/transformingsociety/laworder/policeprisons/overview/earlyprisons/>
8. Prisons <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prison>

9. *Prison Rape in United States*
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prison_rape_in_the_United_States “During 2016 and 2017, the **U.S Department of Justice and the Bureau of Justice statistics** updated the data collected for the Prison Rape Elimination Act (2003) through the National Survey of Youth in Custody (NSYC). The **National Survey of Youth in Custody** provided an estimate of youth reporting sexual victimization in juvenile facilities through computerized self-interviewing technology. This survey was first conducted in June 2008 and the third data was collected in 2017. The collected data highlighted that **sexual assault in female-only juvenile facilities (5.3%) was more than three times greater than in male-only facilities (1.5%)**. Youth-on-youth sexual assault was lowest (1.1%) in facilities where almost all youth reported to have first learned sexual assault was not allowed within the first twenty-four hours of arrival. For facilities with a 76% greater concentration of youth with a history of psychiatric conditions, there was a 4.0% reported incidents of sexual assault by another youth. Youth reported a 5.9% of staff sexual misconduct for facilities with multiple living units, in comparison to 2.1% of youth in facilities with single units. To conclude, rates of staff sexual misconduct were highest in facilities where youth perceived the facility staff to be unfair (10.3%), youth had the fewest positive perceptions of staff (9.7%), and youth worried about physical assault by other youth (8.2%) or staff (11.2%).[12]”
10. *Sexual Assault in Jails - Sexual Victimization in Prisons and Jails Reported by Inmates, 2011–12*
 BJS National Inmate Survey, 2011–12
<https://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/svpjr1112.pdf> “Patterns of inmate-on-inmate sexual victimization in 2011-12 were consistent with patterns in past surveys. Rates reported by prison and jail inmates were **higher among females than males**, higher among whites than blacks, and higher among inmates with a college degree than those who had not completed high school. Variations in staff sexual misconduct rates were also similar across surveys. Rates reported by inmates were higher among males in jails than females in jails, higher among black inmates in prisons and jails than white inmates in prisons and jails, and lower among inmates age 35 or older than inmates ages 20 to 24 in both prisons and jails.”
11. *Synchronization - The Secret of Synchronization - Veritasium*
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t-VPRCtiUg>
12. **UNHERD** - *Nobody wins the gender wars - Kathleen Stock's new book lays out the irreconcilable absurdities of the trans debate* BY Mary Harrington - May 6, 2021
<https://unherd.com/2021/05/nobody-wins-the-gender-wars/> “underlying realities” “As she puts it: “Features of the world, and our collective human interests in them, **are not arbitrary**, and that’s what we should be trying to make concepts responsive to”. But her antagonists dissent from this basic premise: as they see it, our ideas about the world do help to create “the world as it is”; and to **make matters worse, it is arbitrary**. What emerges in *Material Girls* is a **tussle between these radically mutually incompatible understandings of the relation between knowledge and power**.” – “This isn’t just about those wicked postmodernists (of which I probably qualify as a member). It’s more that we are now, as a culture, **losing such faith as we ever collectively had in facts and reason**. In its most grounded version, this manifests as a dizzying discourse of political claim and counter-claim, all supposedly backed by objective statistics. At its more baroque end it drives the mainstreaming of conspiracy theories. The point is that it’s not just angry students with “woke” ideas who **think reality is old hat: it’s everyone**.”

13. **The Guardian** – Karen White -Karen White: how 'manipulative' transgender inmate attacked again - Nazia Parveen North of England correspondent - Fri 12 Oct 2018 03.30 AEDT
<https://www.theguardian.com/society/2018/oct/11/karen-white-how-manipulative-and-controlling-offender-attacked-again-transgender-prison>
14. **Warnings From Voltaire** -The Origins of a Warning from Voltaire *In my view, the widely encountered Internet quote does check out as very much what Voltaire was trying to warn us about in this passage. December 20, 2020 • Commentary By Walter Olson*
<https://www.cato.org/publications/commentary/origins-warning-from-voltaire>
15. https://fr.wikisource.org/wiki/Page:Voltaire_-_%C5%92uvres_compl%C3%A8tes_Garnier_tome25.djvu/422 “Formerly there were those who said: **You believe things that are incomprehensible, inconsistent, impossible because we have commanded you to believe them; go then and do what is unjust because we command it.** Such people show admirable reasoning. Truly, **whoever can make you believe absurdities can make you commit atrocities.** If the God-given understanding of your mind does not resist a demand to believe what is impossible, then you will not resist a demand to do wrong to that God-given **sense of justice** in your heart. As soon as one faculty of your soul has been dominated, other faculties will follow as well. And from this derives all those crimes of religion which have overrun the world.”
16. Women Fishing <https://www.bendigoadvertiser.com.au/story/5859162/women-making-waves-in-fishing-but-still-battling-for-respect/>
17. Women Fishing <https://fishingbooker.com/blog/celebrating-female-anglers-womens-day-2019/>
18. Women's changing productive practices, gender relations and identities in fishing through a critical feminisation perspective
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0743016719310617>
19. Darts <https://www.skysports.com/darts/news/12288/11865565/barry-hearn-says-darts-is-gender-free-as-women-continue-to-make-strides>
20. Darts <https://sportsgazette.co.uk/its-a-publicity-stunt-i-dont-think-its-fair-to-ask-women-to-play-against-men-former-world-number-one-linda-duffy-discusses-womens-inclusion-in-pdc-world-championship/> “It’s a publicity stunt. I don’t think it’s **fair** to ask women to play against men” — Former world number one Linda Duffy discusses women’s inclusion in PDC World Championship Posted on 8 November 2018 by Matt Davies ... Duffy believes there are innate physiological differences between men and women that ultimately place a limit on the level that female players can compete with the men. “I think there is a difference in how good a woman can get and how good a man can get. I think there are fundamental differences in our brain structure that stop **women** being as good at throwing as **men**. Particularly this sort of target and this sort of throwing action. “There’s not really any skill involved that you would think a man would have over a woman. But if you look at gender differences in throwing ability, looking at all different types of throwing across all different age groups, men always out-perform women. Always. On every task.” – **STUCK IN GROUPTHINK**
21. Equality Rights Alliance - (Women lobby group) - Women’s Voices For gender Equality
22. Jordan Peterson - Stephen Fry <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fFFSKedy9f4>
Resentment, Revenge - sorrow - disappointed - hope

23. Hannah Arendt - **Never-Before-Published Hannah Arendt on What Freedom and Revolution Really Mean** <https://lithub.com/never-before-published-hannah-arendt-on-what-freedom-and-revolution-really-mean/>
24. News Analysis May 20, 2021 10:30 PM EST Texas lawmakers push to protect children from transgender medical agenda and to save women's sports Texas lawmakers are working overtime to prevent the transgender agenda from influencing sports and medicine days before the legislative session ends. <https://thepostmillennial.com/texas-lawmakers-push-to-protect-children-from-transgender-medical-agenda-and-to-save-womens-sports>
25. The Troggs - Love is All Around <https://www.songfacts.com/lyrics/the-troggs/love-is-all-around> .." You know **I love you, I always will**, My mind's made up by the way that I feel , **There's no beginning, there'll be no end** , 'Cause on my love you can depend"
26. Alexander Pope https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexander_Pope
27. Judas https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judas_Iscariot
28. Magna Carta <https://www.aph.gov.au/binaries/senate/pubs/pops/pop39/lairq.pdf> ..." The most famous chapters,28 which later became the venerated chapter 29, stated that no free man was to be arrested, imprisoned, disseised, outlawed or exiled or in any way destroyed, except by the lawful judgment of his peers or by the law of the land. To no one in his realm, the King swore, would he sell, refuse, or delay right or justice. It is stretching imagination to find here a protection of jury trial, but Magna Carta manifestly asserted the superiority of the ordinary law and of regular over arbitrary justice."
29. **The Nature of Prejudice** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Nature_of_Prejudice .." The book was written by Gordon Allport in the early 1950s and first published by Addison-Wesley in 1954. Thomas F. Pettigrew and Kerstin Hammann selected, as the book's most lasting contribution, its success in redefining the relation between **intergroup contact** and **prejudice**. While some previous scholars argued that **contact between different groups leads to increased prejudice and conflict**, Allport argued to the contrary, a view that Pettigrew and Hammann describe **as supported by "literally hundreds of studies from dozens of nations"**.^[1]
https://faculty.washington.edu/caporaso/courses/203/readings/allport_Nature_of_prejudice.pdf , <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/nature-of-prejudice-%C4%80llport/03a1bd45ca48412cd2401abb9a1adbe65da0e20c>
30. Dovidio, J. F., Glick, P., & Rudman, L. A. (Eds.). (2005). *On the nature of prejudice: Fifty years after Allport*. Blackwell Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470773963>
<https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2005-08614-000> ,
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470773963>
31. **William James - The Moral Equivalent Of War** (This essay, based on a speech delivered at Stanford University in 1906) <https://www.uky.edu/~eushe2/Pajares/moral.html> "...At the present day, civilized opinion is a curious mental mixture. The military instincts and ideals are as strong as ever, but they are confronted by reflective criticisms which sorely curb their ancient freedom. Innumerable writers are showing up the bestial side of military service. Pure loot and mastery seem no longer morally allowable motives, and pretexts must be found for attributing them solely to the enemy. England and we, our army and navy authorities repeat without ceasing, are solely for "peace." Germany and Japan it is who are bent on loot and glory. "Peace" in military mouths today is a synonym for "war expected." The word has become a pure provocative, and no government wishing peace sincerely should

allow it ever to be printed in a newspaper. Every up-to-date dictionary should say that "peace" and "war" mean the same thing, now in posse, now in actu. It may even reasonably be said that the intensely sharp preparation for war by the nations is the real war, permanent, unceasing; and that the battles are only a sort of public verification of the mastery gained during the "peace"-interval. **It is plain that on this subject civilized man has developed a sort of double personality.** If we take European nations, no legitimate interest of any one of them would seem to justify the tremendous destructions which a war to compass it would necessarily entail. **It would seem that common sense and reason ought to find a way to reach agreement in every conflict of honest interests. I myself think it our bounden duty to believe in such international rationality as possible.** But, as things stand, I see how desperately hard it is to bring the peace-party and the war-party together, and I believe that the difficulty is due to certain deficiencies in the program of pacifism which set the military imagination strongly, and to a certain extent justifiably, against it. In the whole discussion both sides are on imaginative and sentimental ground. **It is but one utopia against another, and everything one says must be abstract and hypothetical.** Subject to this criticism and caution, I will try to characterize in abstract strokes the opposite imaginative forces, and point out what to my own very fallible mind seems the best utopian hypothesis, the most promising line of conciliation."..." to get the **childishness knocked out of them**, and to come back into society with **healthier sympathies and soberer ideas.**"

32. A Message to the 21st century Isaiah Berlin

http://www.sjpcommunications.org/images/uploads/documents/Isaiah_Berlin.pdf ..." There are **men who will kill and maim with a tranquil conscience** under the influence of the **words and writings of some of those who are certain that they know perfection can be reached**" ..." The root conviction which underlies this is that the **central questions of human life, individual or social**, have **one true answer** which can be discovered. It can and **must be implemented**, and those who have found it are the leaders whose **word is law.**"..." **So what is to be done to restrain the champions**, sometimes very fanatical, of one or other of these values, each of whom tends to trample upon the rest, as the **great tyrants** of the twentieth century have trampled on the life, liberty, and human rights of millions because their eyes were fixed upon some **ultimate golden future?** I am afraid I **have no dramatic answer to offer:**"..." I am glad to note that toward the end of my long life some realization of this is beginning to dawn. **Rationality, tolerance, rare enough in human history, are not despised.** Liberal democracy, despite everything, despite the greatest modern scourge of fanatical, fundamentalist nationalism, is spreading."

33. The Age Of Enlightenment Isaiah Berlin

https://berlin.wolf.ox.ac.uk/published_works/ae/AE2.pdf "The intellectual power, honesty, lucidity, courage and disinterested love of the truth of the most gifted thinkers of the **eighteenth century** remain to this day without parallel. Their age is one of the best and most hopeful episodes in the life of mankind."