Humanism - Meta Frame – Initial Conditions - Symbols

This presentation is designed to help discuss the complexity of human issues and decision making about issues that affect all humans. It's a starting point which explores whether some symbols could help the analysis and model development

> Who What, Where and When How Why

Author: Jonathan Pearson Date created:14/01/2018 Date update :05/02/2019 Version:1





Frame

Hypothesis: There are initial conditions which must be accepted to avoid recursion.

Question 1: Is there a general view of initial conditions good enough to allow discussion of human issues.

- Population: The main populations are Single Human (Individual), Nation (group) and Planet (Universe)
- Measure: I should be able to build cohesive models for humanism initially based on meta frames
- Assumption: I assume I have abstracted concepts to universally generally accepted level. I will provide more detail in different models.

Information Sources and Topics: Synthesis of everything possible.

- Motivations: Building cohesive models and connected frames allows exploration of information which can support agreement and classification frames
- Initial Conditions, Self reference: This presentation with some support presentations about human development.



Humanism - Meta Frame – Initial Conditions

First there are things then stuff happens.


Object – A thing, Actor, Noun Change to Objects – What happened, Actions & Events, Verb

Who, What, Where, When, How, Why



Who - Actors

- Individual Human
- Group of Humans Couple, Nation, Company
- Planet and beyond every thing not including Humans




₹.

All actors exist in the same universe



What & When - Actions & Events

- -----> Act Something happens, Action or Event
- >---> Pattern Act happens many times
- **Tendency** Pattern seems to happen with defined conditions
- → Hypothesis Act will happen in the future with defined conditions (a prediction)






Where - Who / Actor Interactions O K

1. Human to Self

2. Human to Human



3. Human to Group


4. Human to Planet



 $\hat{\uparrow} \rightarrow \hat{\uparrow}$

5. Group to Self

6. Group to Human




7. Group to Group

8. Group to Planet

9. Planet to Self

10. Planet to Human



11. Planet to Group

12. Planet to Planet




How - Who / Actor Interaction Sequence Example






 $\hat{x} \rightarrow \hat{x}$

2. Human B thought and planned Action



3. Human B Acted on Group A



4. Group A Acted on Human A



Why - Who / Actor motivation, drivers, reason 1. Human to Self 5. Group to Self 9. Planet to Self

1.Not planed and no ability to have foreseen, did not have information (no pattern)

2.Not planed and should have known about, was given information (failed to remember or use the pattern)

3.Considered, Pre-meditated, planned, deliberate, choice (Knew the pattern and did it anyway)

Notes

Philosophical Debates

- 1. Existence versus Perception
- 2. a priori
- 3. Reasonable Hypothesis
- 4. Pre-Existing Thoughts or Morality
- 5. Fatalism, Destiny, Determinism, Causality

Immanuel Kant – <u>The Critique Of Pure Reason</u> "a priori" and Hypothesis sections.

David Hume – <u>A Treatise Of Human Nature</u>

Rene Descartes - "To know what existence is, all we have to do is to understand the meaning of the word, for it tells us at once what the thing is which the word stands, for, in so far as we can know it. There is no need here for a definition, which would confuse rather than clarify the issue" <u>https://www.ontology.co/existence.htm</u>

Scientific Debates

 Universe - Steady State, Expansion, Contraction, Bounce, Multiple, Infinity, Initial cause, Grand Unified Theory (GUT), Inflation Hermann Minkowski, Albert Einstein, Edwin Hubble, Stephen Hawking Minkowski Space <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Minkowski_space</u>

